

Simplicial spaces naturally arise from bi-simplicial set. By definition, a bi-simplicial set is a functor

$$X[-, -] : \Delta^{op} \times \Delta^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$$

and a map of bi-simplicial sets is a natural transformation. We may view the bi-simplicial set $X[-, -]$ as a simplicial object in the category of simplicial sets in two ways:

$$\Delta^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sets}^{\Delta^{op}}$$

$$[m] \longmapsto ([n] \longmapsto X[m, n])$$

$$[n] \longmapsto ([m] \longmapsto X[m, n])$$

Composing with the geometric realization functor, we obtain two simplicial spaces

$$\Delta^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$$

$$[m] \longmapsto |X[m, -]|$$

$$[n] \longmapsto |X[-, n]|$$

The bi-simplicial set $X[-, -]$ also determines the simplicial set

$$\Delta^{\circ P} \longrightarrow \text{Sets}$$

$$[k] \longmapsto X[k, k]$$

called the diagonal simplicial set. The following theorem is a generalization of a theorem of Eilenberg - Zilber.

Thm Let $X[-, -]$ be a bi-simplicial set. There are canonical and natural homeomorphisms

$$|[m]| \longmapsto |[n]| \longmapsto X[m, n]$$

$$\cong |[k]| \longmapsto X[k, k]$$

$$\cong |[n]| \longmapsto |[m]| \longmapsto X[m, n]$$

Proof Suppose first that

$$X[-, -] = \Delta[r][-] \times \Delta[s][-].$$

Then we have canonical and natural homeomorphisms

$$|[m] \mapsto [n] \mapsto \Delta[r][m] \times \Delta[s][n]|$$

$$\cong |[m] \mapsto \Delta[r][m] \times |[n] \mapsto \Delta[s][n]|$$

$$\cong |[m] \mapsto \Delta[r][m]| \times |[n] \mapsto \Delta[s][n]|$$

$$\cong |[k] \mapsto \Delta[r][k] \times \Delta[s][k]|.$$

Here the third homeomorphism is the combinatorial lemma which we proved earlier on p. 87. The first and second homeomorphism are both cases of the following: let $Y[-]$ be a simplicial k -space and Z a fixed k -space. Then

$$|Y[-]| \times Z \cong |Y[-] \times Z|.$$

Indeed, this follows from the prop. on p. 73 and the canonical homeomorphism between the adjoints:

$$\underline{\text{Sin}}(\underline{\text{Hom}}_K(Z, -))[-]$$

$$\cong \underline{\text{Hom}}_K(Z, \underline{\text{Sin}}(-)[-])$$

Next, let $X[-, -]$ be a general

bi-simplicial set. Then, as in the prop. on p. 81, we have a canonical isomorphism of bi-simplicial sets

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{colim} \quad \Delta[r](-) \times \Delta[s](-) \\ & \Delta[r](-) \times \Delta[s](-) \rightarrow X(-, -) \\ & \xrightarrow{\cong} X(-, -). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that we have canonical and natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} & | [m] \mapsto | [n] \mapsto X [m, n] | \\ & \xrightarrow{\cong} | [m] \mapsto | [n] \mapsto \text{colim} \Delta[r] [m] \times \Delta[s] [n] | \\ & \quad \Delta[r](-) \times \Delta[s](-) \rightarrow X(-, -) \\ & \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{colim} | [m] \mapsto | [n] \mapsto \Delta[r] [m] \times \Delta[s] [n] | \\ & \quad \Delta[r](-) \times \Delta[s](-) \rightarrow X(-, -) \\ & \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{colim} | [k] \mapsto \Delta[r] [k] \times \Delta[s] [k] | \\ & \quad \Delta[r](-) \times \Delta[s](-) \rightarrow X(-, -) \\ & \xrightarrow{\cong} | [k] \mapsto \text{colim} \Delta[r] [k] \times \Delta[s] [k] | \\ & \quad \Delta[r](-) \times \Delta[s](-) \rightarrow X(-, -) \\ & \xrightarrow{\cong} | [k] \mapsto X [k, k] | . \end{aligned}$$

