Marzenna Czerniak-Drozdzowics, *Pāñcarātra Scripture in the Process of Change: A Study of the Paramasaṃhitā*, Vienna: The De Nobili Research Library, 2003, 226 Pp. € 35. (Paperback)

The Pāñcarātra sect contains three texts called 'the three gems,' namely the Sātvata-, Jayākhya- and Pauṣkara-samhitās. They are traditionally estimated to have been compiled in the earliest time. The text named Paramasamhitā (= ParS), which also belongs to the Pāñcarātra sect, is considered to be compiled later. According to Marzenna Czerniak-Drozdzowics, the author of this book, it certainly appeared before 1000 C.E. She tries to make clear the content of this text and analyze it to conclude that this text has developed over time. The text of the ParS was published in Devanāgarī with an English translation and introduction by S. Krishnaswami Aiyangar (Baroda, 1940) in the Gaekwad's Oriental Series (= GOS). This book is based on this text, which, the author indicates, is full of doubtful readings. As the author tells in this book (p. 34, fn. 41), she owes several emendations of this GOS edition to Alexis Sanderson.

The book is divided into three chapters. The first chapter deals with the text and its structure. The second chapter deals with the theologico-philosophical ideas of this text. The third deals with the daily religious obligations of the devotee named 'the eightfold duty' (vidhir astadhā). In the first chapter, she focuses on the two structures in this text. The first structure represents a tantric way of thinking and the second one is connected with a more orthodox brahmanical and devotional attitude, and the daily religious obligations of the individual devotee called 'the eightfold duty.' The tantric structure forms the original stratum of this text, namely that of the old ritualistic tradition which believes in the efficacy of śaktis in all rituals. Such rituals are thought to be a useful means of enabling an individual ritual practitioner to accomplish emancipation as well as worldly aims. It evaluates mantras, mudrās, maṇḍalas, dhāraṇās, dīkṣās. Another structure which seems to be a superimposed stratum, states that an initiated devotee should strive to perform his daily eight duties as his own goal in order to cultivate an attitude of intense devotion to god (bhakti). In the latter structure, we can find references to the Manusmrti (= ManuS), the Viṣṇusmrti, the Bhagavadgītā (= BhagG), the Nārāyanīya section of the Mahābhārata (= MBh) and the Visnupurāna. The author then makes clear the content of each chapter, and finally makes a table of two structures as seen in each chapter; the old parts belonging to the ritualistic corpus and the passages added while re-working (pp. 93-94). She continues to suppose that it might be an acarya well-versed in his tradition that did the process of re-working. She supposes the reason why this acarya added the new structure to the older, might be a change in the spirituality within the tradition. In the old tradition it was the individual follower who was intent on religious life. During the course of time, the re-worker came to realize the change of their social life and had to accommodate their texts to such a change. The individual devotee came to make much of belonging to a broad community of 'orthodox' Vaisnavas. This is why many ritualistic and tantric passages were modified in a manner of being acceptable to such a community.

The second chapter is divided into two parts; god and the soul. In the former, she mentions various forms of god, his function both of creating the world (srsti) and of granting grace to the pitiful creatures, and his idol worshipped in the temple. In the latter, she mentions the nature of the soul  $(j\bar{\imath}va)$  and its place in saṃsāra, and the way of salvation which consists of three topics: dīkṣā, dhyāna / yoga and bhakti. What is most interesting in this chapter is the initiation of the mighty and noble (*īśvaradīksā*). It applies not only to a king but also to Śūdras provided they are the rich. The most striking feature of this initiation is that it requires the offering of ample gifts to the pious and learned Vaisnavas as the purification ceremonies at its beginning. To be fit to receive the  $d\bar{\imath}k\bar{\imath}a$ , one must first be purified through the rebirth of the hemagarbha ceremony, then through the samskāras, and finally through the tulābhāra and dakṣiṇā. Even the Śūdras, if they are rich enough to give such ample golden gifts, are not excluded from this  $d\bar{\imath}ks\bar{a}$ . The author indicates the existence of Vellala agriculturists in the South who are classified as Śūdras and who have considerable power and wealth (p. 148).

In the third chapter, the author describes each element of the eightfold duty: samaya, samācāra, svādhyāya, dravyasamgraha, śuddhi, ārādhana (yāga), stuti, and dhyāna. She compares this eightfold duty with the duty of a day at five successive periods (pañcakālavidhi) which is not mentioned in the ParS but often appears in such early texts of the Pāñcarātra as the Jayākhyasamhitā (= JS), etc. The latter consists of abhigamana, upādāna, ijyā, svādhyāya, and yoga. The author introduces Marion Rastelli's opinion that the pañcakālavidhi was observed only by a particular group of devotees, namely initiated Vaiṣṇavas, mainly Brahmins. On the other hand, the eightfold duty was intended for all members of the community. She proposes that the re-worker of the ParS preferred the eightfold duty to the pañcakālavidhi in order to adapt the tantric Vaiṣṇavism to the main stream of Vaiṣṇava brahmanical orthodoxy. Particularly she stresses samaya and ācāra as appropriate duties for the uninitiated.

