

Table 4. C_1 and C_3 parameter values of the tetrad effect variations for the reactions (4-2) and (4-1) determined in the least-squares fittings using the improved RSPET equation (21).

	C_1 and C_3 parameters of tetrad effect variations ¹⁾	
Reaction (4-2) for $[LnEDTA \cdot (H_2O)_3]^-_{(aq)}$	$C_1/10^{-1}$	$C_3/10^{-2}$
$\Delta H_r(\text{hyc})$ (kJ/mol)	$+(0.16 \pm 0.06)$	$+(0.34 \pm 0.19)$
$\Delta S_r(\text{hyc})$ (J/mol/K)	$+(0.46 \pm 0.30)$	$+(0.85 \pm 0.96)$
$\Delta G_r(\text{hyc})$ (kJ/mol)	$+(0.03 \pm 0.03)$ $[+(0.02 \pm 0.11)]$	$+(0.09 \pm 0.11)$ $[+(0.09 \pm 0.34)]$
Reaction (4-1) for $[LnEDTA \cdot (H_2O)_2]^-_{(aq)}$	$C_1/10^{-1}$	$C_3/10^{-2}$
$\Delta H_r(\text{hyc})$ (kJ/mol)	$-(0.08 \pm 0.03)$	$-(0.15 \pm 0.10)$
$\Delta S_r(\text{hyc})$ (J/mol/K)	$+(0.07 \pm 0.14)$	$+(0.36 \pm 0.47)$
$\Delta G_r(\text{hyc})$ (kJ/mol)	$-(0.10 \pm 0.06)$ $[-(0.10 \pm 0.05)]$	$-(0.26 \pm 0.19)$ $[-(0.26 \pm 0.17)]$

1) $\Delta H_r(\text{hyc})$, $\Delta S_r(\text{hyc})$, and $\Delta G_r(\text{hyc})$ values are based on the experimental ΔH_r data for Ln(III)-EDTA formations by Mackey et al. (1962) combined with the ΔG_r data by Kawabe (2013a), together with the hydration change corrections for light $Ln^{3+}_{(aq)}$ by Kawabe (1999a). They are listed in Part A of Table 2, and fitted to the RSPET equation of (21).

2) The C_1 and C_3 values in the square brackets are calculated according to eq. (26). They are in good agreements with the values by the direct least-squares fittings.

Table 5. C_1 and C_3 parameter values determined by the least-squares fittings of the data of $\Delta\Delta H^0$, $\Delta\Delta S^0$, and $\Delta\Delta G^0$ for hydration change reactions for Ln-EDTA of (3) to the improved RSPET equation (21), along with their one-S.D. errors. They are compared with those calculated from the results of Table 4.

	Regression of the data ¹⁾ by the improved RSPET equation (21)		Differences ²⁾ by the results of Table 4	
	$C_1/10^{-1}$	$C_3/10^{-2}$	$C_1/10^{-1}$	$C_3/10^{-2}$
Reaction (3) that $[LnEDTA(H_2O)_3]^-_{(aq)}$ $= [LnEDTA(H_2O)_2]^-_{(aq)}$ $+ H_2O_{(l)}$				
$\Delta\Delta H^0_r$ (kJ/mol)	– (0.25±0.08)	– (0.49±0.25)	– (0.24±0.07)	– (0.49±0.21)
$\Delta\Delta S^0_r$ (J/mol/K)	– (0.39±0.20)	– (0.48±0.63)	– (0.39±0.33)	– (0.49±1.07)
$\Delta\Delta G^0_r$ (kJ/mol)	– (0.13±0.03) [– (0.13±0.05)]	– (0.35±0.11) [– (0.35±0.24)]	– (0.13±0.07)	– (0.35±0.22)

1) $\Delta\Delta H^0$, $\Delta\Delta S^0$, and $\Delta\Delta G^0$ for hydration change reactions for Ln-EDTA of (3) are calculated from the experimental ΔH_r data for Ln(III)-EDTA formations by Mackey et al. (1962) combined with the ΔG_r data by Kawabe (2013a). Such sets of $\Delta\Delta H^0_r$, $\Delta\Delta S^0_r$, and $\Delta\Delta G^0_r$ are individually fitted to the improved RSPET equation (21). The C_1 and C_3 values in the square brackets are those calculated as differences according to eq. (26).

