The effectiveness and safety of modest exercise in Japanese patients with chronic
kidney disease: a single-armed interventional study
Masami Hamada ¹ , Yoshinari Yasuda ² , Sawako Kato ³ , Hiroki Arafuka ⁴ , Motomitsu
Goto ⁵ , Mutsuharu Hayashi ⁶ , Etsuko Kajita ¹ , Shoichi Maruyama ³
¹ Department of Nursing, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Aichi, Japan
² Department of CKD Initiatives, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine,
Aichi, Japan
³ Department of Nephrology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Aichi,
Japan
⁴ Department of Faculty of Social Welfare, Nihon Fukushi University, Aichi, Japan
⁵ Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Nagoya University Graduate School of
Medicine, Aichi, Japan
⁶ Department of Cardiology, Banbuntane Hotokukai Hospital, Aichi, Japan

16 **Word count**: 4479

- 1 **Corresponding author information**: Shoichi Maruyama M.D., Ph.D.
- 2 Department of Nephrology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine
- 3 65, Tsuruma-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi, 464-8550 Japan
- 4 Tel: +81-52-744-2192
- 5 Fax: +81-52-744-2209
- 6 Email: marus@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp
- 7 **Disclosures**: The authors declare no financial support or conflict of interest.

1 Abstract

2	Background: Poor physical ability and skeletal muscle wasting are common in chronic
3	kidney disease (CKD) patients, who may experience a decline in daily activity and, in
4	turn, increased mortality. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness
5	and safety of modest exercise in patients with stable CKD.
6	Methods: Forty-seven CKD patients were enrolled in a six-month group program for
7	aerobic and resistance exercise by self-training. Parameters of physical function and
8	clinical laboratory markers, including renal function, were measured.
9	Results: The International Physical Activity Questionnaire score improved from a
10	baseline of 36.6 ± 13.8 to 40.1 ± 14.8 after the exercise program (P < 0.001). The
11	number of daily steps increased from 6141 \pm 2620 to 7679 \pm 3026 (P < 0.001). We
12	detected significant changes in the 30-second chair stand test (from 20.7 \pm 5.3 to 26.0 \pm
13	5.9 repetitions; P < 0.001), single-foot standing test (from 53.0 \pm 44.3 to 68.4 \pm 43.0
14	seconds; $P = 0.001$) and 6-minute walk (from 501.6 ± 63.8 to 528.7 ± 71.8 m; $P = 0.02$).
15	Moreover, body weight, waist circumference, and blood pressure were significantly
16	reduced. No significant deterioration was observed in the estimated glomerular filtration

1	rate. Proteinuria	significantly	decreased in 21	patients.
---	-------------------	---------------	-----------------	-----------

2	Conclusion: Our modest exercise program improved the physical performance of CKD
3	patients without deterioration of renal function. These results suggest that exercise
4	rather than excess rest should be recommended for CKD patients to avoid muscle
5	wasting.
6	
7	Subheading: Exercise in Japanese patients with chronic kidney disease
8	Keywords: Chronic kidney disease, physical performance, exercise

1 Introduction

16

2	Patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) are prone to impaired physical
3	activity and reduced physical function because of protein-energy wasting, persistent
4	inflammation, metabolic acidosis and others symptoms, leading to a vicious cycle of
5	kidney dysfunction and mortality [1, 2]. Indeed, exercise capacity is significantly
6	reduced in both pre-dialysis [3, 4] and dialysis CKD patients [5, 6]. Moreover,
7	sedentary dialysis patients showed a 62% higher risk of death compared to
8	non-sedentary patients [7]. Trivial kidney dysfunction is associated with poor physical
9	function in geriatric patients [8].
10	Exercise rehabilitation has been generally utilized for patients with diabetes,
11	
11	peripheral vascular disease, cardiovascular disease and others [9, 10]. The health
11	peripheral vascular disease, cardiovascular disease and others [9, 10]. The health benefits of mild walking in pre-dialysis CKD patients have recently been reported [11].
12	benefits of mild walking in pre-dialysis CKD patients have recently been reported [11].

The purpose of the present study was to evaluate whether modest exercise

- 1 may improve physical activity and function without deterioration of renal function and
- 2 proteinuria in Japanese patients with stable CKD.
- 3

1 Materials and Methods

2 Ethical statement

3	The protocol of the study was approved by the ethical committee of the
4	Nagoya University Hospital (2012-00083057). The study was conducted in accordance
5	to hospital guidelines. All patients provided written informed consent prior to
6	participation in this study.
7	
8	Design, setting and participants
9	We conducted a single-armed interventional study. Pre-dialysis CKD patients
10	were recruited from the outpatient clinic of the Department of Nephrology at Nagoya
11	University Hospital during January 2012 to June 2013 for a six-month modest group
12	exercise class. Exclusion criteria were cardiovascular disease within six months, active
13	diabetic retinopathy, diagnosis of peripheral arterial disease, uncontrolled hypertension,
14	progressing renal disease and nephrotic syndrome treated with immunosuppressive
15	therapy. Sixty-one CKD patients (stages 1 to 5) volunteered for the program. Of these
16	volunteers, 14 patients withdrew due to reconsideration, change of hospital and

