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#### Abstract

On the basis of A.L.Carey, D.Crowley, M.K.Murray's work, we exhibit a cocycle in the simplicial de Rham complex which represents the Dixmier-Douady class. We exhibit also the "Chern-Simons form" of the Dixmier-Douady class. After that, we explain that this cocycle coincides with a kind of transgression of the second Chern class when we consider a central extension of the loop group and a connection due to J.Mickelsson and J-L.Brylinski, D.McLaughlin.
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## 1 Introduction

In [7], Carey, Crowley, Murray proved that when a Lie group $G$ admits a central $U(1)$-extension $1 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow \widehat{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$, there exists a characteristic class of principal $G$-bundle $\phi: Y \rightarrow M$ which belongs to a cohomology group $H^{2}(M, U(1)) \cong H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Here $U(1)$ stands for a sheaf of continuous $U(1)$-valued functions on $M$. This class is called the Dixmier-Douady class associated to the central $U(1)$-extension $\widehat{G} \rightarrow G$.

On the other hand, for any Lie group $G$ there is a topological space $B G$ called the classifying space such that the characteristic classes of principal $G$-bundles are in one-to-one correspondence with the cohomology classes in $H^{*}(B G)$. In general $B G$ is a very huge space so we can not use the usual de Rham theory on it. In order to describe the cocycle of $H^{*}(B G)$, we will use the following simplicial de Rham complex theory due to Segal [28], Bott, Shulman, Stasheff [3] and Dupont [10].

For any Lie group $G$, we have a simplicial manifold $\{N G(*)\}$. It is a sequence of manifolds $\left\{N G(p)=G^{p}\right\}_{p=0,1, \ldots}$ together with face maps $\varepsilon_{i}$ : $N G(p) \rightarrow N G(p-1)$ for $i=0, \cdots, p$ satisfying the relations $\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}=\varepsilon_{j-1} \varepsilon_{i}$ for $i<j$ (The standard definition also involves degeneracy maps but we do not need them here). Then the $n$-th cohomology group of the classifying space $B G$ is isomorphic to the total cohomology of the double complex $\left\{\Omega^{q}(N G(p))\right\}_{p+q=n}$. See [3] [10] [20] for details.

There is also a simplicial manifold $\{P G(*)\}$ for $G$ which plays the role of the total space $E G$ of the universal bundle. Since $H^{*}(E G)$ is trivial if we pull-back any cocycle on $\Omega^{*}(N G)$ to $\Omega^{*}(P G)$, it becomes a exact form so there exist a cochain on $\Omega^{*-1}(P G)$ such that its coboudary coincides to the pull-back of that cocycle. Such a cochain can be called the "Chern-Simons form" of that cocycle.

In [30], the author exhibited some cocycles on $\Omega^{*}(N U(n))$ which represents the Chern character and the Chern-Simons form of the second Chern class on $\Omega^{3}(P U(n))$.

In this paper we exhibit a cocycle on $\Omega^{*}(N G(*))$ which represents the Dixmier-Douady class. It is described as follows. See Theorem 3.3.

Theorem A The universal Dixmier-Douady class associated to $\pi$ and a section $\hat{s}$ is represented by the sum of following $c_{1}(\theta)$ and $-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \hat{s}^{*}(\delta \theta)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& \uparrow-d \\
& c_{1}(\theta) \in \Omega^{2}(G) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{0}^{*}-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\Omega^{2}(G \times G) \\
\uparrow d
\end{array} \\
& -\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \hat{s}^{*}(\delta \theta) \in \Omega^{1}(G \times G) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{0}^{*}-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}-\varepsilon_{3}^{*}} 0
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence of our result, we can see that if $G$ is given a discrete topology, the Dixmier-Douady class in $H^{3}\left(B G^{\delta}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ is 0 . We can also see if $G$ is simply connected, the Dixmier-Douady class in $H^{3}(B G, \mathbb{R})$ is not 0 if $\widehat{G} \rightarrow G$ is not trivial as a principal $U(1)$-bundle. See Corollary 3.1 and Corollary 3.2.

Such a cocycle is also studied in a general setting by Behrend, $\mathrm{Tu}, \mathrm{Xu}$ and Laurent-Gengoux [1] [2] [33] [34], and Ginot, Stiénon [12]. They described the cocycle in another way. Our construction is more explicit so that we can observe what kind of influence the section $\hat{s}$ of $\delta \widehat{G}:=\varepsilon_{0}^{*} \widehat{G} \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{*} \widehat{G}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes \varepsilon_{2}^{*} \widehat{G}$ have on the cocycle. We can also see the relation between such a section $\hat{s}$ and the group structure of $\widehat{G}$.

Furthermore, our construction has an advantage that we can also exhibit the "Chern-Simons form" of the Dixmier-Douady class on $\Omega^{*}(P G(*))$. It is described as follows. See Theorem 3.6.

Theorem B The Chern-Simons form of the Dixmier-Douady class is a sum of following $-c_{1}(\theta)$ and $-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \bar{s}_{\rho}^{*}\left(\bar{\delta}_{\rho} \theta\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& \uparrow{ }^{d} \\
& -c_{1}(\theta) \in \Omega^{2}(G) \xrightarrow{\bar{\varepsilon}_{0}^{*}-\bar{\varepsilon}_{1}^{*}} \quad \quad \Omega^{2}(P G(1)) \\
& \uparrow-d \\
& -\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \bar{s}_{\rho}^{*}\left(\bar{\delta}_{\rho} \theta\right) \in \Omega^{1}(P G(1)) \xrightarrow{\bar{\varepsilon}_{0}^{*}-\bar{\varepsilon}_{1}^{*}+\bar{\varepsilon}_{2}^{*}} \Omega^{1}(P G(2))
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence, we can see that the Dixmier-Douady class is mapped to
the first Chern class of $\widehat{G} \rightarrow G$ by a kind of the transgression map in the sense of Heitsch and Lawson [13].

One of the important examples of the Lie group which have a non-trivial central $U(1)$-extension is a free loop group of a finite dimensional compact Lie group [5][18][27]. We explain that our cocycle coincides with a kind of transgression of the universal second Chern class when we use the central extension $\widehat{L S U(2)} \rightarrow L S U(2)$ and the connection form due to Mickelsson [18] and Brylinski, McLaughlin [5][6]. We consider also the case of semi-direct product $L S U(2) \rtimes S^{1}$ and construct a cocycle in a certain triple complex. Finally, as a natural development of these theory, we give a short survey of the theory of a central $U(1)$-extension of a Lie groupoid. Given a surjective submersion $\phi: Y \rightarrow M$, we obtain the groupoid $Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y$, where $Y^{[2]}$ is the fiber product defined as $Y^{[2]}:=\left\{\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \mid \phi\left(y_{1}\right)=\phi\left(y_{2}\right)\right\}$. A central $U(1)$-extension of the groupoid $Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y$ is called a bundle gerbe over $M$. Bundle gerbe was invented by Murray in [21]. Murray and Stevenson showed that there is one-to-one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of bundle gerbes over $M$ and the cohomology group $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ [22].

The outline of this paper is as follows. Section 2 is a preliminary. We briefly recall the notion of simplicial manifold $N G$ and the relation with the classifying space $B G$. In Section 3, we recall the definition of the DixmierDouady class and construct a cocycle in $\Omega^{*}(N G(*))$ and prove the main theorem (Theorem 3.3). We also exhibit the "Chern-Simons form" of the Dixmier-Douady class. In Section 4, we discuss the case of central $U(1)$ extension of the loop group following the idea of Brylinski, McLaughlin [6] and Murray, Stevenson [23][24]. Section 5 is a short survey of the theory of a central $U(1)$-extension of a groupoid.

## 2 The double complex on simplicial manifold

In this section first we recall the relation between the simplicial manifold $N G$ and the classifying space $B G$.

As a convention of this paper, a Lie group means a paracompact Lie group modeled on a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space. For example, we will consider not only the case of a finite dimensional Li group,
but also the case of an infinite dimensional loop group, unitary group acting on a Hilbert space. See Section 3.1.

For any Lie group $G$, we define simplicial manifolds $N G, P G$ and a simplicial $G$-bundle $\rho: P G \rightarrow N G$ as follows:

$$
N G(p):=\overbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}^{p-\text { times }} \ni\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{p}\right):
$$

face operators $\varepsilon_{i}: N G(p) \rightarrow N G(p-1)$

$$
\varepsilon_{i}\left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{p}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(g_{2}, \cdots, g_{p}\right) & i=0 \\ \left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{i} g_{i+1}, \cdots, g_{p}\right) & i=1, \cdots, p-1 \\ \left(g_{1}, \cdots, g_{p-1}\right) & i=p .\end{cases}
$$

$$
P G(p):=\overbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}^{p+1-\text { times }} \ni\left(\bar{g}_{0}, \cdots, \bar{g}_{p}\right):
$$

face operators $\bar{\varepsilon}_{i}: P G(p) \rightarrow P G(p-1)$

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}_{i}\left(\bar{g}_{0}, \cdots, \bar{g}_{p}\right)=\left(\bar{g}_{0}, \cdots, \bar{g}_{i-1}, \bar{g}_{i+1}, \cdots, \bar{g}_{p}\right) \quad i=0,1, \cdots, p
$$

We define $\rho: P G \rightarrow N G$ as $\rho\left(\bar{g}_{0}, \cdots, \bar{g}_{p}\right):=\left(\bar{g}_{0} \bar{g}_{1}^{-1}, \cdots, \bar{g}_{p-1} \bar{g}_{p}^{-1}\right)$.
To any simplicial manifold $X=\left\{X_{*}\right\}$, we can associate a topological space $\|X\|$ called the fat realization. Since any $G$-bundle $\rho: E \rightarrow M$ can be realized as the pull-back of the fat realization of $\rho,\|\rho\|$ is the universal bundle $E G \rightarrow B G$ [28].

Now we construct a double complex associated to a simplicial manifold.
Definition 2.1. For any simplicial manifold $\left\{X_{*}\right\}$ with face operators $\left\{\varepsilon_{*}\right\}$, we define double complex as follows:

$$
\Omega^{p, q}(X) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \Omega^{q}\left(X_{p}\right) .
$$

Derivatives are:

$$
d^{\prime}:=\sum_{i=0}^{p+1}(-1)^{i} \varepsilon_{i}^{*}, \quad d^{\prime \prime}:=(-1)^{p} \times \text { the exterior differential on } \Omega^{*}\left(X_{p}\right)
$$

For $N G$ and $P G$ the following theorem holds $[3][10][20]$.
Theorem 2.1. There exist ring isomorphisms

$$
H^{*}\left(\Omega^{*}(N G)\right) \cong H^{*}(B G), \quad H^{*}\left(\Omega^{*}(P G)\right) \cong H^{*}(E G)
$$

Here $\Omega^{*}(N G)$ and $\Omega^{*}(P G)$ mean the total complexes.

Remark 2.1. To prove this theorem, they used the property that $G$ is an ANR (absolute neighborhood retract) and the theorem of de Rham on $G$ holds true.

Remark 2.2. The cohomology group of the horizontal complex in the edge $\left(\Omega^{0}(N G(p)), d^{\prime}:=\sum_{i=0}^{p+1}(-1)^{i} \varepsilon_{i}^{*}\right)$ is called the smooth cohomology of $G$. Note that even when $G$ is given a discrete topology, this complex and cohomology still make sense. Furthermore even the coefficient is changed to $U(1)$, we can define the smooth cohomology. It is denoted by $H^{*}(G, U(1))$.

For a principal $G$-bundle $Y \rightarrow M$ and an open covering $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $M$, the transition functions $\left(g_{\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1}}, g_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}, \cdots, g_{\alpha_{p-1} \alpha_{p}}\right): U_{\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{p}} \rightarrow N G(p)$ induce the cohomology map $H^{*}(N G) \rightarrow H_{\text {Cech-deRham }}^{*}(M)$. The elements in the image are the characteristic class of $Y$ [20].

Example 2.1. In the case of special orthogonal group $G=S O(2)$, the Euler class $e \in H^{2}(B S O(2), \mathbb{R})$ is represented by the cocycle below.

