学位報告4



Most current research in the field of autonomous vehicle control assumes that all ve hicles will follow the same patterns of automated driving behavior, resulting in syste ms with "conservative" or "average" driving styles. These systems may not be accept able to drivers who prefer a more aggressive style of driving, however, extremely ca utious drivers may consider the standard outputs to be too aggressive. To improve th e wider acceptance of autonomous driving, and to improve the trust in autonomous driving systems, a framework that can collect users' feedback on usage and improve the driving experience accordingly will become more and more important. In order t o quantify the acceptance of the autonomous driving system, subjective feedback fro m a user of an autonomous vehicle, which can be utilized to evaluate the driving e xperience, should be defined in some explainable way. Subjective risk is selected as the user's perception index, firstly because driving is safety-critical, and secondly, bec ause driving behavior is adjusted to match each individual's acceptable risk. In order to model driving behavior in both longitudinal and lateral directions, lane change ma neuvers were selected for analysis. In the field of perception, decision-making, and t he control process, the control phase is targeted to generate personalized driving beh aviors with lower subjective risk.

To address this problem, I set up three steps to build a framework for personalizing control when generating lane change maneuvers for autonomous vehicles, directly inc orporating user preferences and risk perception.

In the first step, we model the relationship between subjective perception and driving behavior. The lane change scenario is used as the target scenario in this study, bec ause it is considered relatively risky in driving, and it contains both longitudinal and lateral directions. Subjective risk is used to quantify users' perception of riskiness i n driving circumstances including ego vehicle driving behavior and surrounding vehic le information. In this step, we identify which driving-related factor influences subjec tive risk perception.

In the second step, we combine risk classification with predictive controllers. Risk cl assification is used to detect the current situation as risky or non-risky ones. It was designed that safety-focused maneuvers should be generated when a risky situation is detected, while when non-risky situations are detected, personalized maneuvers woul d be generated in a preferable way for individuals. However, these individual prefere nces are not immutable because we prefer different driving styles interactively with t he surrounding environment.

Therefore, in the third step, we propose Risk Sensitive Control (RSC), an inverse op timal control algorithm that estimates risk-sensitive driving features and incorporates t hem into a receding horizon controller. RSC uses a meta-learning algorithm to updat e the cost function parameters, continuously improving the controller online as more and more driving data is gathered from the user and subjective risk feedback. An es timator takes into account individual differences in subjective risk analysis, in terms of driving features and surrounding vehicle locations, by adjusting the cost function and its constraints.

We test this approach using five lane change scenarios, some safe and some risky, with thirty real drivers in a CARLA simulation environment. Our quantitative and qu alitative evaluations demonstrate that the proposed framework is able to generate a u ser's preferred driving maneuvers during lane changes, i.e., control commands the use r associates with lower subjective risk, outperforming conventional, model-based predi ctive control methods in terms of replicating the user's own driving behavior.