1	depression and 47 participated in the study and completed the six-month program. The
2	primary causes of renal disease were glomerulonephritis ($n = 8$), nephrosclerosis ($n = 8$)
3	24), diabetic nephropathy due to diabetes mellitus type 2 ($n = 3$), nephropathy due to
4	obesity $(n = 9)$ and unilateral kidney $(n = 3)$.
5	
6	Exercise program
7	The exercise class consisted of six sessions per month at 1.5-2 h per session.
8	Resistance training using 3-4 metabolic equivalents (METs) and effective walking to
9	burn fat and increase muscle were demonstrated to the participants. This exercise
10	program was designed so that the participants could repeat it at home. We used a rating
11	of perceived exertion (RPE) to determine the appropriate exercise intensity for each
12	participant. RPE is quantified by the Borg RPE scale, with an RPE of 6 representing "no
13	feeling of exertion," and 20 corresponding to "very, very hard". Moderate activities
14	register from 11 to 14 on the Borg scale ("fairly light" to "somewhat hard"), while
15	vigorous activities usually rate 15 or higher ("hard" to "very, very hard") [13]. We
16	aimed to achieve an exercise intensity of 12-14 on the RPE scale. Participants also

1 received standard care including diet therapy, mental support and counseling for CKD.

 $\mathbf{2}$

3 The assessment of physical activity and function

4 Physical activity was assessed by the International Physical Activity $\mathbf{5}$ Questionnaire (IPAQ) [14]. In brief, the IPAQ is used to a self-recording report of the 6 number of days a week and time that a patient performs high-intensity or moderate 7physical activity. This study used the short version of the IPAQ, which contains seven 8 questions about activity intensity, including vigorous and moderate intensity exercises, 9 walking and sedentary activities over the last seven days. IPAQ defines moderate 10 activity as increase in respiration rate, heart rate and sweating sustained for at least 10 min. This is equivalent to 3-6 METs based on daily physical activity. Vigorous physical 11 12activities are defined as those producing vigorous increases in respiration rate, heart rate 13 and sweating sustained for at least 10 min. The metabolic equivalent value is above 6 14MET. In addition, the MET-hours per week for walking, moderate intensity activity, and 15vigorous intensity activity were calculated as follows: walking = 3.3 METs \times hours \times 16days; moderate activity = $4.0 \text{ METs} \times \text{hours} \times \text{days}$; and vigorous activity = $8.0 \text{ METs} \times \text{metric}$

1	hours \times days. The participants completed the self-training records by recording
2	resistance training and the number of steps, as measured by a pedometer. Physical
3	strength metrics were assessed by handgrip strength, 30-second chair stand test, sit and
4	reach test, single-foot standing test with eyes open and a 6-minute walk.
5	
6	Laboratory biomarkers
7	We measured height and body weight (BW), waist circumference and
8	calculated body mass index (BMI). Blood pressure (BP) was measured twice after a rest
9	period of 5 min with a digital automatic blood pressure monitor (HEM-9000AI,
10	Instrument Ltd, OMRON, Japan) and averaged for analysis. Serum creatinine (Cre),
11	hemoglobin A1c National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (HbA1c: NGSP),
12	high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
13	(LDL-C), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and
14	γ -glutamyl transpeptidase (x-GTP) were measured at the clinical laboratory at the
15	Nagoya University Hospital using an automatic analyzer. Proteinuria was measured in
16	spot urine at an outpatient clinic and we used the direct value of spot urine measured on

1	one occasion to analyze. Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated by
2	the equation using single measured serum Cre level, age and sex [15].
3	
4	Statistical analysis
5	Changes in measurements before and after intervention were determined
6	using a t-test for paired samples, Wilcoxon's signed-rank test or Spearman's rank
7	correlation coefficient by using a statistical software package (SPSS for Windows v20).
8	Statistical significance was defined as 5% in a two-tailed test.

1 **Results**

2 Clinical characteristics and laboratory biomarkers

3	The characteristics of the enrolled patients are shown in Table 1. The subjects
4	included 24 men (51%) and had a mean age of 68.8 ± 11.1 years. The mean BMI was
5	$25.3 \pm 3.8 \text{ kg/m}^2$ and 21 of 47 participants (45%) were obese and had a BMI over 25
6	kg/m^2 . The patients received oral medications for hypertension (89%) and dyslipidemia
7	(72%). Renin-angiotensin system inhibitors (ARB) and calcium channel blockers were
8	used in 33 patients (70%). Statins were used in 15 patients (32%).

9

10 Changes of physical activity and clinical biomarkers

Effects of exercise intervention on physical activity and clinical indicators are shown in Table 2. The amount of physical activity at home as assessed by the IPAQ score increased significantly from 36.6 ± 13.8 to 40.1 ± 14.8 METs × hours/week (P < 0.001). Although only 41 participants completed the self-monitoring sheet with the number of daily steps, this parameter increased from 6141 ± 2620 to 7679 ± 3026 (P < 0.001). Body weight, waist circumference, systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure