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \\
\uparrow_{-d} \\
\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\left(\operatorname{Pf}\left(h^{-1} d h\right)\right) \in \Omega^{1}(S O(2)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{0}^{*}-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}} 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Here Pf is defined as:

$$
\operatorname{Pf}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{4 \pi i}\left(a_{12}-a_{21}\right)
$$

When we give a discrete topology to $S O(2)$, the cocycle above vanishes. This means that the Euler class of a flat principal $S O(2)$-bundle is a torsion class. On the other hand, in the case of special linear group $S L(2)$, the Euler class in $H^{2}(B S L(2), \mathbb{R})$ is represented as the sum of differential forms which belong
to $\Omega^{1}(S L(2))$ and $\Omega^{0}(S L(2) \times S L(2))$ (See for example [4][10, Chapter 9]). So the Euler class of a flat principal $S L(2)$-bundle is not necessarily a torsion class. You can find an example of a flat principal $S L(2)$-bundle over a closed oriented surface whose genus is $g$ such that its Euler number is $g-1$, for instance in [10, Chapter 9].

## 3 Dixmier-Douady class on the double complex

### 3.1 Definition of the Dixmier-Douady class

To begin with, we recall the definition of a central extension of a group.
Definition 3.1. For any group $G$, its subgroup is called the center of $G$ when it consists of the element of $G$ that is commutative with any element in $G$. Given two groups $N, G$, if we can construct the group $\widehat{G}$ such that it has the normal subgroup $\bar{N}$ which is isomorphic to $N$ and $\widehat{G} / \bar{N}$ is isomorphic to $G$, then $\widehat{G}$ is called a extension of $G$ by $N$.
When $N$ is abelian and the center of $\widehat{G}$ contains $N, \widehat{G}$ is called a central $N$ extension of $G$.

Next, we recall the definition of the Dixmier-Douady class, following [7]. Let $\phi: Y \rightarrow M$ be a principal $G$-bundle and $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ a Leray covering of $M$. When $G$ has a central $U(1)$-extension $\pi: \widehat{G} \rightarrow G$, the transition functions $g_{\alpha \beta}: U_{\alpha \beta} \rightarrow G$ lift to $\widehat{G}$. i.e. there exist continuous maps $\hat{g}_{\alpha \beta}: U_{\alpha \beta} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ such that $\pi \circ \hat{g}_{\alpha \beta}=g_{\alpha \beta}$. This is because each $U_{\alpha \beta}$ is contractible so the pull-back of $\pi$ by $g_{\alpha \beta}$ has a global section. Now the $U(1)$-valued functions $c_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ on $U_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ are defined as $\left(\hat{g}_{\beta \gamma}\left(\hat{g}_{\alpha \beta} \hat{g}_{\beta \gamma}\right)^{-1} \hat{g}_{\alpha \beta}\right) \cdot c_{\alpha \beta \gamma}:=\hat{g}_{\beta \gamma} \hat{g}_{\alpha \gamma}^{-1} \hat{g}_{\alpha \beta} \in g_{\beta \gamma}^{*} \widehat{G} \otimes\left(g_{\alpha \gamma}^{*} \widehat{G}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes g_{\alpha \beta}^{*} \widehat{G}$. Then it is easily seen that $\left\{c_{\alpha \beta \gamma}\right\}$ is a $U(1)$-valued Cech-cocycle on $M$ and hence defines a cohomology class in $H^{2}(M, \underline{U(1)}) \cong H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. This class is called the Dixmier-Douady class of $Y$.

Remark 3.1. Let $s_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ be a section of $\widehat{G}_{\alpha \beta \gamma}:=g_{\beta \gamma}^{*} \widehat{G} \otimes\left(g_{\alpha \gamma}^{*} \widehat{G}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes g_{\alpha \beta}^{*} \widehat{G}$ such that $\delta s_{\alpha \beta \gamma}:=s_{\beta \gamma \delta} \otimes s_{\alpha \gamma \delta}^{\otimes-1} \otimes s_{\alpha \beta \delta} \otimes s_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{\otimes-1}=1$. This condition makes sence since $\widehat{G}_{\beta \gamma \delta} \otimes \widehat{G}_{\alpha \gamma \delta}^{\otimes-1} \otimes \widehat{G}_{\alpha \beta \delta} \otimes \widehat{G}_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ is canonically trivial. Then we can define a $U(1)$-valued Čech-cocycle $c_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{s}$ on $M$ by the equation $s_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \cdot c_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{s}=\hat{g}_{\beta \gamma} \hat{g}_{\alpha \gamma}^{-1} \hat{g}_{\alpha \beta}$.

The cohomology class $\left[c_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{s}\right] \in H^{2}(M, \underline{U(1)}) \cong H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ can be also called the Dixmier-Douady class of $Y$.

Example 3.1. Recall that the complex spin group $\operatorname{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}(n)$ is defined as $\operatorname{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}(n):=\operatorname{Spin}(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} U(1)$. When we consider the central $U(1)-$ extension $1 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}(n) \rightarrow S O(n) \rightarrow 1$, the Dixmier-Douady class of the $\operatorname{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}(n)$-bundle coincides with the third integral Stiefel-Whitney class $w_{3}(T M)$. Let $B$ denote the Bockstein map and $w_{2}(T M)$ the second StiefelWhitney class. Then $w_{3}(T M)=B w_{2}(T M)$ hence $w_{3}(T M)$ is a 2-torsion class.

To obtain a non-torsion class, $G$ must be infinite dimensional (cf. for example (5] Ch. 4 p .166 ) and we require also $G$ to have a partition of unity so that we can consider a connection form on the $U(1)$-bundle over $G$. A good example which satisfies such a condition is the loop group of a finite dimensional compact Lie group [5] [27].

Another important example is the restricted unitary group $U_{\text {res }}(H)$ [5] [27]. Here $H$ is an infinite-dimensional, separable Hilbert space with an orthogonal decomposition $H=H_{+} \oplus H_{-}$. This group consists of the unitary operator of $H$ such that with block decomposition $\binom{A B}{C D}, B$ and $C$ are HilbertSchmidt operators (We can also see that these groups are ANR and the theorem of de Rham holds on them [17][26]).

Let $U(H)$ denote the group of unitary operators on $H$ endowed with the strong operator topology and let $P U(H)=U(H) / U(1)$ be the projective unitary group with the quotient topology. Here $U(1)$ consists of scalar multiples of the identity operator on $H$ of norm equal to 1 . The definition of the Dixmier-Douady class above is valid for the central extension $U(1) \rightarrow U(H) \rightarrow P U(H)$ and we obtain the Dixmier-Douady class for each principal $P U(H)$-bundle. It is well-known that for any topological space $M$, the cohomology group $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $[M, B P U(H)]$ which is the set of homotopy classes of continuous maps from $M$ to $B P U(H)$. So there is one-to-one correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of principal $P U(H)$-bundles over $M$ and the cohomology group $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. The corresponding element in $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is the Dixmier-Douady class of each principal $P U(H)$-bundle.
For $g \in U(H)$, let $A d(g)$ denote the automorphism $T \rightarrow g T g^{-1}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ which is the $C^{*}$-algebra of compact operators on $H . A d$ is a continuous homomorphism of $U(H)$ onto $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$ with kernel $U(1)$ where $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$ is given
the point-norm topology. Under this homomorphism we can identify $P U(H)$ with $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$. Since $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{K}$, the set of isomorphism classes of locally trivial bundles over $M$ with fiber $\mathcal{K}$ and the structure group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$ forms a group under the tensor product. The inverse is the conjugate bundle. Then the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.1 (Dixmier-Douady [9]). The group of isomorphism classes of locally trivial bundles over $M$ with fiber $\mathcal{K}$ and the structure group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$ is isomorphic to $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

### 3.2 Construction of the cocycle

Let $\pi: \widehat{G} \rightarrow G$ be a central $U(1)$-extension of a Lie group $G$. Following [6] [7], we recognize it as a $U(1)$-bundle. Using the face operators $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}$ : $N G(2) \rightarrow N G(1)=G$, we can construct a $U(1)$-bundle over $N G(2)=G \times G$ as $\delta \widehat{G}:=\varepsilon_{0}{ }^{*} \widehat{G} \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{1}{ }^{*} \widehat{G}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes \varepsilon_{2}{ }^{*} \widehat{G}$. Here we define the tensor product $S \otimes T$ of $U(1)$-bundles $S$ and $T$ over $M$ by

$$
S \otimes T:=\bigcup_{x \in M}\left(S_{x} \times T_{x} /(s, t) \sim\left(s z, t z^{-1}\right),(z \in U(1)) .\right.
$$

Lemma 3.1. $\delta \widehat{G} \rightarrow G \times G$ is a trivial bundle.
Proof. We can construct a bundle isomorphism $f: \varepsilon_{0}{ }^{*} \widehat{G} \otimes \varepsilon_{2}{ }^{*} \widehat{G} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{1}{ }^{*} \widehat{G}$ as follows. First we define $f$ to be the map sending $\left[\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), \hat{g}_{2}\right),\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), \hat{g}_{1}\right)\right]$ such that $\pi\left(\hat{g}_{2}\right)=g_{2}, \pi\left(\hat{g}_{1}\right)=g_{1}$ to $\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), \hat{g}_{1} \hat{g}_{2}\right)$. Then we have the inverse $f^{-1}$ which sends $\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), \hat{g}\right)$ such that $\pi(\hat{g})=g_{1} g_{2}$ to $\left[\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), \hat{g}_{2}\right),\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), \hat{g} \hat{g}_{2}^{-1}\right)\right]$ such that $\pi\left(\hat{g}_{2}\right)=g_{2}$
Remark 3.2. $\delta(\delta \widehat{G})$ is canonically isomorphic to $G \times G \times G \times U(1)$ because $\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}=\varepsilon_{j-1} \varepsilon_{i}$ for $i<j$.

For any connection $\theta$ on $\widehat{G}$, there is an induced connection $\delta \theta$ on $\delta \widehat{G}[5$, Brylinski].
Proposition 3.1. Let $c_{1}(\theta)$ denote the first Chern form of $\widehat{G}$ i.e. the 2 -form on $G$ which hits $\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) d \theta \in \Omega^{2}(\widehat{G})$ by $\pi^{*}$, and $\hat{s}$ any global section of $\delta \widehat{G}$. Then the following equation holds.

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*}-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}\right) c_{1}(\theta)=\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) d\left(\hat{s}^{*}(\delta \theta)\right) \quad \in \Omega^{2}(N G(2))
$$

Proof. Choose an open cover $\mathcal{V}=\left\{V_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of $G$ such that there exist local sections $\eta_{\lambda}: V_{\lambda} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ of $\pi$. Then $\left\{\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{1}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right)\right\}_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime} \in \Lambda}$ is an open cover of $G \times G$ and we have induced local sections $\varepsilon_{0}^{*} \eta_{\lambda} \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes$ $\varepsilon_{2}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ on this covering.

If we pull back $\delta \theta$ by these sections, the induced form on $\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right) \cap$ $\varepsilon_{1}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ is $\varepsilon_{0}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda}^{*} \theta\right)-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{*} \theta\right)+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \theta\right)$. We restrict $\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*}-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}+\right.$ $\left.\varepsilon_{2}^{*}\right) c_{1}(\theta)$ on $\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{1}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ then it is equal to $\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) d\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda}^{*} \theta\right)-\right.$ $\varepsilon_{1}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{*} \theta\right)+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \theta\right)$ ), because $c_{1}(\theta)=\sum\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) d\left(\eta_{\lambda}^{*} \theta\right)$.