2) Calculated as differences in C_1 and C_3 between the reactions of (4-2) and (4-1), which are listed in Table 3.

Table 6. Changes of Racah (E^1 and E^3) parameters for Nd^{3+} determined in the least-squares fittings of the enthalpy data to the improved RSPET equation¹⁾

Change of aqueous Nd^{3+} species	ΔCN	ΔE^1 (cm^{-1})	ΔE^3 (cm^{-1})
$\Delta H_r(\text{hyc})$ for the reaction (4-2): $Nd^{3+}_{(octa,aq)} \rightarrow [Nd \cdot EDTA(H_2O)_3]^{-}(aq)$	+1 (8 \rightarrow 9)	+(39 \pm 14)	+(8 \pm 4)
$\Delta H_r(\text{hyc})$ for the reaction (4-1): $Nd^{3+}_{(octa,aq)} \rightarrow [Nd \cdot EDTA(H_2O)_2]^{-}(aq)$	0 (8 \rightarrow 8)	-(19 \pm 7)	-(4 \pm 2)
$\Delta \Delta H_r^0$ for the reaction (3): $[NdEDTA(H_2O)_3]^{-}(aq)$ $\rightarrow [Nd \cdot EDTA(H_2O)_2]^{-}(aq)$	-1 (9 \rightarrow 8)	-(58 \pm 18) [-(58 \pm 17)] ²⁾	-(12 \pm 6) [-(12 \pm 4)] ²⁾

1) Calculations are based on eq. (22) and $1 \text{ kJ/mol} = 83.5935 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, together with and the results listed in Tables 4 and 5.

2) The values in the square brackets are the simple differences in $\Delta E^1(Nd^{3+})$ or $\Delta E^3(Nd^{3+})$ between the reactions of (4-1) and (4-2). They are in good agreements with the values in the direct least-squares fittings without square brackets.

Table 7. The proportionally constant of κ given by the ratio of $\Delta S_r(\text{tetrad})$ and $\Delta H_r(\text{tetrad})^1$.

	$\kappa(E^1)/(1/K)$	$\kappa(E^3)/(1/K)$
Light Ln(III)-EDTA complex formation ²⁾ $\Delta H_r(\text{hyc})$ and $\Delta S_r(\text{hyc})$ for the reaction (4-2)	$(2.9 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.5 \pm 4.6) \times 10^{-3}$
Heavy Ln(III)-EDTA complex formation ²⁾ $\Delta H_r(\text{hyc})$ and $\Delta S_r(\text{hyc})$ for the reaction (4-1)	$-(0.9 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-3}$	$-(2.4 \pm 3.5) \times 10^{-3}$
$[LnEDTA(H_2O)_3]^- (aq)$ $= [LnEDTA(H_2O)_2]^- (aq) + H_2O(l)$ $\Delta \Delta H_r^0$ and $\Delta \Delta S_r^0$ for the reaction (3) ³⁾	$(1.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.0 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3}$

1) $\kappa(E^1) = C_1(\Delta S_r)/C_1(\Delta H_r)$ and $\kappa(E^3) = C_3(\Delta S_r)/C_3(\Delta H_r)$. The values in bold indicate that they are close to $\kappa \approx 3 \times 10^{-3} (1/K)$, which gives rise to no significant tetrad effect in ΔG_r even though both ΔH_r and ΔS_r show similar tetrad effects at normal temperatures.

2) C_1 and C_3 parameters of the tetrad effect variations of $\Delta H_r(\text{hyc})$ and $\Delta S_r(\text{hyc})$ for the reactions (4-2) and (4-1) are listed Table 4.

3) C_1 and C_3 parameters for the tetrad effect variations for $\Delta \Delta H_r$ and $\Delta \Delta S_r$ for the reaction (3) are listed in Table 5.