1	significant decreased from 66.1 ± 12.1 to 65.7 ± 12.2 kg (P = 0.04), from 90.6 ± 9.5 to
2	88.5 ± 9.2 cm (P = 0.001), from 134.5 ± 19.3 to 127.7 ± 17.0 mmHg (P = 0.01), from
3	78.4 \pm 13.9 to 70.7 \pm 21.1 mmHg (P < 0.001), respectively. No significant difference
4	between the pre- and post-exercise classes was observed in the eGFR. Although
5	proteinuria did not increase after exercise for the total patient population, a significant
6	decrease in proteinuria, from 0.39 (0.15 - 2.68) g/gCre to 0.27 (0.00 - 1.65) g/gCre, was
7	observed in 21 patients with a baseline measurement of 0.15 g/gCre. Furthermore, we
8	investigated whether proteinuria decreased substantially in patients with urinary protein
9	creatinine ratio (UPCR) ≥ 0.5 g/gCre. In this study, the only 8 subjects showed UPCR
10	\geq 0.5 g/gCre and their proteinuria tended to decrease after excise program from 0.96
11	(0.50-2.68) to 0.77 (0-1.65) g/gCre (P = 0.07).
12	Changes of anti-hypertensive drugs were not restricted during the study
13	periods. To determine whether excise contributed lowering blood pressure and
14	anti-proteinuria, but not anti-hypertensive drugs, we checked the actual applied dosage

- 15 and kind of anti-hypertensive drugs. There were six patients who were subject to change
- 16 prescription of anti-hypertension drugs during the exercise classes, increment dosage of

1	ARB in two, decrement dosage of ARB in two and start of additional diuretics in two.
2	To confirm exercise to lower blood pressure, we recalculated the changes of blood
3	pressure in the subgroup who received the refilled prescriptions. They still showed
4	significant improving systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure from 133.0 \pm
5	19.4 to 127.2 \pm 17.4 mmHg (P = 0.03) and from 76.8 \pm 14.3 to 71.2 \pm 13.7 mmHg (P <
6	0.01), respectively. Moreover, as for the effect of anti-proteinuria, 18 patients who met
7	both criteria of no-changing anti-hypertension drugs and over UPCR \geq 0.15 g/gCre
8	also showed significant decreased from 0.32 (0.15 - 1.76) to 0.20 (0.00 - 1.65) g/gCre (P
9	= 0.049) after exercise program.
10	
11	Reduction in body weight contributed to proteinuria reduction
12	Significant reduction of body indicators and the amount of protein in spot
13	urine through the exercise program was detected in 21 patients positive for proteinuria
14	prior to the start of the program. To elucidate the factors involved in proteinuria

- 15 reduction, we analyzed the correlations between changes in proteinuria (Δ proteinuria in
- 16 spot urine), BW (Δ BW) and blood pressure (Δ systolic blood pressure and Δ diastolic

1	blood pressure), physical activity in daily life (Δ physical activity), and the number of
2	steps (Δ steps). In Spearman's rank test, Δ proteinuria in spot urine correlated with Δ
3	BW (r = 0.29, P = 0.04), whereas it was not correlated with Δ systolic blood pressure, Δ
4	diastolic blood pressure, Δ physical activity or Δ steps (Table 3a). Moreover, when
5	checked these correlations in patients with UPCR ≥ 0.15 g/gCre, Δ proteinuria in spot
6	urine correlated with Δ diastolic blood pressure (r = 0.518, P = 0.016), Δ systolic blood
7	pressure (r = 0.415, P = 0.06) and Δ weight (r = 0.456, P = 0.038) (Table 3b).

9 Effect on physical performance

10	Next, we evaluated physical strength metrics, including handgrip strength,
11	30-second chair stand test, sit and reach test, single-foot standing test with eyes open
12	and a 6-minute walk (Table 4). We detected significant changes in the 30-second chair
13	stand test (from 20.7 ± 5.3 to 26.0 ± 5.9 repetitions; P < 0.001), sit and reach test (from
14	33.5 ± 11.0 to 36.3 ± 11.2 cm; P = 0.005), single-foot standing test with eyes open (from
15	53.0 ± 44.3 to 68.4 ± 43.0 seconds; P = 0.001) and 6-minute walk (from 501.6 ± 63.8 to
16	528.7 \pm 71.8 m; P = 0.02). No significant difference was observed in handgrip strength.

1	The patients were divided into three groups based on eGFR. Group 1 patients had an
2	eGFR \geq 60 ml/min/1.73 m ² ; Group 2, 59-30 ml/min/1.73 m ² ; and Group 3, < 30
3	ml/min/1.73 m ² . Significant improvements were observed in Groups 1 and 2, similar to
4	the total patient population. For Group 3 patients, no significant improvements in the sit
5	and reach test and 6-minute walk were observed.

7 Safety evaluation of exercise in CKD patients

8 The mean eGFR six months before the baseline, at the baseline, and six months after the baseline was 48.9 ± 22 , 47.7 ± 22.1 , and $47.3 \pm 21.0 \text{ ml/min}/1.73\text{m}^2$, 9 respectively (Figure 1). There was no significant difference between the changes in 10eGFR in the six months before intervention $(-1.1 \pm 5.9 \text{ ml/min}/1.73\text{m}^2)$ and during the 11 six months of exercise training $(-0.5 \pm 5.4 \text{ ml/min}/1.73\text{m}^2)$ (P = 0.62). Furthermore, to 1213confirm the safety in severer patients with moderate renal dysfunction as Group3 and over, or with macroproteinuria (UPCR ≥ 0.5 g/gCre), the subgroup analysis was 14performed. While there were 34 patients in Group 3 and over, we didn't find to 15deteriorate their eGFR (the six months before intervention; -1.33 ± 5.50 ml/min/1.73m², 16

during the six months of exercise training; $0.32 \pm 3.64 \text{ ml/min/}1.73\text{m}^2$, P = 0.22). However, when checked the change of eGFR in 8 patients with macroproteinuria, renal function was subtle prone to aggravate even there could not reach a significant difference (the six months before intervention; $-0.16 \pm 2.08 \text{ ml/min/}1.73\text{m}^2$, during the six months of exercise training; $-1.80 \pm 4.02 \text{ ml/min/}1.73\text{m}^2$, P = 0.31).