Also

$$
d\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda}^{*} \theta\right)-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{*} \theta\right)+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \theta\right)\right)=\left.d\left(\hat{s}^{*}(\delta \theta)\right)\right|_{\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{1}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right)} .
$$

Since $\delta \theta$ is a connection form. This completes the proof.
Proposition 3.2. We take a section $\hat{s}$ on $\delta \widehat{G}$ such that $\delta \hat{s}:=\varepsilon_{0}^{*} \hat{s} \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{*} \hat{s}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes$ $\varepsilon_{2}^{*} \hat{s} \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{3}^{*} \hat{s}\right)^{\otimes-1}=1$ on $\delta(\delta \widehat{G})$. Then for the face operators $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}_{i=0,1,2,3}$ : $N G(3) \rightarrow N G(2)$, we have

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*}-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}-\varepsilon_{3}^{*}\right)\left(\hat{s}^{*}(\delta \theta)\right)=0
$$

Proof. We consider the $U(1)$-bundle $\delta(\delta \widehat{G})$ over $N G(3)=G \times G \times G$ and the induced connection $\delta(\delta \theta)$ on it. Composing $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}: N G(3) \rightarrow N G(2)$ and $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}: N G(2) \rightarrow G$, we define maps $\left\{r_{i}\right\}_{i=0,1, \cdots, 5}: N G(3) \rightarrow G$ as follows.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
r_{0}=\varepsilon_{0} \circ \varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{0} \circ \varepsilon_{0}, & r_{1}=\varepsilon_{0} \circ \varepsilon_{2}=\varepsilon_{1} \circ \varepsilon_{0}, & r_{2}=\varepsilon_{0} \circ \varepsilon_{3}=\varepsilon_{2} \circ \varepsilon_{0} \\
r_{3}=\varepsilon_{1} \circ \varepsilon_{2}=\varepsilon_{1} \circ \varepsilon_{1}, & r_{4}=\varepsilon_{1} \circ \varepsilon_{3}=\varepsilon_{2} \circ \varepsilon_{1}, & r_{5}=\varepsilon_{2} \circ \varepsilon_{3}=\varepsilon_{2} \circ \varepsilon_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

Then $\left\{\bigcap r_{i}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{(i)}}\right)\right\}$ is a covering of $N G(3)$. Since each $\bigcap r_{i}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{(i)}}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{1}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right)\right) \cap \varepsilon_{1}^{-1}\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{1}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{(3)}}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{(4)}}\right)\right) \\
\cap & \varepsilon_{2}^{-1}\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{1}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{(3)}}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{(5)}}\right)\right) \cap \varepsilon_{3}^{-1}\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{1}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{(4)}}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{(5)}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the following induced local sections on it.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varepsilon_{0}^{*}\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*} \eta_{\lambda} \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes \varepsilon_{2}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right) \otimes \varepsilon_{1}^{*}\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*} \eta_{\lambda} \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{(3)}}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes \varepsilon_{2}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{(4)}}\right)^{\otimes-1} \\
\otimes \varepsilon_{2}^{*}\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{\prime}} \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{(3)}}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes \varepsilon_{2}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{(5)}}\right) \otimes \varepsilon_{3}^{*}\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}} \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{(4)}}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes \varepsilon_{2}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{(5)}}\right)^{\otimes-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From direct computations we can check that this is equal to canonical section 1 on $\delta(\delta \widehat{G})$ and the pull-back of $\delta(\delta \theta)$ by this section is equal to 0 . This means that $\delta(\delta \theta)$ is the Maurer-Cartan connection. Hence if we pull back $\delta(\delta \theta)$ by the induced section $\delta \hat{s}$, it is also equal to 0 and this pull-back is nothing but $\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*}-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}-\varepsilon_{3}^{*}\right)\left(\hat{s}^{*}(\delta \theta)\right)$.

The propositions above give the cocycle $c_{1}(\theta)-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \hat{s}^{*}(\delta \theta) \in \Omega^{3}(N G)$ described in the following diagram.


Then we can show:
Proposition 3.3. The cohomology class $\left[c_{1}(\theta)-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \hat{s}^{*}(\delta \theta)\right] \in H^{3}(\Omega(N G))$ does not depend on $\theta$.

Proof. Suppose $\theta_{0}$ and $\theta_{1}$ are two connections on $\widehat{G}$. Consider the $U(1)-$ bundle $\widehat{G} \times[0,1] \rightarrow G \times[0,1]$ and the connection form $t \theta_{0}+(1-t) \theta_{1}$ on it. Then we obtain the cocycle

$$
c_{1}\left(t \theta_{0}+(1-t) \theta_{1}\right)-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \hat{s}^{*}\left(\delta\left(t \theta_{0}+(1-t) \theta_{1}\right)\right)
$$

on $\Omega^{3}(N G \times[0,1])$. Let $i_{0}: N G \times\{0\} \rightarrow N G \times[0,1]$ and $i_{1}: N G \times\{1\} \rightarrow$ $N G \times[0,1]$ be the natural inclusion map. When we identify $N G \times\{0\}$ with $N G \times\{1\},\left(i_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1} i_{1}^{*}: H\left(\Omega^{*}(N G \times\{0\})\right) \rightarrow H\left(\Omega^{*}(N G \times\{1\})\right)$ is the identity map. Hence $\left[c_{1}\left(\theta_{0}\right)-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \hat{s}^{*}\left(\delta \theta_{0}\right)\right]=\left[c_{1}\left(\theta_{1}\right)-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \hat{s}^{*}\left(\delta \theta_{1}\right)\right]$.

Now we consider what happens if we change the section $\hat{s}$. There is a natural section $\hat{s}_{n t}$ of $\delta \widehat{G}$ defined as;

$$
\hat{s}_{n t}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right):=\left[\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), \hat{g}_{2}\right),\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), \hat{g}_{1} \hat{g}_{2}\right)^{\otimes-1},\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), \hat{g}_{1}\right)\right] .
$$

Then any other section $\hat{s}$ such that $\delta \hat{s}=1$ can be represented by $\hat{s}=\hat{s}_{n t} \cdot \varphi$ where $\varphi$ is a $U(1)$-valued smooth function on $G \times G$ which satisfies $\delta \varphi=1$. If we pull back $\delta \theta$ by $\hat{s}$, the equation $\hat{s}^{*}(\delta \theta)=\hat{s}_{n t}^{*}(\delta \theta)+d \log \varphi$ holds. If there exists a $U(1)$-valued smooth function $\varphi^{\prime}$ on $G$ which satisfies $\delta \varphi^{\prime}=\varphi$, the cohomology class $\left[-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) d \log \varphi\right.$ ] is equal to 0 in $H^{3}(\Omega(N G))$. So we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. Up to the cohomology class in the $U(1)$-valued smooth cohomology $H^{2}(G, U(1))$, the cohomology class $\left[c_{1}(\theta)-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \hat{s}^{*}(\delta \theta)\right]$ is decided uniquely by the central $U(1)$-extension $\widehat{G} \rightarrow G$.

Next we discuss about the relation between the section $\hat{s}$ and the multiplication of $\widehat{G}$. Using the section $\hat{s}$, we can define another multiplication $m: \widehat{G} \times \widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ of $\widehat{G}$ by:

$$
\hat{s}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=:\left[\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), \hat{g}_{2}\right),\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), m\left(\hat{g}_{1}, \hat{g}_{2}\right)\right)^{\otimes-1},\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), \hat{g}_{1}\right)\right] .
$$

Since $\hat{s}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ is equal to $\hat{s}_{n t} \cdot \varphi$, we can see that $m\left(\hat{g}_{1}, \hat{g}_{2}\right)=\hat{g}_{1} \hat{g}_{2}\left(\varphi\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)^{-1}$. When $\widehat{G}$ is given this new structure, $\hat{s}$ is of course a natural section of $\delta \widehat{G}$. We say that $f: \widehat{G} \rightarrow(\widehat{G}, m)$ is an isomorphism between the central $U(1)$ extensions if $f$ is a group isomorphism and $\pi(\hat{g})=\pi(f(\hat{g})), f(\hat{g} z)=f(\hat{g}) z$ holds for any $\hat{g} \in \widehat{G}$ and $z \in U(1)$. Then the theorem below holds.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\hat{s}$ be a section of $\delta \widehat{G}$ defined by $\hat{s}:=\hat{s}_{n t} \cdot \varphi$ for a $U(1)-$ valued smooth function on $G \times G$ which satisfies $\delta \varphi=1$. When we reconstruct the the multiplication $m$ of $\widehat{G}$ such that $\hat{s}$ becomes a natural section of $\delta \widehat{G}$, $(\widehat{G}, m)$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{G}$ if and only if $[\varphi] \in H^{2}(G, U(1))$ is 0 .
Proof. Assume that there exists a $U(1)$-valued smooth function $\varphi^{\prime}$ on $G$ which satisfies $\varphi\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=\delta \varphi^{\prime}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right):=\varphi^{\prime}\left(g_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)\right)^{-1} \cdot \varphi^{\prime}\left(g_{1}\right)$. We define a $\operatorname{map} f: \widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ by $f(\hat{g}):=\hat{g} \cdot \varphi^{\prime}(g)$. Then

$$
m\left(f\left(\hat{g}_{1}\right), f\left(\hat{g}_{2}\right)\right)=f\left(\hat{g}_{1}\right) f\left(\hat{g}_{2}\right)\left(\varphi\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)^{-1}=\hat{g}_{1} \varphi^{\prime}\left(g_{1}\right) \hat{g}_{2} \varphi^{\prime}\left(g_{2}\right)\left(\varphi\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)^{-1}
$$

is equal to

$$
f\left(\hat{g}_{1} \hat{g}_{2}\right)=\hat{g}_{1} \hat{g}_{2} \varphi^{\prime}\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)
$$

and $\pi(\hat{g})=\pi(f(\hat{g})), f(\hat{g} z)=f(\hat{g}) z$. Moreover $f$ has the inverse map $f^{-1}(\hat{g}):=\hat{g} \cdot\left(\varphi^{\prime}(g)\right)^{-1}$ hence $f$ is an isomorphism from $\widehat{G}$ to $(\widehat{G}, m)$.

Conversely, assume that there exists an isomorphism $f$ from $\widehat{G}$ to $(\widehat{G}, m)$. Since $\pi(\hat{g})=\pi(f(\hat{g}))$ and $f(\hat{g} z)=f(\hat{g}) z$, we can define a $U(1)$-valued map
$\varphi^{\prime}$ on $G$ by $f(\hat{g})=: \hat{g} \cdot \varphi^{\prime}(g)$. Now $m\left(f\left(\hat{g}_{1}\right), f\left(\hat{g}_{2}\right)\right)=f\left(\hat{g}_{1} \hat{g}_{2}\right)$ induces the equation $\varphi\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=\varphi^{\prime}\left(g_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right)\right)^{-1} \cdot \varphi^{\prime}\left(g_{1}\right)$.

Remark 3.3. Let $H^{(n)}$ denote the separable Hilbert space $L^{2}\left(S^{1} ; \mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ of square-summable $\mathbb{C}^{n}$-valued functions on the circle. The diffeomorphism $f: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ acts on functions $\left\{\xi: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}\right\} \in H^{(n)}$ by $(f \cdot \xi)(t):=$ $\xi\left(f^{-1}(t)\right) \cdot\left|\left(f^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(t)\right|^{1 / 2}$. It is known that the inclusion Diff ${ }^{+} S^{1} \hookrightarrow U_{\text {res }}(H)$ induces the discrete topology on Diff ${ }^{+} S^{1}$ (See [27]). So the cohomology class in $H^{2}\left(U_{\text {res }}(H), U(1)\right)$ induces the cohomology class in $H^{2}\left(\right.$ Diff $\left.^{+\delta} S^{1}, U(1)\right)$. This fact may suggest some relationship between the Dixmier-Douady class and the characteristic classes of flat $S^{1}$-bundles.

### 3.3 Main results

We fix any section $\hat{s}$ of $\delta \widehat{G}$ which satisfies $\delta s=1$. Since $g_{\beta \gamma}^{*} \widehat{G} \otimes\left(g_{\alpha \gamma}^{*} \widehat{G}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes$ $g_{\alpha \beta}^{*} \widehat{G}$ is the pull-back of $\delta \widehat{G}$ by $\left(g_{\alpha \beta}, g_{\beta \gamma}\right): U_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \rightarrow G \times G$, there is an induced section of $g_{\beta \gamma}^{*} \widehat{G} \otimes\left(g_{\alpha \gamma}^{*} \widehat{G}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes g_{\alpha \beta}^{*} \widehat{G}$. So we can define the Dixmier-Douady class by using this section.

Now we are ready to state the main theorem.
Definition 3.2. We call the sum of $c_{1}(\theta) \in \Omega^{2}(N G(1))$ and $-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \hat{s}^{*}(\delta \theta) \in$ $\Omega^{1}(N G(2))$ the simplicial Dixmier-Douady cocycle associated to $\pi$ and $\hat{s}$.
Theorem 3.3. The simplicial Dixmier-Douady cocycle represents the universal Dixmier-Douady class associated to $\pi$ and a section $\hat{s}$.