Here the first and last homeomorphisms are induced from the isomorphism of bi-simplicial set on top of p. 204. The second and fourth homeomorphisms are induced by the canonical maps and are homeomorphisms because geometric realization has a right adjoint. In the case of the fourth homeomorphism, we also use that the functor

$$\text{Sets } \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\Delta_*} \text{Sets } \Delta^{\text{op}}$$

that takes a bi-simplicial set to the associated diagonal simplicial set has a right adjoint given by the right Kan extension

$$\text{Sets } \Delta^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\Delta^!} \text{Sets } \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}$$

See e.g. MacLane: "Categories for the working mathematician," Chap. X. Finally, the third homeomorphism is induced by the homeomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} | [m] \rhd | [n] \rhd \Delta [r] [m] \times \Delta [s] [n] | \\ \cong | [k] \rhd \Delta [r] [k] \times \Delta [s] [k] | \end{aligned}$$

which are natural with respect to maps in $\Delta \times \Delta$. This proves the first homeomorphism of the statement of the theorem. The second homeomorphism is proved analogously. //

Lemma Let $i: A[-1] \rightarrow X[-1]$ be a map of simplicial sets such that, for all $n \geq 0$, the map $i: A[n] \rightarrow X[n]$ is injective. Then

$$|i|: |A[-1]| \rightarrow |X[-1]|$$

is a Serre cofibration.

Proof We define

$$I' = \{ \partial \Delta[n] \times [-1] \rightarrow \Delta[n] \times [-1] \mid n \geq 0 \}$$

$$|I'| = \{ \partial \Delta[n] \rightarrow \Delta[n] \mid n \geq 0 \}.$$

Then the geometric realization of an I' -cofibration is an $|I'|$ -cofibration. Moreover, since the maps in $|I'|$ are homeomorphic to the maps in I , the class of $|I'|$ -cofibrations is equal to the class of Serre cofibrations.

Let $i: A[-1] \rightarrow X[-1]$ be a map of simplicial sets. We claim that the following are equivalent:

(i) For all $n \geq 0$, $i: A[n] \rightarrow X[n]$ is injective.

(ii) The map $i: A[-1] \rightarrow X[-1]$ is a relative I' -cell complex.

This will prove the lemma. It is clear that (ii) implies (i). So we assume (i) and construct

$$A[-1] = X_0[-1] \xrightarrow{i_1} X_1[-1] \xrightarrow{i_2} \dots$$

together with push-out diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\alpha} \partial \Delta[n_{\alpha}] [-1] & \longrightarrow & X_{n-1} [-1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i_n \\ \coprod_{\alpha} \Delta[n_{\alpha}] [-1] & \longrightarrow & X_n [-1] \end{array}$$

and maps $j_n: X_n[-1] \rightarrow X[-1]$ such that $j_0 = i$, $j_n \circ i_n = j_{n-1}$, and

$$j = \operatorname{colim}_n X_n[-1] \longrightarrow X[-1]$$

is an isomorphism. We construct $X_n[-1]$ and the maps i_n and j_n by induction on $n \geq 0$. Let $X_0[-1] = A[-1]$ and $j_0 = i$. We assume that $X_n[-1]$ has been constructed together with an injective map $j_n: X_n[-1] \rightarrow X[-1]$ such that

$$j_n: X_n[k] \rightarrow X[k]$$

is a bijection, for $0 \leq k < n$. We define $S_n \subset X[n]$ to be the set of non-degenerate simplices in $X[n]$ that are not in the image of $j_n: X_n[n] \rightarrow X[n]$. We then define $X_{n+1}[-1]$, i_{n+1} , and j_{n+1} by the push-out

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{x \in S_n} \partial \Delta[n](-1) & \xrightarrow{\sum \sigma_x} & X_n[-1] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{n+1} \\ \coprod_{x \in S_n} \Delta[n](-1) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_{n+1}[-1] \\ & \searrow \sum \sigma_x & \downarrow j_{n+1} \\ & & X[-1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow j_n \\ \downarrow \end{array}$$

Then $j_{n+1}: X_{n+1}[k] \rightarrow X[k]$ is surjective, for $0 \leq k < n+1$, by construction, and injective,

for all $k \geq 0$, since j_n is injective and since we have only added non-degenerate simplices not in the image of j_n . This shows (ii). //

Cor let $X[-, -]$ be a bi-simplicial set. Then the simplicial k -spaces

$$[m] \mapsto |[n] \mapsto X[m, n]|$$

$$[n] \mapsto |[m] \mapsto X[m, n]|$$

are Reedy cofibrant.