1 **Discussion**

2	This study demonstrates that modest exercise could improve physical function
3	and strength metrics in Japanese patients with stable CKD. In particular, these
4	improvements were even evident in patients with stage 4 or 5 CKD, who experience
5	relatively severe renal dysfunction. Though many physicians still question whether
6	exercise might impair renal function and/or increase proteinuria in CKD patients, we
7	demonstrate that the moderate exercise intensity applied in this study could circumvent
8	these problems. Moreover, there was a notable reduction in proteinuria in patients with
9	positive proteinuria defined as over 0.15 g/gCre relative to the reduction in body weight.
10	In this study, we found a slight but significant improvement in physical
11	strength. Heiwe et al. [12] determined that elderly pre-dialysis patients could improve
12	muscle strength through muscle endurance exercises using a leg machine three times
13	per week for 3 months at a training center. Moreover, Castaneda et al. [16] reported that
14	supervised resistance training three times per week for 3 months lead to increased
15	muscle strength in patients with stage 4 and 5 CKD. Rossi et al. [17] reported that
16	supervised resistance training with aerobic exercises three times per week for 3 months

1	restored physical functions in moderate to severe CKD patients, as assessed by methods
2	similar to those used in our study. Though we cannot compare the efficacy of these
3	studies to our study, we believe that broad use of easy home exercises without any
4	special equipment contributes to physical strength and better fitness. Moreover, lower
5	physical activity is getting recognized as a risk factor for mortality in these patients, and
6	deeply associated with poor outcomes [18]. Although there have been reported a limited
7	number of study to access the direct association, some studies showed exercise training
8	contribute shortening hospitalization [19, 20] and longer survival [21] with better
9	physical functions. We believe that exercises should be an effective treatment to
10	improve survival in CKD patients.
11	Exercise also improves body indicators. In this study, we found the correlation
12	between ΔBW and Δ proteinuria. It was reported that incident macroalbminuria was
13	associated with obesity as well as unhealthy diet in the US community-based
14	prospective cohort study [22]. In Japanese community-based annual examinations,
15	obese subjects showed an increased risk of developing proteinuria [23]. Although its

1	[26] related to the proliferated adipose tissues may play a role in addition to increased
2	renal plasma flow and up-regulated intra-glomerular pressure in proportion to body
3	weight gain. Indeed, it was summarized in recent systematic review of clinical trials that
4	weight loss is associated with decreased proteinuria and micro albuminuria [27].
5	Although we failed to demonstrate the direct mechanism to explain a decrease in
6	proteinuria via body weight loss, we speculate that because the patients who lost their
7	body weight accompanied reduction in their waist circumferences, suppress of adipose
8	tissues might contribute to decrease intra-glomerular load and damage as we stated
9	above.
9 10	above. Boyce et al. [28] found that aerobic exercise may play a role in decreasing
10	Boyce et al. [28] found that aerobic exercise may play a role in decreasing
10 11	Boyce et al. [28] found that aerobic exercise may play a role in decreasing blood pressure by increasing physical performance in pre-dialysis CKD patients with
10 11 12	Boyce et al. [28] found that aerobic exercise may play a role in decreasing blood pressure by increasing physical performance in pre-dialysis CKD patients with hypertension. Recently, it was reported that patients with CKD stage 4–5 who
10 11 12 13	Boyce et al. [28] found that aerobic exercise may play a role in decreasing blood pressure by increasing physical performance in pre-dialysis CKD patients with hypertension. Recently, it was reported that patients with CKD stage 4–5 who performed intensive aerobic exercise and resistance training with speed walking for six

1	correlation between blood pressure and other clinical indicators. In this study, there was
2	correlation between ΔBW and Δ proteinuria but no correlation between ΔBP and Δ
3	proteinuria. It was difficulty to confirm decrement of proteinuria in the patients without
4	proteinuria. Thus, as we showed in Table 3b, in UPCR $\geqq 0.15 g/gCr$ groups, Δ
5	proteinuria in spot urine correlated with Δ diastolic blood pressure .
6	Exercise has not been recommended for kidney disease patients in the past,
7	since exercise could cause renal injury, such as a reduction in renal blood flow [29] and
8	increase in proteinuria [30]. Based on these historical observations, guidelines issued by
9	the Japanese Society of Nephrology still recommend restricting sports for pediatric
10	kidney disease patients [31]. However, it was recently reported in a systematic review
11	that weight loss intervention attained to decrease proteinuria and BP in obese CKD
12	patients [32]. Lifestyle modification including an exercise program should be
13	encouraged in the guideline released by Kidney disease improving global outcomes
14	(KDIGO) [33] although this guideline also mentioned that an exercise program for
15	lower-weight CKD patients with suspected malnutrition or inflammation still might be
16	controversial. We supposed that our study proceeded in safety because our study