Proof. We show that the $\left[C_{2,1}+C_{1,2}\right]$ described in the diagram below is equal to $\left[\left\{\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) d \log c_{\alpha \beta \gamma}\right\}\right]$ as a Čech-de Rham cohomology class of $M=\bigcup U_{\alpha}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{2,1} & \in \prod_{\uparrow} \Omega^{2}\left(U_{\alpha \beta}\right) \\
& \uparrow-d \\
& \prod \Omega^{1}\left(U_{\alpha \beta}\right) \quad \xrightarrow{\check{\delta}} C_{1,2} \in \prod \Omega^{1}\left(U_{\alpha \beta \gamma}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $C_{2,1}$ and $C_{1,2}$ are Čech-de Rham cocycles defined by

$$
C_{2,1}=\left\{\left(g_{\alpha \beta}^{*} c_{1}(\theta)\right)\right\}, \quad C_{1,2}=\left\{-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right)\left(g_{\alpha \beta}, g_{\beta \gamma}\right)^{*} \hat{s}^{*}(\delta \theta)\right\} .
$$

Since $g_{\alpha \beta}^{*} c_{1}(\theta)=\hat{g}_{\alpha \beta}^{*} \pi^{*}\left(c_{1}(\theta)\right)=d\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \hat{g}_{\alpha \beta}^{*} \theta$, we can see

$$
\left[C_{2,1}+C_{1,2}\right]=\left[\check{\delta}\left\{\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \hat{g}_{\alpha \beta}^{*} \theta\right\}+C_{1,2}\right]
$$

By definition $\left(\hat{s} \circ\left(g_{\alpha \beta}, g_{\beta \gamma}\right)\right)(p) \cdot c_{\alpha \beta \gamma}(p)=\left(\hat{g}_{\beta \gamma} \otimes \hat{g}_{\alpha \gamma}^{\otimes-1} \otimes \hat{g}_{\alpha \beta}\right)(p)$ for any $p \in U_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$. Hence $\left(g_{\alpha \beta}, g_{\beta \gamma}\right)^{*} \hat{s}^{*}(\delta \theta)+d \log c_{\alpha \beta \gamma}=\check{\delta}\left\{\hat{g}_{\alpha \beta}^{*} \theta\right\}$.

Corollary 3.1. If the principal $G$-bundle over $M$ is flat, then its DixmierDouady class is 0 in $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. This is because the cocycle in Theorem 3.3 vanishes when $G$ is given a discrete topology.

Corollary 3.2. If the first Chern class of $\pi: \widehat{G} \rightarrow G$ is not 0 in $H^{2}(G, \mathbb{R})$, the corresponding Dixmier-Douady class of the universal G-bundle is not 0. Especially, if $G$ is simply connected and $\pi: \widehat{G} \rightarrow G$ is not trivial as a principal $U(1)$-bundle, then the corresponding Dixmier-Douady class of the universal $G$-bundle is not 0 .

Proof. In that situation, any differential form $x \in \Omega^{1}(N G(1))$ does not hit $c_{1}(\theta) \in \Omega^{2}(N G(1))$ by $d: \Omega^{1}(N G(1)) \rightarrow \Omega^{2}(N G(1))$.

### 3.4 Another description

On the other hand, there is a simplicial manifold $N \widehat{G}$ and face operators $\hat{\varepsilon}_{i}$ of it. Using this, Behrend and Xu described the cocycle which represents the Dixmier-Douady class in another way.

Proposition $3.5([1][2])$. Let $\widehat{G} \times \widehat{G} \rightarrow G \times G$ be a product $(U(1) \times U(1))$ bundle. Then the 1 -form $\left(\hat{\varepsilon}_{0}^{*}-\hat{\varepsilon}_{1}^{*}+\hat{\varepsilon}_{2}^{*}\right) \theta$ on $\widehat{G} \times \widehat{G}$ is horizontal and $(U(1) \times$ $U(1))$-invariant, hence there exists the 1 -form $\chi$ on $G \times G$ which satisfies $(\pi \times \pi)^{*} \chi=\left(\hat{\varepsilon}_{0}^{*}-\hat{\varepsilon}_{1}^{*}+\hat{\varepsilon}_{2}^{*}\right) \theta$.

Proof. For example, see [12, G.Ginot, M.Stiénon].
Behrend and Xu proved the theorem below in [2].
Theorem $3.4([1][2])$. The cohomology class $\left[c_{1}(\theta)-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \chi\right] \in H^{3}(\Omega(N G))$ represents the universal Dixmier-Douady class.

Now we show our cocycle in Section 3.2 satisfies the required condition in Proposition 3.5 when we choose a natural section $s_{n t}: G \times G \rightarrow \delta \widehat{G}$.

Theorem 3.5. The equation $(\pi \times \pi)^{*} s_{n t}^{*}(\delta \theta)=\left(\hat{\varepsilon}_{0}^{*}-\hat{\varepsilon}_{1}^{*}+\hat{\varepsilon}_{2}^{*}\right) \theta$ holds.
Proof. Choose an open covering $\mathcal{V}=\left\{V_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of $G$ such that all the intersections of open sets in $\mathcal{V}$ are contractible and there exist local sections $\eta_{\lambda}: V_{\lambda} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ of $\pi$. Then $\left\{\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{1}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right)\right\}_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime \prime} \in \Lambda}$ is an open cover of $G \times G$ and there are the induced local sections $\varepsilon_{0}^{*} \eta_{\lambda} \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes \varepsilon_{2}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ on this covering.

If we pull back $\delta \theta$ by these sections, the induced form on $\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right) \cap$ $\varepsilon_{1}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ is $\varepsilon_{0}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda}^{*} \theta\right)-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{*} \theta\right)+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \theta\right)$.

We define $U(1)$-valued functions $\tau_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ on $\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{1}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ by

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*} \eta_{\lambda} \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes \varepsilon_{2}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right) \cdot \tau_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime \prime}}=s_{n t} .
$$

Then $\varepsilon_{0}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda}^{*} \theta\right)-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{*} \theta\right)+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \theta\right)+\tau_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} d \tau_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ is equal to $s_{n t}^{*} \delta \theta$ hence we obtain $(\pi \times \pi)^{*} s_{n t}^{*} \delta \theta=(\pi \times \pi)^{*}\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda}^{*} \theta\right)-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{*} \theta\right)+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \theta\right)\right)+(\pi \times$ $\pi)^{*} \tau_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} d \tau_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime \prime}}$.

Let $\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}: \pi^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow V_{\lambda} \times U(1)$ be a local trivialization of $\pi$. We put $\varphi_{\lambda}:=\operatorname{pr}_{2} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda}: \pi^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow U(1)$. For any $\hat{g} \in \pi^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right)$ the equation $\hat{g}=$ $\eta_{\lambda} \circ \pi(\hat{g}) \cdot \varphi_{\lambda}(\hat{g})$ holds so we can see

$$
\hat{\varepsilon}_{i}^{*} \theta=\hat{\varepsilon}_{i}^{*}\left(\pi^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda}^{*} \theta\right)\right)+\hat{\varepsilon}_{i}^{*} \varphi_{\lambda}^{-1} d \varphi_{\lambda}=(\pi \times \pi)^{*} \varepsilon_{i}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda}^{*} \theta\right)+\hat{\varepsilon}_{i}^{*} \varphi_{\lambda}^{-1} d \varphi_{\lambda}
$$

on $\hat{\varepsilon}_{i}^{-1}\left(\pi^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right)\right)=(\pi \times \pi)^{-1}\left(\varepsilon_{i}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right)\right)$.
Therefore on $(\pi \times \pi)^{-1}\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{1}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right) \cap \varepsilon_{2}^{-1}\left(V_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right)\right)$ there is a differential form $\hat{\varepsilon}_{0}^{*} \theta-\hat{\varepsilon}_{1}^{*} \theta+\hat{\varepsilon}_{2}^{*} \theta=(\pi \times \pi)^{*}\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda}^{*} \theta\right)-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{*} \theta\right)+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}\left(\eta_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}^{*} \theta\right)\right)+\hat{\varepsilon}_{0}^{*} \varphi_{\lambda}^{-1} d \varphi_{\lambda}-$ $\hat{\varepsilon}_{1}^{*} \varphi_{\lambda^{\prime}}^{-1} d \varphi_{\lambda^{\prime}}+\hat{\varepsilon}_{2}^{*} \varphi_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}^{-1} d \varphi_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}$.

Since $\hat{\varepsilon}_{i}=\left(\eta_{\lambda} \circ \pi \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_{i}\right) \cdot \varphi_{\lambda} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_{i}=\left(\eta_{\lambda} \circ \varepsilon_{i} \circ(\pi \times \pi)\right) \cdot \varphi_{\lambda} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_{i}$, we can see that $\hat{\varepsilon}_{0} \otimes \hat{\varepsilon}_{1}^{\otimes-1} \otimes \hat{\varepsilon}_{2}: \widehat{G} \times \widehat{G} \rightarrow \delta \widehat{G}$ is equal to

$$
\left(\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*} \eta_{\lambda} \otimes\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes \varepsilon_{2}^{*} \eta_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right) \circ(\pi \times \pi)\right) \cdot\left(\varphi_{\lambda} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_{0}\right)\left(\varphi_{\lambda^{\prime}} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(\varphi_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_{2}\right) .
$$

We have $\tau_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime \prime}} \circ(\pi \times \pi)=\left(\varphi_{\lambda} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_{0}\right)\left(\varphi_{\lambda^{\prime}} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(\varphi_{\lambda^{\prime \prime}} \circ \hat{\varepsilon}_{2}\right)$ because $s_{n t} \circ(\pi \times \pi)=$ $\hat{\varepsilon}_{0} \otimes \hat{\varepsilon}_{1}^{\otimes-1} \otimes \hat{\varepsilon}_{2}$, so it follows that $\left(\hat{\varepsilon}_{0}^{*}-\hat{\varepsilon}_{1}^{*}+\hat{\varepsilon}_{2}^{*}\right) \theta=(\pi \times \pi)^{*} s_{n t}^{*} \delta \theta$. This completes the proof.

## 3.5 "Chern-Simons form"

As mentioned in Section 2, $P G$ plays the role of the universal $G$-bundle and $N G$, the classifying space $B G$. So, the pull-back of the cocycle in Definition 3.1 to $\Omega^{*}(P G)$ by $\rho: P G \rightarrow N G$ should be a coboundary of a cochain on $P G$. In this section we shall exhibit an explicit form of the cochain, which can be called Chern-Simons form for the Dixmier-Douady class.
Recall $P G(1)=G \times G$ and $\rho: P G(1) \rightarrow N G$ is defined as $\rho\left(\bar{g}_{0}, \bar{g}_{1}\right)=\bar{g}_{0} \bar{g}_{1}^{-1}$. Then we consider the $U(1)$-bundle $\bar{\delta}_{\rho} \widehat{G}:=\bar{\varepsilon}_{0}^{*} \widehat{G} \otimes \rho^{*} \widehat{G} \otimes\left(\widehat{\varepsilon}_{1}^{*} \widehat{G}\right)^{\otimes-1}$ over $G \times G$ and the induced connection $\bar{\delta}_{\rho} \theta$ on it. We can check that $\bar{\delta}_{\rho} \widehat{G}$ is a trivial bundle by using the same argument in Lemma 3.1, and we take a section $\bar{s}_{\rho}$ of it as

$$
\bar{s}_{\rho}\left(\bar{g}_{0}, \bar{g}_{1}\right):=\left[\left(\left(\bar{g}_{0}, \bar{g}_{1}\right), \hat{\bar{g}}_{1}\right),\left(\left(\bar{g}_{0}, \bar{g}_{1}\right), \hat{\bar{g}}_{0} \hat{\bar{g}}_{1}^{-1}\right),\left(\left(\bar{g}_{0}, \bar{g}_{1}\right), \hat{\bar{g}}_{0}\right)^{\otimes-1}\right] .
$$

Theorem 3.6. The cochain $-c_{1}(\theta)-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \bar{s}_{\rho}^{*}\left(\bar{\delta}_{\rho} \theta\right) \in \Omega^{2}(P G)$ is a ChernSimons form of $c_{1}(\theta)-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \hat{s}_{n t}^{*}(\delta \theta) \in \Omega^{3}(N G)$ i.e. the following equation holds.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{*}\left(c_{1}(\theta)-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \hat{s}_{n t}^{*}(\delta \theta)\right)=\left(d^{\prime}+d^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(-c_{1}(\theta)-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \bar{s}_{\rho}^{*}\left(\bar{\delta}_{\rho} \theta\right)\right) . \\
& 0 \\
& \uparrow d \\
& -c_{1}(\theta) \in \Omega^{2}(G) \xrightarrow{\bar{\varepsilon}_{0}^{*}-\bar{\varepsilon}_{1}^{*}} \quad \quad \Omega^{2}(P G(1)) \\
& \uparrow-d \\
& -\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \bar{s}_{\rho}^{*}\left(\bar{\delta}_{\rho} \theta\right) \in \Omega^{1}(P G(1)) \xrightarrow{\vec{\varepsilon}_{0}^{*}-\bar{\varepsilon}_{1}^{*}+\vec{\varepsilon}_{2}^{*}} \Omega^{1}(P G(2))
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Repeating the same argument as that in Proposition 3.1, we can see $\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{0}^{*}+\rho^{*}-\bar{\varepsilon}_{1}^{*}\right)\left(\left(c_{1}(\theta)\right)=\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) d\left(\bar{s}_{\rho}^{*}\left(\bar{\delta}_{\rho} \theta\right)\right) \in \Omega^{2}(P G(1))\right.$. Because $\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right) \circ \rho=$ $\left(\rho \circ \overline{\varepsilon_{0}}, \rho \circ \overline{\varepsilon_{1}}, \rho \circ \overline{\varepsilon_{2}}\right)$, we can see that $\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{0} * \bar{\delta}_{\rho} \widehat{G}\right) \otimes\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{1} * \bar{\delta}_{\rho} \widehat{G}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{2}^{*} \bar{\delta}_{\rho} \widehat{G}\right)$ is $\rho^{*}(\delta \widehat{G})$. Hence $\left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{0}^{*}-\bar{\varepsilon}_{1}^{*}+\bar{\varepsilon}_{2}^{*}\right) \bar{s}_{\rho}^{*}\left(\bar{\delta}_{\rho} \theta\right)=\rho^{*}\left(\hat{s}_{n t}^{*}(\delta \theta)\right)$.