Proof let $Y[-] = |[q] \mapsto X[-, q]|$. Then

$$L_n Y = \operatorname{colim}_{\substack{[n] \twoheadrightarrow [p] \\ p < n}} Y[p]$$

$$= \operatorname{colim}_{\substack{[n] \twoheadrightarrow [p] \\ p < n}} |[q] \mapsto X[p, q]|$$

$$\xrightarrow{\cong} |[q] \mapsto \operatorname{colim}_{\substack{[n] \twoheadrightarrow [p] \\ p < n}} X[p, q]|$$

$$= |[q] \mapsto L_n X[-, q]|$$

Moreover, the map $L_n Y \rightarrow Y[n]$ is identified with the realization of the map

$$L_n X[-, -] \rightarrow X[n, -].$$

But the map

$$L_n X[-, q] \rightarrow X[n, q]$$

is equal to the inclusion of the degenerate n -simplices in $X[-, q]$.

In particular, it is an injective map.

Hence, the lemma shows that the map $L_n Y \rightarrow Y[n]$ is a Serre cofibration as desired. //

The purpose of our long digression into basic homotopy theory was to prove the following result.

Lemma Let $f: X[-, -] \rightarrow Y[-, -]$ be a map of bi-simplicial sets and assume that, for all $m \geq 0$, the induced map

$$|[n]| \mapsto X[m, n] \rightarrow |[n]| \mapsto Y[m, n]$$

is a weak equivalence. Then the induced map of realizations

$$|[m] \mapsto [n] \mapsto X[m, n]| \rightarrow |[m] \mapsto [n] \mapsto Y[m, n]|$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof This follows from the corollaries on pages 199 and 209. //

We will use the lemma above to prove Quillen's Theorem A. Let

$$f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$$

be a functor between small categories. The induced map of spaces

$$Bf: B\mathcal{C} \rightarrow B\mathcal{C}'$$

is a weak equivalence, if for all $x' \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}'$, the mapping fiber $F(Bf, x')$ is contractible. We approximate the mapping fiber by the space of the under-category $x' \downarrow f$ defined as follows: The objects of $x' \downarrow f$ are the pairs $(x, u: x' \rightarrow f(x))$ of an object

X in \mathcal{E} and a map $u: X' \rightarrow f(X)$ in \mathcal{E}' , and a morphism in $X' \setminus \mathcal{E}$ from $(X, u: X' \rightarrow f(X))$ to $(Y, v: X' \rightarrow f(Y))$ is a map $w: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{E} such that $f(w) \circ u = v$. The functor

$$pr_1: X' \setminus \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

defined by $pr_1(X, u) = X$ induces a map of spaces

$$Bpr_1: B(X' \setminus \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow B\mathcal{E}.$$

There is a natural transformation η from the constant functor

$$\bar{X}': X' \setminus \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$$

to the composite functor

$$f \circ pr_1: X' \setminus \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$$

given by

$$\eta_{(X, u)} = u: X' \rightarrow f(X) = (f \circ pr_1)(X, u).$$

Hence, we get a homotopy

$$h: B(X' \setminus \{f\}) \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow BE'$$

from the constant map X' to the map $Bf \circ Bpr$. The map Bpr , and the homotopy h define a map

$$B(X' \setminus \{f\}) \longrightarrow F(Bf, X')$$

which, in certain cases, is a weak equivalence. In particular, we have:

Thm (Quillen's Theorem A) Let $f: E \rightarrow E'$ be a functor and assume that, for all $X' \in \text{ob } E'$, the space $B(X' \setminus \{f\})$ is contractible. Then

$$Bf: BE \longrightarrow BE'$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof If $X[-1]$ is a simplicial set, we define bi-simplicial sets $XL[-1, -1]$ and $XR[-1, -1]$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & XL[-1, -1] & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ \Delta^{\text{op}} \times \Delta^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow[\text{pr}_2]{\text{pr}_1} & \Delta^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{X[-1]} & \text{Sets} \\ & \nwarrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & XR[-1, -1] & & \end{array}$$