1	subjects were accounted for mainly CKD with larger BMI but not with PEW or frail
2	whom exercise has not been recommendedBaria et al. [34] showed that initial renal
3	dysfunction caused by aerobic training resolved within 12 weeks in moderate CKD
4	patients with obesity. Fuiano et al. [35] demonstrated that the increase in post-exercise
5	proteinuria was only temporary, lasting only two hours. In our study, the participants
6	maintained their initial eGFR levels and proteinuria improved rather than worsened.
7	Moreover, because higher physical activity levels are reported to be associated with a
8	slowing of eGFR loss in CKD patients [36], we speculate that exercise may have a
9	potential for renal protection via support of physical activity.
9 10	potential for renal protection via support of physical activity. Limitations of our study should be noted. First, the sample size is small,
10	Limitations of our study should be noted. First, the sample size is small,
10 11	Limitations of our study should be noted. First, the sample size is small, particularly subgroup analysis divided by GFR stage. And since we provided only 6
10 11 12	Limitations of our study should be noted. First, the sample size is small, particularly subgroup analysis divided by GFR stage. And since we provided only 6 months' observation, it might be not enough to evaluation renal function. Second, the
10 11 12 13	Limitations of our study should be noted. First, the sample size is small, particularly subgroup analysis divided by GFR stage. And since we provided only 6 months' observation, it might be not enough to evaluation renal function. Second, the study design was single-armed and lacked a control group. Because the participants in

1	Finally, exercise at home was assessed by self-reporting and objective measurement
2	tools to quantify physical activity should be used for more accurate evaluation.
3	In conclusion, our modest exercise program enabled stable CKD patients to
4	improve physical strength and function without deterioration of renal functions. These
5	results suggest that exercise rather than excess rest should be recommended for CKD
6	patients to avoid muscle wasting.
7	

1 Acknowledgments

2	This study was funded by the Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine. This
3	study was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Progressive Renal Diseases Research,
4	Research on Rare and Intractable Disease, from the Ministry of Health, Labour and
5	Welfare of Japan.

Disclosure Statement 1

 $\mathbf{2}$ The Department of Nephrology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, 3 reported receiving research promotion grants from Kyowa Hakko Kirin and Otsuka. 4 The Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Nagoya University Graduate School of $\mathbf{5}$ Medicine, reported receiving from AstraZeneca, Dainippon Sumitomo, Kowa, Takeda, 6 Ono, Novo Nordisk. However, the research topics of these donation grants are not 7 restricted. Yasuda Yoshinari belongs to Department of CKD Initiatives, Nagoya 8 University Graduate School of Medicine endowed by MSD, Dainippon Sumitomo 9 Pharma, Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, Kowa Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, Nippon 10 Boehringer Ingelhim, Nihon Medi-Phisics, Co., Ltd. And Yasuda Yoshinari receives 11 Manuscript fees from MSD and Astellas Phrma Inc.

1 Legend to Figure

- 2 Figure 1. Change of estimated glomerular filtration rate between the six months before
- 3 intervention and during the six months of exercise training.

1 **References**

- 2 1. Johansen KL, Chertow GM, Ng AV, Mulligan K, Carey S, Schoenfeld PY et
- 3al. Physical activity levels in patients on hemodialysis and healthy sedentary4controls.Kidneyinternational.2000;57(6):2564-70.
- 5 doi:10.1046/j.1523-1755.2000.00116.x.
- 6 2. Kouidi E, Albani M, Natsis K, Megalopoulos A, Gigis P, Guiba-Tziampiri O
 7 et al. The effects of exercise training on muscle atrophy in haemodialysis
 8 patients. Nephrology, dialysis, transplantation : official publication of the
 9 European Dialysis and Transplant Association European Renal Association.
 10 1998;13(3):685-99.
- 3. Odden MC, Chertow GM, Fried LF, Newman AB, Connelly S, Angleman S
 et al. Cystatin C and measures of physical function in elderly adults: the
 Health, Aging, and Body Composition (HABC) Study. American journal of
- 14 epidemiology. 2006;164(12):1180-9. doi:10.1093/aje/kwj333.
- 4. Hiraki K, Yasuda T, Hotta C, Izawa KP, Morio Y, Watanabe S et al.
 Decreased physical function in pre-dialysis patients with chronic kidney
 disease. Clinical and experimental nephrology. 2013;17(2):225-31.
 doi:10.1007/s10157-012-0681-8.
- McIntyre CW, Selby NM, Sigrist M, Pearce LE, Mercer TH, Naish PF.
 Patients receiving maintenance dialysis have more severe functionally
 significant skeletal muscle wasting than patients with dialysis-independent
 chronic kidney disease. Nephrology, dialysis, transplantation : official
 publication of the European Dialysis and Transplant Association European
 Renal Association. 2006;21(8):2210-6. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfl064.
- 6. Johansen KL, Doyle J, Sakkas GK, Kent-Braun JA. Neural and metabolic
 mechanisms of excessive muscle fatigue in maintenance hemodialysis
 patients. American journal of physiology Regulatory, integrative and
 comparative physiology. 2005;289(3):R805-13.
 doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00187.2005.
- 30 7. O'Hare AM, Tawney K, Bacchetti P, Johansen KL. Decreased survival
 31 among sedentary patients undergoing dialysis: results from the dialysis
 32 morbidity and mortality study wave 2. American journal of kidney diseases :

the official journal of the National Kidney Foundation. 2003;41(2):447-54.
 doi:10.1053/ajkd.2003.50055.