By restricting the Chern-Simons form on $\Omega^{*}(P G)$ to the edge $\Omega^{*}(P G(0))$, we obtain a cocycle on $\Omega^{*}(G)$. So there is an induced map of the cohomology class $H^{*}(B G) \cong H\left(\Omega^{*}(N G)\right) \rightarrow H^{*-1}(G)$. This map coincides with the transgression map for the universal bundle $E G \rightarrow B G$ in the sense of

Heitsch and Lawson in [13]. Hence as a corollary of Theorem 3.6, we obtain an alternative proof of the following proposition from [7, Theorem 4.1] [29, Theorem 4.1].

Proposition 3.6. The transgression map of the universal bundle $E G \rightarrow B G$ maps the Dixmier-Douady class to the negative of the first Chern class of $\pi$ : $\widehat{G} \rightarrow G$.

## 4 The String class

Using the idea of Brylinski, McLaughlin [6] and Murray, Stevenson [23][24], we discuss the case of central $U(1)$-extension of a loop group.

### 4.1 In the case of special unitary group

It is known that the second Chern class $c_{2} \in H^{4}(B S U(2))$ of the universal $S U(2)$-bundle $E S U(2) \rightarrow B S U(2)$ is represented in $\Omega^{4}(N S U(2))$ as the sum of following differential forms $C_{1,3}$ and $C_{2,2}$ (see for example [15] or [30]):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad \begin{array}{l}
\uparrow_{-d} \\
C_{1,3} \in \Omega^{3}(S U(2)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{0}^{*}-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}} \\
\Omega_{d}^{3}(S U(2) \times S U(2)) \\
\uparrow_{d}
\end{array} \\
C_{2,2} \in \Omega^{2}(S U(2) \times S U(2)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{0}^{*}-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}-\varepsilon_{3}^{*}} 0 \\
C_{1,3}=\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{2} \frac{-1}{6} \operatorname{tr}\left(h^{-1} d h\right)^{3}, \quad C_{2,2}=\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(h_{2}^{-1} h_{1}^{-1} d h_{1} d h_{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Pulling back this cocycle by the evaluation map

$$
e v: L S U(2) \times S^{1} \rightarrow S U(2),(\gamma, z) \mapsto \gamma(z)
$$

and integrating along the circle, we obtain the cocycle in $\Omega^{3}(N L S U(2))$. Here $L S U(2)$ is the free loop group of $S U(2)$ and the map $\int_{S^{1}} e v^{*}$ is also called the transgression map.

Now we pose the following problem. Is there corresponding central extension of $L S U(2)$ and connection form on it such that the Dixmier-Douady class in $\Omega^{3}(N L S U(2))$ constructed previous section coincides with $\int_{S^{1}} e v^{*}\left(C_{1,3}+\right.$ $C_{2,2}$ )? In this section, we explain that the central extension and the connection form constructed by Mickelsson and Brylinski, McLaughlin in [5] [6] [18] meet such a condition.

To begin with, we recall the definition of the $U(1)$-bundle $\pi: Q(\nu) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{LSU}(2)$ and the multiplication $m: Q(\nu) \times Q(\nu) \rightarrow Q(\nu)$ in [5] [6]. We fix any based point $x_{0} \in S U(2)$ and denote $\gamma_{0} \in L S U(2)$ the constant loop at $x_{0}$. For any $\gamma \in L S U(2)$, we consider all paths $\sigma_{\gamma}:[0,1] \rightarrow L S U(2)$ that satisfies $\sigma_{\gamma}(0)=\gamma_{0}$ and $\sigma_{\gamma}(1)=\gamma$. Then the equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\left\{\sigma_{\gamma}\right\} \times S^{1}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\left(\sigma_{\gamma}, z\right) \sim\left(\sigma_{\gamma}^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) \Leftrightarrow z=z^{\prime} \cdot \exp \left(\int_{I^{2} \times S^{1}} 2 \pi i F^{*} \nu\right)
$$

Here $F: I^{2} \times S^{1} \rightarrow S U(2)$ is any homotopy map that satisfies

$$
F(0, t, z)=\sigma_{\gamma}(t)(z), \quad F(1, t, z)=\sigma_{\gamma}^{\prime}(t)(z)
$$

and

$$
\nu=C_{1,3}=\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{2} \frac{-1}{6} \operatorname{tr}\left(h^{-1} d h\right)^{3} .
$$

It is well known $\nu \in \Omega^{3}(S U(2))$ is a closed, integral form hence this relation is well-defined. Now the fiber $\pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ of $Q(\nu)$ is defined as the quotient space $\left\{\sigma_{\gamma}\right\} \times S^{1} / \sim$.
We can adapt the same construction for any closed integral 3-form on $S U(2)$.
Let $\eta, \eta^{\prime}$ be such forms and suppose there is a 2 -form $\beta$ with $d \beta=\eta^{\prime}-\eta$. Then the isomorphism from $Q(\eta)$ to $Q\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)$ is constructed as:

$$
\left[\left(\sigma_{\gamma}, z\right)\right]_{\eta} \mapsto\left[\left(\sigma_{\gamma}, z \cdot \exp \left(\int_{I^{1} \times S^{1}} 2 \pi i \sigma_{\gamma}^{*} \beta\right)\right)\right]_{\eta^{\prime}}
$$

Here we regard $\sigma_{\gamma}$ as a map from $[0,1] \times S^{1}$ to $S U(2)$.
For the face operators $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}: S U(2) \times S U(2) \rightarrow S U(2)$ (we use the same notation for the face operators $L S U(2) \times L S U(2) \rightarrow L S U(2)$ ), we can check that $\varepsilon_{0}^{*} Q(\nu) \otimes \varepsilon_{1}^{*} Q(\nu)^{\otimes-1} \otimes \varepsilon_{2}^{*} Q(\nu)$ is isomorphic to $Q\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*} \nu-\varepsilon_{1}^{*} \nu+\varepsilon_{2}^{*} \nu\right)=$
$Q\left(-d C_{2,2}\right)$ over $\operatorname{LSU}(2) \times \operatorname{LSU}(2)$. The isomorphism from $Q(0)$ to $Q\left(-d C_{2,2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\left[\left(\sigma_{\gamma_{1}}, \sigma_{\gamma_{2}}, z\right)\right]_{0} \mapsto\left[\left(\sigma_{\gamma_{1}}, \sigma_{\gamma_{2}}, z \cdot \exp \left(\int_{I^{1} \times S^{1}} 2 \pi i\left(\sigma_{\gamma_{1}}, \sigma_{\gamma_{2}}\right)^{*} C_{2,2}\right)\right)\right]_{-d C_{2,2}} .
$$

Now we can define a section $s_{L}$ of $\varepsilon_{0}^{*} Q(\nu) \otimes \varepsilon_{1}^{*} Q(\nu)^{\otimes-1} \otimes \varepsilon_{2}^{*} Q(\nu)$ over $L S U(2) \times$ $L S U(2)$ by:

$$
s_{L}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right):=\left[\left(\sigma_{\gamma_{1}}, \sigma_{\gamma_{2}}, \exp \left(\int_{I^{1} \times S^{1}} 2 \pi i\left(\sigma_{\gamma_{1}}, \sigma_{\gamma_{2}}\right)^{*} C_{2,2}\right)\right)\right]_{-d C_{2,2}} .
$$

The multiplication $m: Q(\nu) \times Q(\nu) \rightarrow Q(\nu)$ is defined by the following equation
$s_{L}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\left(\left[\sigma_{\gamma_{1}}, z_{1}\right]_{\varepsilon_{0}^{*} \nu}\right) \otimes\left(\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right), m\left(\left[\sigma_{\gamma_{1}}, z_{1}\right]_{\nu},\left[\sigma_{\gamma_{2}}, z_{2}\right]_{\nu}\right)\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes\left(\left[\sigma_{\gamma_{2}}, z_{2}\right]_{\varepsilon_{2}^{*} \nu}\right)$.
Next we recall how Brylinski and McLaughlin constructed the connection on $Q(\nu)$. Let denote $P_{1} S U(2)$ the space of paths on $S U(2)$ which starts from based point $x_{0}$ and $f: P_{1} S U(2) \rightarrow S U(2)$ a map that is defined by $f(\gamma)=\gamma(1)$. It is well known that $f$ is a fibration. Then we define the 2-form $\omega$ on $P_{1} S U(2)$ as:

$$
\omega_{\gamma}(u, v)=\int_{0}^{1} \nu\left(\frac{d \gamma}{d t}, u(t), v(t)\right) d t
$$

Note that $d \omega=f^{*} \nu$ holds. Let $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{\iota}\right\}$ be an open covering of $S U(2)$. Since $S U(2)$ is simply connected, we can take $\mathcal{U}$ such that each $U_{\iota}$ is contractible and $\left\{L U_{\iota}\right\}$ is an open covering of $L S U(2)$. For example, we take $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{x}:=\right.$ $S U(2)-\{x\} \mid x \in S U(2)\}$.
Now we quote the lemma from [6].
Lemma 4.1 (Brylinski, McLaughlin [6]). (1) There exists a line bundle $L$ over each $f^{-1}\left(U_{\iota}\right)$ with a fiberwise connection such that its first Chern form is equal to $\left.\omega\right|_{f^{-1}\left(U_{t}\right)}$. This line bundle is called the pseudo-line bundle.
(2) There exists a connection $\nabla$ on each pseudo-line bundle $L$ such that its first Chern form $R$ satisfies the condition that $R-\left.\omega\right|_{f^{-1}\left(U_{\iota}\right)}$ is basic.

Let $K$ be a 2 -form on $U_{\iota}$ which satisfies $f^{*} K=2 \pi i\left(R-\left.\omega\right|_{f^{-1}\left(U_{\iota}\right)}\right)$. Then the 1 -form $\theta_{\iota}$ on $L U_{\iota}$ is defined by $\theta_{\iota}:=\int_{S^{1}} e v^{*} K$. It is easy to see $\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) d \theta_{\iota}=$
$\left.\left(\int_{S^{1}} e v^{*} \nu\right)\right|_{L U_{\iota}}$.
There is a section $s_{\iota}$ on $L U_{\iota}$ defined by $s_{\iota}(\gamma):=\left[\sigma_{\gamma}, H_{\sigma_{\gamma}}(L, \nabla)\right]$. Here $H_{\sigma_{\gamma}}(L, \nabla)$ is the holonomy of $(L, \nabla)$ along the loop $\sigma_{\gamma}: S^{1} \rightarrow f^{-1}\left(U_{\iota}\right)$. We also have the corresponding local trivialization $\varphi_{\iota}: \pi^{-1}\left(U_{\iota}\right) \rightarrow U_{\iota} \times U(1)$.

Above all, we have the connection form $\theta$ on $Q(\nu)$ defined by $\left.\theta\right|_{\pi^{-1}\left(U_{t}\right)}:=$ $\pi^{*} \theta_{\iota}+d \log \left(\operatorname{pr}_{2} \circ \varphi_{\iota}\right)$. Its first Chern form $c_{1}(\theta)$ is $\int_{S^{1}} e v^{*} \nu$ and $d \delta \theta$ is equal to

$$
(-2 \pi i) \cdot \int_{S^{1}} e v^{*}\left(\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{*}-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}\right) \nu\right)=(-2 \pi i) \cdot \pi^{*}\left(-d \int_{S^{1}} e v^{*} C_{2,2}\right) .
$$

Hence $\delta \theta+(-2 \pi i) \cdot \pi^{*} \int_{S^{1}} e v^{*} C_{2,2}$ is a flat connection on $\delta Q(\nu)$. Since $\operatorname{LSU}(2)$ is simply connected, it is a trivial connection so

$$
s_{L}^{*}\left(\delta \theta+(-2 \pi i) \cdot \pi^{*} \int_{S^{1}} e v^{*} C_{2,2}\right)=0 .
$$

So as a reformulation of the Brylinski and McLaughlin's result in [6], we obtain the proposition below.