We define $T(f)[-1, -1]$ to be the bi-simplicial set whose (m, n) -simplices are pairs of diagrams

$$(Y_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_0 \rightarrow f(X_0), X_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n)$$

in \mathcal{C}' and \mathcal{C} , respectively. The bi-simplicial structure maps (θ^*, η^*) are defined in the unique way that makes the maps

$$N(\mathcal{C}'^{op})L[-1, -1] \xleftarrow{p_1} T(f)[-1, -1] \xrightarrow{p_2} N(\mathcal{C})R[-1, -1]$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(Y_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_0 \rightarrow f(X_0), X_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n) \\ = Y_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(Y_m \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_0 \rightarrow f(X_0), X_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n) \\ = X_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n \end{aligned}$$

maps of bi-simplicial sets. We now show that both p_1 and p_2 induce weak equivalences of geometric realizations. (For a bi-simplicial set

$X[-,-]$, we write $|X[-,-]|$ for the space $|k| \mapsto X[k,k]$.) For p_1 , we realize first in the n -direction:

$$| [n] \mapsto T(f) [m, n] | \xrightarrow{P_1} N(\mathcal{E}'^{op}) [m]$$

$$\frac{11}{Y_m \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Y_0} B(Y_0, 1 \neq) \rightarrow \frac{11}{Y_m \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Y_0} *$$

The resulting map is a weak equivalence by our assumption that $B(Y_0 \setminus f)$ be contractible, for all $Y_0 \in \text{ob } \mathcal{E}'$. Hence, the lemma on pages 210-211 shows that

$$|T(f)E, -7| \xrightarrow[\sim]{1p, 1} B(\mathcal{E}^{top})$$

We have also used the thm. on p. 202.
For p_2 , we realize in the m -direction:

$$| [m] \mapsto T(f)[m, n] | \xrightarrow{P_2} N(e)[n]$$

$$\frac{11}{x_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_n} B(\neg(x_0) \setminus id_{prop}) \rightarrow \frac{11}{x_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_n} *$$

The resulting map is a weak equivalence since $f(x_0) \setminus \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$ has the initial object $(f(x_0), \text{Id} : f(x_0) \rightarrow f(x_0))$. So $B(f(x_0) \setminus \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}^{op}})$ is contractible. By the lemma on pages 210-211, we conclude

$$|T(f)[F, -1]| \xrightarrow[\sim]{|P_2|} B(\mathcal{C})$$

Finally, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B(\mathcal{C}'^{op}) & \xleftarrow[\sim]{P_1} & |T(f)[F, -1]| & \xrightarrow[\sim]{P_2} & B(\mathcal{C}) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow f' & & \downarrow Bf \\ B(\mathcal{C}'^{op}) & \xleftarrow[\sim]{P_1} & |T(\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}'})[F, -1]| & \xrightarrow[\sim]{P_2} & B(\mathcal{C}') \end{array}$$

shows that Bf is a weak equivalence as stated. //

We will state but not prove the more general theorem B. A map $v : X' \rightarrow Y'$ in \mathcal{C}' defines a functor

$$v^* : Y' \setminus f \rightarrow X' \setminus f$$

that takes $(X, u : Y' \rightarrow f(X))$ to $(X, u \circ v : X' \rightarrow f(X))$. We call v^* the base-change functor associated with v .

Thm (Quillen's thm. B) let $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ be a functor, and assume that, for every map $v: X' \rightarrow Y'$ in \mathcal{C}' , the base-change functor $v^*: Y' \setminus f \rightarrow X' \setminus f$ induces a weak equivalence

$$Bv^*: B(Y' \setminus f) \xrightarrow{\sim} B(X' \setminus f).$$

Then, for every $X' \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}'$, the canonical map

$$B(X' \setminus f) \rightarrow F(Bf, X')$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof See Quillen: Higher algebraic K-theory I, LNM 341. //