8. Myers J, Prakash M, Froelicher V, Do D, Partington S, Atwood JE.
Exercise capacity and mortality among men referred for exercise testing.
New Engl J Med. 2002;346(11):793-801. doi:Doi 10.1056/Nejmoa011858.

9. Nocon M, Muller-Riemenschneider F, Nitzschke K, Willich SN. Review
Article: Increasing physical activity with point-of-choice prompts--a
systematic review. Scandinavian journal of public health. 2010;38(6):633-8.
doi:10.1177/1403494810375865.

10 10. Sato Y, Nagasaki M, Kubota M, Uno T, Nakai N. Clinical aspects of
physical exercise for diabetes/metabolic syndrome. Diabetes research and
clinical practice. 2007;77 Suppl 1:S87-91. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2007.01.039.

- 13 11. Kosmadakis GC, John SG, Clapp EL, Viana JL, Smith AC, Bishop NC et
- al. Benefits of regular walking exercise in advanced pre-dialysis chronic
 kidney disease. Nephrology, dialysis, transplantation : official publication of
 the European Dialysis and Transplant Association European Renal
 Association. 2012;27(3):997-1004. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfr364.
- 18 12. Heiwe S, Tollback A, Clyne N. Twelve weeks of exercise training
 increases muscle function and walking capacity in elderly predialysis
 patients and healthy subjects. Nephron. 2001;88(1):48-56. doi:45959.
- 21 13. Borg GA. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. Medicine and
 22 science in sports and exercise. 1982;14(5):377-81.
- 14. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjostrom M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth
 BE et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability
 and validity. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2003;35(8):1381-95.
- 26 doi:10.1249/01.mss.0000078924.61453.fb.
- 27 15. Ratore S, Matsuo H, Suzuki T. Black hole phenomenon observed on IVUS
- after late restenosis following sirolimus-eluting stent implantation. The
 Journal of invasive cardiology. 2009;21(8):426-7.
- 16. Castaneda C, Gordon PL, Parker RC, Uhlin KL, Roubenoff R, Levey AS.
 Resistance training to reduce the malnutrition-inflammation complex
 syndrome of chronic kidney disease. American Journal of Kidney Diseases.
 2004;43(4):607-16. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2003.12.025.

17. Rossi AP, Burris DD, Lucas FL, Crocker GA, Wasserman JC. Effects of a
 Renal Rehabilitation Exercise Program in Patients with CKD: A Randomized,
 Controlled Trial. Clinical journal of the American Society of Nephrology :
 CJASN. 2014;9(12):2052-8. doi:10.2215/CJN.11791113.
 18. Mafra D, Fouque D. Lower physical activity and depression are

associated with hospitalization and shorter survival in CKD. Clinical journal
of the American Society of Nephrology : CJASN. 2014;9(10):1669-70.
doi:10.2215/cjn.08400814.

9 19. Lattanzio F, Corsonello A, Abbatecola AM, Volpato S, Pedone C, Pranno L
10 et al. Relationship between renal function and physical performance in
11 elderly hospitalized patients. Rejuvenation research. 2012;15(6):545-52.
12 doi:10.1089/rej.2012.1329.

13 20. Cupisti A, D'Alessandro C, Fumagalli G, Vigo V, Meola M, Cianchi C et al.

14 Nutrition and physical activity in CKD patients. Kidney Blood Press Res.
15 2014;39(2-3):107-13. doi:10.1159/000355784.

16 21. Jhamb M, Argyropoulos C, Steel JL, Plantinga L, Wu AW, Fink NE et al.
17 Correlates and outcomes of fatigue among incident dialysis patients. Clinical
18 journal of the American Society of Nephrology : CJASN. 2009;4(11):1779-86.
19 doi:10.2215/cjn.00190109.

22. Chang A, Van Horn L, Jacobs DR, Jr., Liu K, Muntner P, Newsome B et al.
21 Lifestyle-related factors, obesity, and incident microalbuminuria: the
22 CARDIA (Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults) study.
23 American journal of kidney diseases : the official journal of the National
24 Kidney Foundation. 2013;62(2):267-75. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2013.02.363.

23. Yamagata K, Ishida K, Sairenchi T, Takahashi H, Ohba S, Shiigai T et al.
Risk factors for chronic kidney disease in a community-based population: a
10-year follow-up study. Kidney international. 2007;71(2):159-66.
doi:10.1038/sj.ki.5002017.

24. Vaziri ND. Lipotoxicity and impaired high density lipoprotein-mediated
reverse cholesterol transport in chronic kidney disease. J Ren Nutr.
2010;20(5 Suppl):S35-43. doi:10.1053/j.jrn.2010.05.010.

32 25. Toro R, Segura E, Nunez-Cortes JM, Pedro-Botet JC, Quezada-Feijoo M,
33 Mangas A. Relationship between lipoprotein (a) and micro/macro

complications in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a forgotten target. J Geriatr
 Cardiol. 2015;12(2):93-9. doi:10.11909/j.issn.1671-5411.2015.02.001.