Proposition 4.1. Let $(Q(\nu), \theta)$ be a $U(1)$-bundle on $L S U(2)$ with connection and $s_{L}$ be a global section of $\delta Q(\nu)$ constructed above. Then the cocycle $c_{1}(\theta)-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) s_{L}^{*}(\delta \theta)$ on $\Omega^{3}(N L S U(2))$ is equal to $\int_{S^{1}} e v^{*}\left(C_{1,3}+C_{2,2}\right)$, i.e. the map $\int_{S^{1}} e v^{*}$ sends the second Chern class $c_{2} \in H^{4}(B S U(2))$ to the DixmierDouady class (associated to $Q(\nu))$ in $H^{3}(B L S U(2))$.

Remark 4.1. We explain what happens if we adapt this construction to the loop group of the unitary group. In the case of unitary group $U(2)$, the second Chern class is represented as the sum of following $C_{1,3}^{U}$ and $C_{2,2}^{U}$ described in the diagram below (see [30]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& \uparrow-d \\
& C_{1,3}^{U} \in \Omega^{3}(U(2)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{0}^{*}-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}} \quad \Omega^{3}(U(2) \times U(2)) \\
& \uparrow d \\
& C_{2,2}^{U} \in \Omega^{2}(U(2) \times U(2)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{0}^{*}-\varepsilon_{1}^{*}+\varepsilon_{2}^{*}-\varepsilon_{3}^{*}} 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1,3}^{U}=\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{2} \frac{-1}{6} \operatorname{tr}\left(h^{-1} d h\right)^{3} \\
& C_{2,2}^{U}=\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(h_{2}^{-1} h_{1}^{-1} d h_{1} d h_{2}\right)-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(h_{1}^{-1} d h_{1}\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(h_{2}^{-1} d h_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We recognize $U(2)$ as a semi-direct group $S U(2) \rtimes U(1)$. Let denote by $\Omega U(1)$ the based loop group of $U(1)$. Then any element $\gamma$ in $L U(2)$ is decomposed as $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, z\right) \in L S U(2) \rtimes(\Omega U(1) \rtimes U(1))$. Each connected component of $L U(2)$ is parametrized by the mapping degree of $\gamma_{2}$. We write $\Omega U(1)_{n}, L U(2)_{n}$ the connected component which includes a based loop $\gamma_{2}$ whose mapping degree is $n$. We can see $\pi_{1}\left(L U(2)_{0}\right)=\pi_{1}(L S U(2)) \oplus$ $\pi_{1}\left(L U(1)_{0}\right)=\pi_{1}\left(L U(1)_{0}\right)=\pi_{1}\left(\Omega U(1)_{0}\right) \oplus \pi_{1}(U(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. There is a homeomorphism from $\Omega U(1)_{0}$ to $\Omega U(1)_{n}$ defined by $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \cdot\left(e^{i s} \mapsto e^{i n s}\right)$ for any $n$ so $\pi_{1}\left(L U(2)_{n}\right)$ is also isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. The generator $\psi_{n}$ of $\pi_{1}\left(L U(2)_{n}\right) \cong$ $H_{1}\left(L U(2)_{n}\right)$ is the map defined as

$$
\psi_{n}\left(e^{i t}\right):=\left(e^{i s} \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 e^{i(n s+t)}
\end{array}\right)\right) .
$$

Hence any cycle $a \in Z_{1}\left(L U(2)_{n}\right)$ can be written as $m \psi_{n}+\partial \varrho$ for some 2-chain $\varrho$.
Since $L U(2)$ is not simply connected we need the differential character $k$ to construct a principal $U(1)$-bundle over $L U(2)$. Differential character is a homomorphism from $Z_{1}(L U(2))$ to $U(1)$ such that there exists a specific 2form $\omega$ satisfying $k(\partial \varrho)=\exp \left(\int_{\varrho} 2 \pi i \omega\right)$ for any 2 -singular chains $\varrho$ of $L U(2)$ ([8] see also [24]).

We set $\Phi:=\int_{S^{1}} e v^{*} C_{1,3}^{U}$. If we define $k$ as

$$
k(a):=\exp \left(\int_{\varrho} 2 \pi i \Phi\right)
$$

this is well-defined since $\Phi$ is integral and we obtain the $U(1)$-bundle $Q^{U}$ over $L U(2)$ by using this differential character $k$ instead of $\exp \left(\int_{I^{2} \times S^{1}} 2 \pi i F^{*} \nu\right)$ in Section 4.1. But unfortunately $k\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)$ is not equal to $\exp \left(\int_{\left(\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}\right)} 2 \pi i \varepsilon_{1}^{*} \Phi\right)$ in general. So in this way we can not obtain a section $s_{L}^{U}$ of $\delta Q^{U}$ nor a multiplication $m^{U}: Q^{U} \times Q^{U} \rightarrow Q^{U}$.

### 4.2 In the case of semi-direct product

In this section we deal with the semi-direct $L G \rtimes S^{1}$ for $G=S U(2)$.
First we define a bisimplicial manifold $N L G(*) \rtimes N S^{1}(*)$. A bisimplicial manifold is a sequence of manifolds with horizontal and vertical face and degeneracy operators which commute with each other. A bisimplicial map is a sequence of maps commuting with horizontal and vertical face and degeneracy operators. We define $N L G(*) \rtimes N S^{1}(*)$ as follows:

$$
N L G(p) \rtimes N S^{1}(q):=\overbrace{L G \times \cdots \times L G}^{p-\text { times }} \times \overbrace{S^{1} \times \cdots \times S^{1}}^{q-\text { times }} .
$$

Horizontal face operators $\varepsilon_{i}^{L G}: N L G(p) \rtimes N S^{1}(q) \rightarrow N L G(p-1) \rtimes N S^{1}(q)$ are the same with the face operators of $N L G(p)$.

Vertical face operators $\varepsilon_{i}^{S^{1}}: N L G(p) \rtimes N S^{1}(q) \rightarrow N L G(p) \rtimes N S^{1}(q-1)$ are defined by

$$
\varepsilon_{i}^{S^{1}}\left(\vec{\gamma}, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{q}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\vec{\gamma}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{q}\right) & i=0 \\ \left(\vec{\gamma}, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{i} z_{i+1}, \cdots, z_{q}\right) & i=1, \cdots, q-1 \\ \left(\vec{\gamma} z_{q}, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{q-1}\right) & i=q .\end{cases}
$$

Here $\vec{\gamma}=\left(\gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{p}\right)$.
We define a bisimplicial map $\rho_{\rtimes}: P L G(p) \times P S^{1}(q) \rightarrow N L G(p) \rtimes N S^{1}(q)$ by

$$
\rho_{\rtimes}\left(\vec{\gamma}, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{q+1}\right)=\left(\rho(\vec{\gamma}) z_{q+1}, \rho\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{q+1}\right)\right)
$$

Now we fix a semi-direct product operator ${ }_{\rtimes}$ of $L G \rtimes S^{1}$ as $(\gamma, z) \cdot \rtimes\left(\gamma^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right):=$ $\left(\gamma \cdot\left(\gamma^{\prime} z\right), z z^{\prime}\right)$, then $L G \rtimes S^{1}$ acts on $P L G(p) \times P S^{1}(q)$ by right as $(\vec{\gamma}, \vec{z})$. $(\gamma, z)=\left(\vec{\gamma} \cdot z^{-1}(\gamma), \vec{z} z\right)$. Since $\rho_{\rtimes}(\vec{\gamma}, \vec{z})=\rho_{\rtimes}((\vec{\gamma}, \vec{z}) \cdot(\gamma, z))$, one can see that $\rho_{\rtimes}$ is a principal $\left(L G \rtimes S^{1}\right)$-bundle. $\left\|P L G(*) \times P S^{1}(*)\right\|$ is $E L G \times E S^{1}$ and $\left\|N L G(*) \rtimes N S^{1}(*)\right\|$ is homeomorphic to $\left(E L G \times E S^{1}\right) /\left(L G \rtimes S^{1}\right)$, so $\left\|N L G(*) \rtimes N S^{1}(*)\right\|$ is a model of $B\left(L G \rtimes S^{1}\right)$.
Definition 4.1. For a bisimplicial manifold $N L G(*) \rtimes N S^{1}(*)$, we have a triple complex as follows:

$$
\Omega^{p, q, r}\left(N L G(*) \rtimes N S^{1}(*)\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \Omega^{r}\left(N L G(p) \rtimes N S^{1}(q)\right)
$$

Derivatives are:

$$
d^{\prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{p+1}(-1)^{i}\left(\varepsilon_{i}^{L G}\right)^{*}, \quad d^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{q+1}(-1)^{i}\left(\varepsilon_{i}^{S^{1}}\right)^{*} \times(-1)^{p}
$$

$d^{\prime \prime \prime}=(-1)^{p+q} \times$ the exterior differential on $\Omega^{*}\left(N L G(p) \rtimes N S^{1}(q)\right)$.

The following proposition can be proved by adapting the same argument in the proof of Theorem 2.1 (See [32]).

Proposition 4.2. There exists an isomorphism

$$
H\left(\Omega^{*}\left(N L G \rtimes N S^{1}\right) \cong H^{*}\left(B\left(L G \rtimes S^{1}\right)\right)\right.
$$

Here $\Omega^{*}\left(N L G \rtimes N S^{1}\right)$ means the total complex.

Now we want to construct the cocycle in $\Omega^{3}\left(N L G \rtimes N S^{1}\right)$ which coincides with $c_{1}(\theta)-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) s_{L}{ }^{*}(\delta \theta)$ when it is restricted to $\Omega^{3}(N L G)$.

To do this, it suffices to construct the differential form $\tau$ on $\Omega^{1}\left(L G \rtimes S^{1}\right)$ such that $d \tau=\left(-\varepsilon_{0}^{1^{*}}+\varepsilon_{1}^{S^{1 *}}\right) c_{1}(\theta)$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{L G^{*}}-\varepsilon_{1}^{L G^{*}}+\varepsilon_{2}^{L G^{*}}\right) \tau=\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{S^{1^{*}}}-\right.$ $\left.\varepsilon_{1}^{S^{*}}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) s_{L}{ }^{*}(\delta \theta)$ and $\left(-\varepsilon_{0}^{S^{*}}+\varepsilon_{1}^{S^{1 *}}-\varepsilon_{2}^{S^{1 *}}\right) \tau=0$. We consider the trivial $U(1)$-bundle $\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{S^{1 *}} Q\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes \varepsilon_{1}^{S^{1 *}} Q$ and the induced connection form $\delta_{\rtimes} \theta$ on it. We define the section $s_{\rtimes}: L G \rtimes S^{1} \rightarrow\left(\varepsilon_{0}^{S^{1 *}} Q\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes \varepsilon_{1}^{S^{1 *}} Q$ as $s_{\rtimes}(\gamma, z):=$ $(\hat{\gamma}, z)^{\otimes-1} \otimes(\hat{\gamma} z, z)$ and set $\tau:=\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) s_{\rtimes}{ }^{*}\left(\delta_{\rtimes} \theta\right)$ then we can see that $\tau$ satisfies the required conditions.

## 5 Appendix: A central $U(1)$-extension of a groupoid

This section is a short survey of the theory of a central $U(1)$-extension of a Lie groupoid.

At first we recall the definition of Lie groupoids following [19].
Definition 5.1. A Lie groupoid $\Gamma_{1}$ over a manifold $\Gamma_{0}$ is a pair $\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{0}\right)$ equipped with following differentiable maps:
(i) surjections $s, t: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{0}$ called the source and target maps respectively;
(ii) $m: \Gamma_{2} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1}$ called multiplication, where $\Gamma_{2}:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Gamma_{1} \times\right.$ $\left.\Gamma_{1} \mid t\left(x_{1}\right)=s\left(x_{2}\right)\right\} ;$
(iii) an injection $e: \Gamma_{0} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1}$ called identities;
(iv) $\iota: \Gamma_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1}$ called inversion.