As the author tells in this book (p. 34, fn. 41 and p. 94), her supposition of a re-working of the *ParS* is inspired by Gerhard Oberhammer. It is natural that a text has not been fixed since its compilation but has received a change in the course of time. It is necessary that we should analyze a text to find out an Ur-text and also to make clear the process of change within the same text. In the study of Buddhism, we know several scholars who endeavored to analyze into several strata such a text as the *Saddharmapunḍarīkasūtra* to know the process of its enlargement. Therefore, it is very praiseworthy that Czerniak-Drożdzowics analyzed the text into two structures with the principle that an orthodox concept of devotion was added to the old tantric conception. Her principle would be a major stream in the textual study of the tantrism.

By the way, I must express several doubts concerning her book. First I do not understand why the re-worker preferred the number 'eight' such as the eightfold duty, eightfold *bhakti*, etc. She also mentions several appearances of the number eight in the *ParS* (p. 172, fn. 551) but does not clarify why this text prefers this number. This number already appeared in the *JS* as the eightfold worship

(astārgayāga). So it seems indispensable to explain the reason in detail. Second, the author mentions that the mantradīksā in the ParS is equivalent to the nirvāṇadīkṣā in other sources (p. 137). The devotee who has received this mantradīksā, is known as a sādhaka. The sādhaka in the tantric tradition, is thought to be the one who has accomplished any mantra and has the mantrapower to execute for mundane purposes (bhukti). On the other hand, the nirvānadīksā is given to the devotee in the fourth stage of life (āśrama) who desires the foot of the Lord (Pauskarasamhitā 27.4cd-5). The word 'nirvāṇa' appears in JS 6.234b (nirvāṇabhāg). So it appears that the mantradīkṣā and the nirvānadīksā are totally different concepts, although the author indicates that such a sādhaka as seen in the ParS refers to an initiated devotee simply without its original meaning. Third, the author indicates some similarities between the ParS and authoritative texts like the Nārāyanīya section of the MBh, the ManuS, etc. (pp. 45-46). Especially she says that ParS 31.50 is almost the same as the last verse (caramaśloka) of BhagG 18.66. ParS 31.50 reads; tasmāt tvam devala tyaktvā sarvam anyad vicestitam / bhajasva sarvabhāvena paramātmānam acyutam //. BhagG 18.66 reads: sarvadharmān parityajya mām ekam śaraṇam vraja / aham tvā sarvapāpebhyo moksavisyāmi mā śucah //. But BhagG 18.66 appears more similar to Lakşmītantra (= LT) 16.43, which reads: tatra (sarva-) dharmān parityajya sarvān uccāvacāngakān / saṃsārānalasaṃtapto mām ekāṃ saranam vrajet /. Further, Sanjukta Gupta suggests in her English translation of the LT (p. 256, fn. 1) that 'a consignment' (nyāsa) which means to consign the results of deeds to the highest god and please him in LT 40.18ab, 77cd, 99cd, etc. seems to be strongly influenced by BhagG 3.30 and 4.20. This means the LT might have received more re-working from the orthodox tradition. So it is to be inspected more whether only the ParS has received such a re-working or many other texts, the later ones at least, have also did in the Pañcaratra sect.

Finally I would like to point out a few spelling mistakes. They are as follows: p. 30, fn. 20 and p. 45, Śvetadvipa  $\rightarrow$  Śvetadvīpa; p. 141,  $\bar{a}c\bar{a}ran\bar{a}m \rightarrow \bar{a}c\bar{a}r\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$ ; p. 143,  $kamadhenu \rightarrow k\bar{a}madhenu$ ; p. 144, Rāṣṭrakuṭa  $\rightarrow$  Rāṣṭrakuṭa; p. 187, fn. 614,  $nlr\bar{a}yanaya \rightarrow n\bar{a}r\bar{a}yan\bar{a}ya$ ; p. 206, fn. 707,  $g\bar{a}da \rightarrow gad\bar{a}$ .

Aichigakuin University Nisshin, Japan Hiromichi HIKITA

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Robert J. Zydenbos, *Jainism Today and Its Future*, München: Manya Verlag, 2006, 104 Pp. Rs. 200/US\$ 20/€ 16. (Paperback)

In this short monograph Robert Zydenbos offers a largely non-academic account of Jainism as it faces the modern world both in India and globally, more than 2,500 years after Mahāvīra promulgated his teaching of Jainism. In his Preface