3 26. Kim HJ, Vaziri ND, Norris K, An WS, Quiroz Y, Rodriguez-Iturbe B. High-calorie diet with moderate protein restriction prevents cachexia and 4 $\mathbf{5}$ ameliorates oxidative stress, inflammation and proteinuria in experimental chronic Clin 2010;14(6):536-47.6 kidney disease. Exp Nephrol. 7 doi:10.1007/s10157-010-0340-x.

8 27. Afshinnia F, Wilt TJ, Duval S, Esmaeili A, Ibrahim HN. Weight loss and
9 proteinuria: systematic review of clinical trials and comparative cohorts.
10 Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2010;25(4):1173-83. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfp640.

28. Boyce ML, Robergs RA, Avasthi PS, Roldan C, Foster A, Montner P et al.
Exercise training by individuals with predialysis renal failure:
cardiorespiratory endurance, hypertension, and renal function. American
journal of kidney diseases : the official journal of the National Kidney
Foundation. 1997;30(2):180-92.

16 29. Poortmans JR. Postexercise proteinuria in humans. Facts and
17 mechanisms. Jama. 1985;253(2):236-40.

18 30. Castenfors J. Renal function during prolonged exercise. Annals of the
19 New York Academy of Sciences. 1977;301:151-9.

- 31. Japan nephrology s. [Special issue: Clinical practice guidebook for
 diagnosis and treatment of chronic kidney disease 2012]. Nihon Jinzo
 Gakkai shi. 2012;54(8):1034-191.
- 32. Navaneethan SD, Yehnert H, Moustarah F, Schreiber MJ, Schauer PR,
 Beddhu S. Weight loss interventions in chronic kidney disease: a systematic
 review and meta-analysis. Clinical journal of the American Society of
- 26 Nephrology : CJASN. 2009;4(10):1565-74. doi:10.2215/CJN.02250409.
- 33. Chapter 2: Lifestyle and pharmacological treatments for lowering blood
 pressure in CKD ND patients. Kidney international supplements.
 2012;2(5):347-56. doi:10.1038/kisup.2012.52.
- 30 34. Baria F, Kamimura MA, Aoike DT, Ammirati A, Rocha ML, de Mello MT
- 31 et al. Randomized controlled trial to evaluate the impact of aerobic exercise
- 32 on visceral fat in overweight chronic kidney disease patients. Nephrology,
- 33 dialysis, transplantation : official publication of the European Dialysis and

- 1 Transplant Association European Renal Association. 2014;29(4):857-64.
- 2 doi:10.1093/ndt/gft529.
- 3 35. Fuiano G, Mancuso D, Cianfrone P, Comi N, Mazza G, Marino F et al.
- 4 Can young adult patients with proteinuric IgA nephropathy perform
- 5 physical exercise? American Journal of Kidney Diseases. 2004;44(2):257-63.
- 6 doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2004.04.030.
- 36. Robinson-Cohen C, Littman AJ, Duncan GE, Weiss NS, Sachs MC,
 Ruzinski J et al. Physical activity and change in estimated GFR among
 persons with CKD. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology : JASN.
 2014;25(2):399-406. doi:10.1681/ASN.2013040392.
- 11

	n=47, mean±SD, n (%)
Men	24 (51)
Age	68.8 ± 11.8
Hight (cm)	161.4 ± 9.4
Body Weight (kg)	66.1 ± 12.1
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.3 ± 3.8
Grouping CKD stage	
Group1【eGFR≧60 】	11 (23)
Group2[60>eGFR \ge 30]	24 (51)
Group3[eGFR<30]	12 (26)
Medication	
High blood pressure	42 (89)
ARB / ACE inhibitor	33 (70)
CCB	15 (32)
Hyperlipidemia	34 (72)
Statin	24 (39)
Hyperuricemia	20 (43)
Clinical history	
Diabetes	19 (40)
Past CVD	11 (23)
History of smoking	
Current	0
Past	27 (57)
Never	20 (43)

Table1. Characteristics and psychological data of the subjects.

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate, ARB: Angiotensin II Receptor Blocker, ACE inhibitor: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, CCB: calcium channel blocker

	baseline	after 6 month's exercise	P-value
		training	
Physical activity in daily life	36.6 ± 13.8	40.1 ± 14.8	<0.001***
(Mets*Hour/Week) : IPAQ			
Daily average number of steps (Steps / Day)	6141 ± 2620	7679 ± 3026	<0.001***
Clinical examination			
HDL-C (mg/dl)	48.9 ± 12.4	49.6 ± 12.8	0.59
LDL-C (mg/dl)	100.7 ± 25.1	105.1 ± 28.1	0.22
AST (mg/dl)	24.0 ± 8.7	23.2 ± 7.1	0.44
ALP (mg/dl)	22.6 ± 13.8	20.5 ± 11.3	0.1
γGTP (mg/dl)	32.4 ± 28	30.7 ± 30	0.44
HbA1c (NGSP)(%)	6.05 ± 0.43	6.04 ± 0.49	0.07
Body index			
Body weight (kg)	66.1 ± 12.1	65.7 ± 12.2	0.04*
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.3 ± 3.8	25.1 ± 3.9	0.06
Waist (cm)	90.6 ± 9.5	88.5 ± 9.2	< 0.001***
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	134.5 ± 19.3	127.7 ± 17.0	0.01*
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	78.4 ± 13.9	70.7 ± 21.1	< 0.001***
Renal function			
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	47.7 ± 22.1	47.3 ± 21.0	0.59
Point of proteinuria (g/gCre)			
ALL $(n = 47)$	0.10 (0-2.68)	0.11 (0-1.65)	0.7
$UPCR \ge 0.15g/gCr (n = 21)$	0.39 (0.15-2.68)	0.27 (0-1.65)	0.04*
UPCR $< 0.15 g/gCr (n = 26)$	0.05 (0-0.12)	0.08 (0-0.25)	0.09