These maps must satisfy:
(1) (associative law) $m\left(m\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), x_{3}\right)=m\left(x_{1}, m\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right)$ if one is defined, so is the other;
(2) (identities) for each $x \in \Gamma_{1},(e(s(x)), x) \in \Gamma_{2},(x, e(t(x))) \in \Gamma_{2}$ and $m(e(s(x)), x)=m(x, e(t(x)))=x$;
(3) (inverses) for each $x \in \Gamma_{1},(x, \iota(x)) \in \Gamma_{2},(\iota(x), x) \in \Gamma_{2}, m(x, \iota(x))=$ $e(s(x))$, and $m(\iota(x), x)=e(t(x))$.

In this paper we denote a Lie groupoid by $\Gamma_{1} \rightrightarrows \Gamma_{0}$.
Example 5.1. Suppose that $G$ is a Lie group acting on a manifold $M$ by left. Then we have a groupoid $\Gamma_{1}=G \times M, \Gamma_{0}=M$. The source map $s$ is defined as $s(g, u)=u$ and the target map $t$ is defined as $t(g, u)=g u$. This groupoid $M \rtimes G \rightrightarrows M$ is often called an action groupoid and denoted by $M / / G$.

Example 5.2. Suppose that $M$ is a manifold and $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ is a covering of $M$. Then we have a groupoid $\Gamma_{1}=\coprod\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right), \Gamma_{0}=\coprod U_{\alpha}$. The source map $s$ is an inclusion map into $U_{\alpha}$ and the target map $t$ is an inclusion map into $U_{\beta}$.

### 5.1 Double complex and central $U(1)$-extension

Let $\Gamma_{1} \rightrightarrows \Gamma_{0}$ be a Lie groupoid and denote by $s, t, m$ the source and target maps, and the multiplication of it respectively. Then we can define a simplicial manifold $N \Gamma$ as follows:

$$
N \Gamma(p):=\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}\right) \in \overbrace{\Gamma_{1} \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{1}}^{p-\text { times }} \mid t\left(x_{j}\right)=s\left(x_{j+1}\right) j=1, \cdots, p-1\}
$$

face operators $\varepsilon_{i}: N \Gamma(p) \rightarrow N \Gamma(p-1)$

$$
\varepsilon_{i}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(x_{2}, \cdots, x_{p}\right) & i=0 \\ \left(x_{1}, \cdots, m\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right), \cdots, x_{p}\right) & i=1, \cdots, p-1 \\ \left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p-1}\right) & i=p\end{cases}
$$

The double complex $\Omega^{*, *}(N \Gamma)$ is also defined in a similar way.
Example 5.3. In the case of an action groupoid $M \rtimes G \rightrightarrows M$ for a smooth manifold $M$ and a compact Lie group $G$ which acts on $M, H\left(\Omega^{*}(N \Gamma)\right)$ is isomorphic to the Borel model of the equivariant cohomology $H_{G}^{*}(M):=$ $H^{*}\left(E G \times_{G} M\right)$ (see for example [11]).

Example 5.4. In the case of the groupoid $\coprod\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right) \rightrightarrows \amalg U_{\alpha}$ for a good covering $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ in Example 5.2, $H\left(\Omega^{*}(N \Gamma)\right.$ ) is isomorphic to $H^{*}(M)$.

Now we recall the notion of a central $U(1)$-extension of a groupoid in [2] [33]. A central $U(1)$-extension of a Lie groupoid $\Gamma_{1} \rightrightarrows \Gamma_{0}$ consists of a morphism of Lie groupoids

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\widehat{\Gamma}_{1} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \Gamma_{1} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Gamma_{0} & \xrightarrow{\text { id }} & \Gamma_{0}
\end{array}
$$

and a right $U(1)$-action on $\widehat{\Gamma}_{1}$, making $\pi: \widehat{\Gamma}_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1}$ a principal $U(1)$-bundle. For any $z_{1}, z_{2} \in U(1)$ and $\left(\hat{x_{1}}, \hat{x_{2}}\right) \in N \widehat{\Gamma}(2):=\left\{\left(\hat{y_{1}}, \hat{y_{2}}\right) \in \widehat{\Gamma}_{1} \times \widehat{\Gamma}_{1} \mid t\left(\hat{y_{1}}\right)=\right.$ $\left.s\left(\hat{y_{2}}\right)\right\}$, the equation $\hat{m}\left(\hat{x_{1}} z_{1}, \hat{x_{2}} z_{2}\right)=\hat{m}\left(\hat{x_{1}}, \hat{x_{2}}\right) z_{1} z_{2}$ holds.

Note that there is a section $\hat{s}_{s t}$ of $\delta \widehat{\Gamma}_{1}$ defined as

$$
\hat{s}_{s t}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=\left[\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \hat{x}_{2}\right),\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \hat{m}\left(\hat{x}_{1}, \hat{x}_{2}\right)\right)^{\otimes-1},\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \hat{x}_{1}\right)\right] .
$$

Furthermore, because of the associative law of $\left.\Gamma_{1} \rightrightarrows \Gamma_{0}, \delta\left(\delta \widehat{\Gamma}_{1}\right)\right)$ is canonically isomorphic to the product bundle and $\delta \hat{s}_{s t}=1$ holds.

Let $\widehat{\Gamma}_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1} \rightrightarrows \Gamma_{0}$ be a central $U(1)$-extension of a groupoid and $\theta$ be a connection form of the $U(1)$-bundle $\widehat{\Gamma}_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1}$. Then we can use the same argument in Section 3.2 and obtain the cocycle on $\Omega^{*}(N \Gamma(*))$. In [1][2] and related papers, they call $\theta$ a pseudo-connection of a central $U(1)-$ extension of a groupoid $\widehat{\Gamma}_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1} \rightrightarrows \Gamma_{0}$ and when $-\left(\frac{-1}{2 \pi i}\right) \hat{s}_{s t}^{*}(\delta \theta) \in \Omega^{1}(N \Gamma(2))$ vanishes they call $\theta$ a connection of $\widehat{\Gamma}_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1} \rightrightarrows \Gamma_{0}$. If the horizontal complex $\Omega^{1}(N \Gamma(1)) \xrightarrow{d^{\prime}} \Omega^{1}(N \Gamma(2)) \xrightarrow{d^{\prime}} \Omega^{1}(N \Gamma(3))$ is exact, a connection of $\widehat{\Gamma}_{1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1} \rightrightarrows$ $\Gamma_{0}$ exists.

### 5.2 Bundle gerbes

### 5.2.1 The definition and basic properties

In this section, we recall the definition of bundle gerbes and some basic properties of them.

Definition 5.2 (Murray-Stevenson, [21][22]). Given a surjective submersion $\phi: Y \rightarrow M$, we obtain the groupoid $Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y$ where $Y^{[2]}$ is the fiber product defined as $Y^{[2]}:=\left\{\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \mid \phi\left(y_{1}\right)=\phi\left(y_{2}\right)\right\}$. The source and target maps are defined as $s\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=y_{2}, t\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=y_{1}$ respectively.

A bundle gerbe over $M$ is a pair of $\phi: Y \rightarrow M$, a principal $U(1)$-bundle $\widehat{Y^{[2]}}$ over $Y^{[2]}$ and a section $\hat{s}$ of $\delta \widehat{Y^{[2]}}$ which satisfies $\delta \hat{s}=1$.

Remark 5.1. Without the assumption of the existence of $\hat{s}, \delta \widehat{Y^{[2]}}$ is not necessarily trivial. By using $\hat{s}$, we can construct a multiplication $\hat{m}: \widehat{Y^{[2]}} \times \widehat{Y^{[2]}} \rightarrow$ $\widehat{Y^{[2]}}$ such that $\hat{s}$ is a natural section of $\delta \widehat{Y^{[2]}}$. Hence we can recognize bundle gerbe as a kind of a central $U(1)$-extension of a Lie groupoid.

Bundle gerbe was invented by Murray in [21]. It is often denoted by $\mathcal{G}$. Here we recall the classification theory of bundle gerbe due to Murray and Stevenson.
Remark 5.2. In the case that the surjective submersion is given by $\amalg U_{\alpha} \rightarrow M$ and groupoid is $\coprod\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right) \rightrightarrows \coprod U_{\alpha}$ for a good covering $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ in Example 5.2, the bundle gerbe $\left(\widehat{\Gamma}_{1} \rightarrow \coprod\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right) \rightrightarrows \coprod U_{\alpha}, \hat{s}\right)$ is called Hitchin-Chatterjee gerbe data ([14]).
Definition 5.3 ([21][22]). The bundle gerbe ( $\left.\widehat{Y}^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y, \hat{s}\right)$ is called trivial if there exists a principal $U(1)$-bundle $R$ over $Y$ and a section $v$ : $Y^{[2]} \rightarrow \delta R^{\otimes-1} \otimes \widehat{Y}{ }^{[2]}$ such that $\delta v=\hat{s}$. Such a pair $(R, v)$ is called a trivialization of the bundle gerbe $\left(\widehat{Y}^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y, \hat{s}\right)$.
Definition 5.4 ([21][22]). Bundle gerbes $\left(\widehat{Y}^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y, \hat{s}\right)$ and $\left(\widehat{Y}^{[2]} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y^{\prime}, \hat{s}^{\prime}\right)$ are stably isomorphic if there exists following date:
(i) a surjective submersion $W \rightarrow M$;
(ii) smooth maps $\phi: W \rightarrow Y$ and $\phi^{\prime}: W \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ which are compatible with projections onto $M$;
(iii) a trivialization of $\left(\phi^{*}\left(\widehat{Y}^{[2]}\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes \phi^{\prime *} \widehat{Y}^{[2]} \rightarrow W^{[2]} \rightrightarrows W, \phi^{*} \hat{S}^{\otimes-1} \otimes \phi^{\prime *} s^{\prime}\right)$.

Definition 5.5 ([21]). We define the product $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ of bundle gerbes $\mathcal{G}=$ $\left(\widehat{Y}^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y, \hat{s}\right)$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}=\left(\widehat{Y}^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y^{\prime}, \hat{s}^{\prime}\right)$ as

$$
\left(\widehat{Y}^{[2]} \otimes \widehat{Y}^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \times_{\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)} Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y \times_{\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)} Y^{\prime}, \hat{s} \otimes \hat{s}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Here $Y \times_{\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)} Y^{\prime}$ is defined as $Y \times_{\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)} Y^{\prime}:=\left\{\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \in Y \times Y^{\prime} \mid \pi(y)=\pi^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. The inverse $\mathcal{G}^{-1}$ of $\mathcal{G}=\left(\widehat{Y}{ }^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y, \hat{s}\right)$ is $\left(\left(\widehat{Y}^{[2]}\right)^{\otimes-1} \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y, \hat{s}^{\otimes-1}\right)$.

Then the following theorem holds true.
Theorem 5.1 ([21][22]). The isomorphism classes of bundle gerbes over $M$ are parametrized by $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. We construct the characteristic class in $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a Leray covering of $M$ and $s_{\alpha}:\left.U_{\alpha} \rightarrow Y\right|_{U_{\alpha}}$ local sections of $\phi$. Then there is an induced section $\psi_{\alpha \beta}: U_{\alpha \beta}: U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \rightarrow\left(s_{\alpha}, s_{\beta}\right)^{*} \widehat{Y}{ }^{[2]}$. Now a $U(1)$-valued function $g_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ on $U_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ is defined as $\left(\left(s_{\alpha}, s_{\beta}, s_{\gamma}\right)^{*} \hat{s}\right) \cdot g_{\alpha \beta \gamma}:=\psi_{\alpha \beta} \otimes \psi_{\beta \gamma} \otimes$ $\psi_{\gamma \alpha}$. Then it is easily seen that $\left\{g_{\alpha \beta \gamma}\right\}$ is a $U(1)$-valued Cech-cocycle on $M$ and define a cohomology class in $H^{2}(M, \underline{U(1)}) \cong H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. This class is called the Dixmier-Douady class of bundle gerbe $\mathcal{G}=\left(\widehat{Y}^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y, \hat{s}\right)$. We denote it $D(\mathcal{G})$. We can check that $D\left(\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)=D(\mathcal{G})+D\left(\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\right)$ and if $\mathcal{G}$ is trivial then $D(\mathcal{G})$ is the trivial class in $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore $\mathcal{G} \mapsto$ $D(\mathcal{G})$ is well-defined monomorphism. Finally we check the surjectivity of this map. Given any $U(1)$-valued Cech-cocycle $\left\{g_{\alpha \beta \gamma}\right\}$ of $M$, we can construct the bundle gerbe $\mathcal{G}$ by $Y:=\coprod U_{\alpha}, \widehat{Y}^{[2]}:=Y^{[2]} \times U(1)$ and $\hat{s}:=\left\{g_{\alpha \beta \gamma}\right\}$.

There is a practical method to calculate the Dixmier-Douady class in $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{R})$. To explain this, we quote the following basic proposition from [23].

Proposition 5.1 ([21]). The complex

$$
0 \rightarrow \Omega^{*}(M) \xrightarrow{\phi^{*}} \Omega^{*}(Y) \xrightarrow{d^{\prime}} \Omega^{*}\left(Y^{[2]}\right) \xrightarrow{d^{\prime}} \Omega^{*}\left(Y^{[3]}\right) \xrightarrow{d^{\prime}} \cdots
$$

is exact.
Since the complex $\Omega^{1}\left(Y^{[2]}\right) \xrightarrow{d^{\prime}} \Omega^{1}\left(Y^{[3]}\right) \xrightarrow{d^{\prime}} \Omega^{1}\left(Y^{[4]}\right)$ is exact hence there exists a connection $\theta$ of principal $U(1)$-bundle $\widehat{Y}^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]}$ such that $\hat{s}^{*} \theta=$ 0 . We call this a connection of bundle gerbe $\widehat{Y}^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y$. Let $\theta \in$ $\Omega^{1}\left(Y^{[2]}\right)$ be any connection form of bundle gerbe $\widehat{Y}^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y$. Then there exists a 2-form $H$ on $Y$ which satisfies $\operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} H-\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} H=c_{1}(\theta)$ because $\Omega^{2}(Y) \xrightarrow{d^{\prime}} \Omega^{2}\left(Y^{[2]}\right) \xrightarrow{d^{\prime}} \Omega^{2}\left(Y^{[3]}\right)$ is exact. This 2-form is called a curving of the bundle gerbe. Furthermore, there exists a closed 3-form $D$ on $M$ such
that $\phi^{*} D=d H$ since $0 \rightarrow \Omega^{3}(M) \xrightarrow{\phi^{*}} \Omega^{3}(Y) \xrightarrow{d^{\prime}} \Omega^{3}\left(Y^{[2]}\right)$ is also exact. The cohomology class $[D]$ does not depend on the choice of connection and curving, and coincides with the Dixmier-Douady class of $\left(\widehat{Y}^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y, \hat{s}\right)$ in $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{R})$.

In the case of a central $U(1)$-extension of group $G$, the Dixmier-Douady class of a principal $G$-bundle is a torsion class if $G$ is a finite dimensional Lie group. In the case of bundle gerbe, like the bundle gerbe $\left(\amalg U_{\alpha \beta} \times U(1) \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\amalg U_{\alpha \beta} \rightrightarrows \coprod U_{\alpha}, \hat{s}:=\left\{g_{\alpha \beta \gamma}\right\}\right)$ in the proof of Theorem 5.1, there are some bundle gerbes whose Dixmier-Douady class is not torsion class even though their submersion has a finite dimensional fiber.

In general, the following theorem holds.
Theorem 5.2 (Murray-Stevenson, [25]). Let $\left(\widehat{Y}^{[2]} \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y, \hat{s}\right)$ be a bundle gerbe over a simply connected manifold $M$ with connected, finite dimensional fiber $F$ of submersion $\phi: Y \rightarrow M$. Then its Dixmier-Douady class is a torsion class.

We can check the necessity of the conditions in Theorem 5.2 by considering the examples of bundle gerbes given in the next section.

### 5.2.2 Examples of bundle gerbes

Example 5.5. Let $\pi: M \rightarrow \Sigma_{g}$ be an oriented $S^{1}$-bundle over a closed oriented surface whose genus is $g$. It is well-known that $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Here we show how to construct the bundle gerbe whose Dixmier-Douady class is the generator of $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

We take an open ball $D^{2} \in \Sigma_{g}$ and a point $p \in D^{2}$. Then $\pi^{-1}\left(D^{2}\right) \approx$ $D^{2} \times U(1)$ and $\pi^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{g} \backslash\{p\}\right) \approx\left(\Sigma_{g} \backslash\{p\}\right) \times U(1)$ because their first Chern classes are 0 . For convenience we set $V_{1}:=\pi^{-1}\left(D^{2}\right)$ and $V_{2}:=\pi^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{g} \backslash\{p\}\right)$. Let denote $Y$ the disjoint union $V_{1} \sqcup V_{2}$ and define a surjective submersion $\phi: Y \rightarrow M$ as an inclusion. Then the fiber product $Y^{[2]}$ is $\left(V_{1} \times V_{1}\right) \sqcup d\left(V_{1} \cap\right.$ $\left.V_{2}\right) \sqcup d\left(V_{2} \cap V_{1}\right) \sqcup\left(V_{2} \times V_{2}\right)$ where $d\left(V_{1} \cap V_{2}\right)$ is the space of the diagonal elements $\left.\left\{(u, u) \mid u \in V_{1} \cap V_{2}\right)\right\} \subset\left(V_{1} \cap V_{2}\right) \times\left(V_{1} \cap V_{2}\right)$.

Since $d\left(V_{1} \cap V_{2}\right)$ is homotopic to $S^{1} \times S^{1}$ and there is the principal $U(1)$-bundle $P$ over $d\left(V_{1} \cap V_{2}\right)$ whose first Chern class $c_{1}$ is the generator of $H^{2}\left(d\left(V_{1} \cap V_{2}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong H^{2}\left(S^{1} \times S^{1}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

We define a principal $U(1)$-bundle $Q$ over $Y^{[2]}$ as the disjoint union of $P$ over $d\left(V_{2} \cap V_{1}\right)$ and $P^{\otimes-1}$ over $d\left(V_{1} \cap V_{2}\right)$, and a product bundle on $\left(V_{1} \times\right.$
$\left.V_{1}\right) \sqcup\left(V_{2} \times V_{2}\right)$. Then $\delta Q$ over $Y^{[3]}$ is canonically isomorphic to $Y^{[3]} \times U(1)$ so we take a section as $\hat{s}=1$.

Proposition 5.2. The Dixmier-Douady class of the bundle gerbe $(Q \rightarrow$ $\left.Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y, \hat{s}\right)$ is the generator of $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $\theta$ be a connection of bundle gerbe $\left(Q \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y\right.$, $\left.\hat{s}\right)$, i.e. $\theta$ is a connection form of the principal $U(1)$-bundle $Q$ which satisfies $\hat{s}^{*}(\delta \theta)=0$. Then there is a 2 -form $H$ on $Y$ which satisfies $\operatorname{pr}_{2}^{*} H-\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} H=c_{1}(\theta)$. There is also the closed 3-form $D$ on $E$ which satisfies $\phi^{*} D=d H$. Then $[D]$ represents the Dixmier-Douady class of $Q \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y$ with $\mathbb{R}$-coefficients.

Now $c_{1}(\theta)$ is the generator of $H^{2}\left(d\left(V_{1} \cap V_{2}\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$, and the map $H^{2}\left(d\left(V_{1} \cap\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.V_{2}\right), \mathbb{R}\right) \ni c_{1}(\theta) \mapsto[D] \in H^{3}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is nothing but the connecting homomorphism in the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ on the de Rham cohomology, so $[D]$ represents the generator of $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{R})$. This completes the proof.

Example 5.6. There is an important example of bundle gerbes so-called lifting bundle gerbe defined as follows. Let $\widehat{G} \rightarrow G$ be a central $U(1)$-extension of a Lie group $G$ and $\phi: Y \rightarrow M$ be a principal $G$-bundle. We define a map $\zeta: Y^{[2]} \rightarrow G$ as $y_{1} \zeta\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=y_{2}$. Then $\left(\zeta^{*} \widehat{G} \rightarrow Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y, \hat{s}_{n t}\right)$ is a bundle gerbe. The Dixmier-Douady class of the lifting bundle gerbe coincides with the Dixmier-Douady class of $\phi: Y \rightarrow M$.

Remark 5.3. We take $M$ as in Example 5.5 then we can construct the principal $P U(H)$-bundle over $M$ whose Dixmier-Douady class is the generator of $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ using the bundle gerbe in Example 5.5.

First we make trivial principal $P U(H)$-bundles over $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. We denote them by $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$. Since $U(H) \rightarrow P U(H)$ is a model of the universal $U(1)$ bundle, there is a continuous map $\phi_{12}: V_{1} \cap V_{2} \rightarrow P U(H)$ such that the first Chern class of $\phi_{12}^{*} U(H)$ is the generator of $H^{2}\left(V_{1} \cap V_{2}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. We also take $\phi_{21}$ as the inverse valued map of $\phi_{12}$. Now by gluing $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ by $\phi_{12}$, we obtain a principal $P U(H)$-bundle $\rho: R_{1} \cup_{\phi_{12}} R_{2} \rightarrow M$.

Proposition 5.3. The Dixmier-Douady class of the principal $P U(H)$-bundle $R_{1} \cup_{\phi_{12}} R_{2}$ is the generator of $H^{3}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. For convenience we write $R:=R_{1} \cup_{\phi_{12}} R_{2}$. Then there is a map $\zeta: R^{[2]} \rightarrow P U(H)$ which is defined by $r_{1} \cdot \zeta\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=r_{2}$ for $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \in R^{[2]}$. By pulling back $U(H) \rightarrow P U(H)$ on $R^{[2]}$ by $\zeta$, we obtain the lifting bundle gerbe $\zeta^{*} U(H) \rightarrow R^{[2]} \rightrightarrows R$.

Now we show that $\zeta^{*} U(H) \rightarrow R^{[2]} \rightrightarrows R$ is stably isomorphic to $Q \rightarrow$ $Y^{[2]} \rightrightarrows Y$ in Example 5.5. We define the surjective submersion

$$
f: W:=\left(V_{1} \sqcup V_{2}\right) \times P U(H) \rightarrow M
$$

as the projection into the first factor. There are also natural projections $f_{1}:\left(V_{1} \sqcup V_{2}\right) \times P U(H) \rightarrow R$ and $f_{2}:\left(V_{1} \sqcup V_{2}\right) \times P U(H) \rightarrow\left(V_{1} \sqcup V_{2}\right)$ which satisfy $f=\rho \circ f_{1}=i \circ f_{2}$. Then $f_{1}^{*}\left(\zeta^{*} U(H)\right)^{\otimes-1} \otimes f_{2}^{*} Q$ is canonically trivial since the diagram below is commutative.


The statement of the proposition follows from this.

We give an example of bundle gerbes whose section $\hat{s}$ is not trivial. This construction is given by Johnson in [16] and Murray, Stevenson in [25].

Example 5.7. We can construct the bundle gerbe over the torus $T^{3}=$ $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}$ whose Dixmier-Douady class is the generator of $H^{3}\left(T^{3}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ in the following way.

We set $Y:=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and define the submersion $\phi: Y \rightarrow T^{3}$ by $t \rightarrow \exp (2 \pi i t)$. We write an element of $Y$ as $\vec{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$. Then $(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \in Y^{[2]}$ means $\vec{x}-\vec{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$. We take a principal $U(1)$-bundle $Q$ over $Y^{[2]}$ as a product $U(1)-$ bundle and define the section $\hat{s}$ of $\delta Q$ by $\hat{s}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z}):=\exp (2 \pi i \gamma(\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z}))$ where $\gamma$ is defined by $\gamma(\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z}):=\left(y_{1}-z_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-y_{2}\right) x_{3}$. Then we can check that $\delta \hat{s}=1$.

There is a projection map $(\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z}) \mapsto \vec{x}$ and we have $\mathbb{R}^{3}$-valued differential 1 -form $d \vec{x}$ on $Y^{[3]}$. Similarly $d \vec{y}$ and $d \vec{z}$ are defined. Since $\vec{x}-\vec{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$ and $\vec{y}-\vec{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$, the equation $d \vec{x}=d \vec{y}=d \vec{z}$ holds. Note that each $d x_{i}$ are pullbacks of $\frac{1}{2 \pi} d \theta_{i} \in \Omega^{1}\left(S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}\right)$ by $\phi$ where $d \theta_{i}$ is the volume form of $i$-th $S^{1}$. We define the connection $\theta$ and the curving $H$ as $\theta:=-2 \pi i\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right) x_{2} d x_{3}$, $H:=-x_{1} d x_{2} \wedge d x_{3}$. Then $d H=d x_{1} \wedge d x_{2} \wedge d x_{3}$ so the Dixmier-Douady class is $\left[\frac{1}{8 \pi^{3}} d \theta_{1} \wedge d \theta_{2} \wedge d \theta_{3}\right] \in H^{3}\left(T^{3}, \mathbb{R}\right)$.
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