Table 2. Effects of exercise intervention on physical activity on daily life, renal function, clinical indices, and body indicators

Parametric data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Nonparametric data are presented as median (range) score in the IPAQ International Physical Activity Questionnaire. It used as a standard measure to estimate habitual practice of physical activities of populations. BMI, body mass index; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alanine aminotransferase; γ GTP, γ -glutamyl transpeptidase; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NGSP, National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program. We excluded LDL data of one patient who changed oral medication during the investigation period. eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate. * represents P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.

Table 3

a. Correlation of	proteinuria decrease	(A Proteinuria in s	pot urine) and wei	ght loss at all participants.

	ρ	P-value	
Δ BW (kg)	0.29	0.04*	
Δ WC (cm)	0.23	0.17	
Δ Physical activity (Mets*Hour/Week) [¶]	0.13	0.94	
Δ Daily steps (Steps/Day) [¶]	0.22	0.24	
Δ Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	0.05	0.71	
Δ Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	0.2	0.17	
b. Correlation of proteinuria decrease (Δ Proteinu	ria in spot urine) and weight loss at $$	UPCR≧0.15g/gCr groups.	
Δ BW (kg)	0.46	0.04*	
Δ WC (cm)	-0.11	0.64	
Δ Physical activity (Mets*Hour/Week) [†]	0.15	0.54	
Δ Daily steps (Steps/Day) [†]	0.11	0.18	
Δ Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	0.41	0.06	
Δ Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	0.52	0.02*	

 Δ Proteinuria in spot urine = (initial proteinuria in spot urine) – (final proteinuria in spot urine). Δ BW (body weight) = (initial BW) – (final BW). Δ WC (waist circumference) = (initial WC) – (final WC). Δ Physical activity = (initial physical activity) – (final physical activity). Δ number of steps = (initial number of steps) – (final number of steps). Δ Systolic blood pressure = (initial systolic blood pressure) – (final systolic blood pressure) – (final diastolic blood pressure) – (final diastolic blood pressure).

* represents p < 0.05.

Table 3 **a**; N = 47, ¶ N = 41, **b**; N = 21, † N = 18

	n	Baseline	After 6 months of exercise training	P-value
hysical strength metrics			U	
Grip strength measurement (kg)				
ALL	47	30.1 ± 9.7	30.3 ± 9.5	0.49
Group1【eGFR≧60 】	12	27.6 ± 14.0	28.5 ± 13.3	0.35
Group2[60>eGFR \geq 30]	24	31.5 ± 7.7	31.2 ± 7.9	0.46
Group3[eGFR<30]	11	29.8 ± 8.8	30.7 ± 8.5	0.22
30-second chair stand test (repetitions)				
ALL	47	20.7 ± 5.3	26.0 ± 5.9	< 0.001***
Group1【eGFR≧60 】	12	22.5 ± 5.9	26.9 ± 6.4	0.006**
Group2 $[60 > eGFR \ge 30]$	24	20.2 ± 5.5	26.3 ± 6.3	< 0.001***
Group3[eGFR<30]	11	19.9 ± 4.1	24.5 ± 4.8	0.001**
hysical function metrics				
Sit and reach test (cm)				
ALL	47	33.5 ± 11	36.6 ± 11.2	0.005**
Group1【eGFR≧60 】	12	33.8 ± 13.1	36.8 ± 13.6	0.009**
Group2 $[60 > eGFR \ge 30]$	24	34.9 ± 10.5	38.8 ± 9.8	0.049*
Group3[eGFR<30]	11	30.8 ± 10.3	31.6 ± 12.1	0.32
Single-foot standing test with eyes open (s	5)			
ALL	47	53.0 ± 44.3	68.4 ± 43.0	0.001**
Group1【eGFR≧60 】	12	59.8 ± 47.2	72.9 ± 43.8	<u>0.089</u>
Group2[60>eGFR \geq 30]	24	64.4 ± 45.9	79.4 ± 40.8	0.004**

-36-

Group3[eGFR<30]	11	20.6 ± 13.3	39.5 ± 36.2	0.049*
6-minute walk (m)				
ALL	47	501.6 ± 63.8	528.7 ± 71.8	0.02*
Group1 (eGFR ≥ 60)	12	504.6 ± 80.6	527.1 ± 94.1	0.04*
Group2[60>eGFR \ge 30]	24	511.9 ± 63.3	544.3 ± 67.9	<0.001***
Group3[eGFR<30]	11	475.9 ± 38.5	496.4 ± 40.1	0.26

Parametric data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Nonparametric data are presented as median (range). * represents p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001.