

**Solutions to  
the  $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$  quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation on  $\mathbf{P}^1$**

HIDETOSHI AWATA<sup>1</sup> , SATORU ODAKE<sup>2</sup> and JUN'ICHI SHIRAISHI<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics  
Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Physics, Faculty of Liberal Arts  
Shinshu University, Matsumoto 390, Japan*

<sup>3</sup> *Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences  
Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-01, Japan*

**Abstract**

Using the free field realization, we construct the Jackson-integral formulae for the  $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$  correlation functions due to the representation theory.

---

<sup>1</sup> e-mail address : awata@ps1.yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp, Fellow of Soryushi Shogakukai.

<sup>2</sup> e-mail address : odake@jpnuitp.yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp.

<sup>3</sup> e-mail address : shiraish@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp, On leave from Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113, Japan.

## 1. Introduction

Using the free field realization, we construct the Jackson-integral formulae for the  $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$  correlation functions due to the representation theory.

## 2. Quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$

**2.1.** First we fix some notations. The algebra  $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$  is generated by  $E(z)$ ,  $F(z)$  and  $\psi_{\pm}(z)$  with relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\pm}(z)\psi_{\pm}(w) &= \psi_{\pm}(w)\psi_{\pm}(z), \\
\psi_{+}(z)\psi_{-}(w) &= \psi_{-}(w)\psi_{+}(z) \frac{(z - wq^{k+2})(z - wq^{-k-2})}{(z - wq^{k-2})(z - wq^{-k+2})}, \\
\psi_{+}(z)E(w) &= E(w)\psi_{+}(z) \frac{z - wq^{-\frac{k}{2}-2}}{z - wq^{-\frac{k}{2}+2}} q^2, \\
\psi_{+}(z)F(w) &= F(w)\psi_{+}(z) \frac{z - wq^{\frac{k}{2}+2}}{z - wq^{\frac{k}{2}-2}} q^{-2}, \\
E(z)\psi_{-}(w) &= \psi_{-}(w)E(z) \frac{z - wq^{-\frac{k}{2}-2}}{z - wq^{-\frac{k}{2}+2}} q^2, \\
F(z)\psi_{-}(w) &= \psi_{-}(w)F(z) \frac{z - wq^{\frac{k}{2}+2}}{z - wq^{\frac{k}{2}-2}} q^{-2}, \\
(z - wq^2)E(z)E(w) + (w - zq^2)E(w)E(z) &= 0, \\
(z - wq^{-2})F(z)F(w) + (w - zq^{-2})F(w)F(z) &= 0, \\
[E(z), F(w)] &= \frac{1}{(q - q^{-1})zw} \left\{ \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}q^k\right) \psi_{+}(wq^{\frac{k}{2}}) - \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}q^{-k}\right) \psi_{-}(wq^{-\frac{k}{2}}) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $k \in \mathbf{C}$  is a center,  $q \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $\delta(z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} z^n$ . Note that  $1/(a-b)$  means following formal power series:

$$\frac{1}{a-b} := \frac{1}{a} \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^n \neq -\frac{1}{b-a}.$$

Their mode expansion is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\pm}(z) &=: q^{H_0} \exp \left\{ \pm (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\mp n > 0} H_n z^{-n} \right\}, \\
E(z) &=: \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} E_n z^{-n-1}, \quad F(z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} F_n z^{-n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The Chevalley generators  $e_i, f_i$  and invertible  $k_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) are

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &:= E_0, & k_1 &:= q^{H_0}, & f_1 &:= F_0, \\ e_0 &:= F_1 q^{-H_0}, & k_0 &:= q^{k-H_0}, & f_0 &:= q^{H_0} E_{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce the comultiplication  $\Delta$  for the Chevalley generators

$$\Delta(e_i) := e_i \otimes k_i + 1 \otimes e_i, \quad \Delta(k_i) := k_i \otimes k_i, \quad \Delta(f_i) := f_i \otimes 1 + k_i^{-1} \otimes f_i.$$

**2.2.** Let  $V_\lambda$  be the Verma module over  $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ , generated by the highest weight vector  $|\lambda\rangle$ , such that  $e_i|\lambda\rangle = 0$  and  $k_1|\lambda\rangle = q^\lambda|\lambda\rangle$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ . The dual module  $V_\lambda^*$  is generated by  $\langle\lambda|$  which satisfies  $\langle\lambda|f_i = 0$  and  $\langle\lambda|k_1 = q^\lambda\langle\lambda|$ . The bilinear form  $V_\lambda^* \otimes V_\lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is uniquely defined by  $\langle\lambda|\lambda\rangle = 1$  and  $(\langle u|X)|v\rangle = \langle u|(X|v\rangle)$  for any  $\langle u| \in V_\lambda^*$ ,  $|v\rangle \in V_\lambda$  and  $X \in U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ .

Let  $V_\ell(z)$  be the finite dimensional centerless representation of  $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ , which is defined by  $V_\ell(z) := \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbf{C}(z)v_{\ell, m}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 v_{\ell, m} &= [\ell - m] v_{\ell, m+1}, & e_0 v_{\ell, m} &= z[m] v_{\ell, m-1}, \\ k_1 v_{\ell, m} &= q^{-\ell+2m} v_{\ell, m}, & k_0 v_{\ell, m} &= q^{\ell-2m} v_{\ell, m}, \\ f_1 v_{\ell, m} &= [m] v_{\ell, m-1}, & f_0 v_{\ell, m} &= z^{-1}[\ell - m] v_{\ell, m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $[n] := (q^n - q^{-n}) / (q - q^{-1})$ . Throughout the paper, weight  $\ell$  takes arbitrary complex value. If  $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , then  $\tilde{V}_\ell(z) := \bigoplus_{m=0}^\ell \mathbf{C}(z)v_{\ell, m}$  is a  $(\ell + 1)$ -dimensional irreducible representation of  $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ .

**2.3.** The type I vertex operator,  $\Phi_\lambda^{\nu, \ell}(z) : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\nu \otimes V_\ell(z)$  is defined as the intertwining operator such that

$$\Phi_\lambda^{\nu, \ell}(z) X = \Delta(X) \Phi_\lambda^{\nu, \ell}(z),$$

for any  $X \in U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ .

We define the correlation functions as the matrix elements for the product of the vertex operators

$$\langle \lambda_\infty | \Phi_{\lambda_{n-1}}^{\lambda_\infty, \ell_n}(z_n) \cdots \Phi_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_1, \ell_1}(z_1) | \lambda_0 \rangle.$$

Then they satisfy the  $q$ -KZ equation [FR].

### 3. Free field realization

**3.1.** The free field algebra is generated by  $a_n, b_n, c_n, Q_a, Q_b$  and  $Q_c$  ( $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) with relations

$$\begin{aligned} [a_n, a_m] &= \delta_{n+m,0} \frac{[2n][k+2]n}{n}, & [a_0, Q_a] &= 2(k+2), \\ [b_n, b_m] &= -\delta_{n+m,0} \frac{[n][n]}{n}, & [b_0, Q_b] &= -1, \\ [c_n, c_m] &= +\delta_{n+m,0} \frac{[n][n]}{n}, & [c_0, Q_c] &= +1. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining commutators vanish.

Let us define the following generating functions

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{M_1}{N_1} \cdots \frac{M_r}{N_r} a \right) (z; \beta) &:= - \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{[M_1 n] \cdots [M_r n]}{[N_1 n] \cdots [N_r n]} \frac{a_n}{[n]} z^{-n} q^{|n|\beta} + \frac{M_1 \cdots M_r}{N_1 \cdots N_r} (a_0 \log z + Q_a), \\ \left( \frac{M_1}{N_1} \cdots \frac{M_r}{N_r} a_{\pm} \right) (z) &:= \pm (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\mp n > 0} \frac{[M_1 n] \cdots [M_r n]}{[N_1 n] \cdots [N_r n]} a_n z^{-n} \pm \frac{M_1 \cdots M_r}{N_1 \cdots N_r} a_0 \log q. \end{aligned}$$

The fields  $b(z; \beta), c(z; \beta), b_{\pm}(z)$  and  $c_{\pm}(z)$  are defined in the same way.

The quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$  is realized by the free field algebra as follows [M2, Sh]:

$$E(z) := E_+(z) - E_-(z), \quad F(z) := F_+(z) - F_-(z)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\pm}(z) &:= \frac{-1}{(q - q^{-1})z} \circ \exp \left\{ b_{\pm}(z) - (b + c)(zq^{\pm 1}; 0) \right\} \circ, \\ \psi_{\pm}(zq^{\pm \frac{k}{2}}) &:= \exp \left\{ a_{\pm}(zq^{\pm \frac{k+2}{2}}) + \left( \frac{2}{1} b_{\pm} \right) (zq^{\pm(k+1)}) \right\}, \\ F_{\pm}(z) &:= \frac{1}{(q - q^{-1})z} \circ \exp \left\{ a_{\pm}(zq^{\pm \frac{k+2}{2}}) + b_{\pm}(zq^{\pm(k+2)}) + (b + c)(zq^{\pm(k+1)}; 0) \right\} \circ. \end{aligned}$$

Here, normal order  $\circ \circ$  mean the ordering such that  $a_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) move to the right of  $a_n$  ( $n < 0$ ) and  $Q_a$ , etc.

**3.2.** Let us define the screening current  $S(z)$  as

$$S(z) := S_+(z) - S_-(z),$$

with

$$S_{\pm}(z) := \frac{1}{(q - q^{-1})z} \circ \exp \left\{ -b_{\pm}(z) - (b + c)(zq^{\mp 1}; 0) \right\} \circ s(z),$$

$$s(z) := \circ \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{1}{k+2} a \right) \left( z; -\frac{k+2}{2} \right) \right\} \circ.$$

Then it satisfies

$$[E(z), S(w)] = [\psi_{\pm}(z), S(w)] = 0, \quad [F(z), S(w)] = \frac{1}{z^{k+2}} \partial_w \left\{ \delta \left( \frac{w}{z} \right) \bar{s}(w) \right\},$$

$$\bar{s}(z) := \circ \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{1}{k+2} a \right) \left( z; \frac{k+2}{2} \right) \right\} \circ,$$

where  ${}_a \partial_z$  is the following  $q$  difference operator

$${}_a \partial_z f(z) := \frac{f(zq^a) - f(zq^{-a})}{(q - q^{-1})z}.$$

Hence, if the Jackson integral of the screening currents

$$\int_0^{s\infty} d_p t S(t) := s(1-p) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} S(sp^n) p^n, \quad p := q^{2(k+2)}$$

are convergent, then they commute with the action of  $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$  exactly.

**3.3.** Let us define the vertex operator  $\phi_{\ell, m}(z) : V_{\lambda} \rightarrow V_{\lambda+\ell}$  as

$$\phi_{\ell, 0}(z) := \circ \exp \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k+2} a \right) \left( zq^{k+2}; \frac{k+2}{2} \right) \right\} \circ,$$

$$\phi_{\ell, m+1}(z) := \oint dx \phi_{\ell, m+1}(z, x), \quad \phi_{\ell, m+1}(z, x) := [\phi_{\ell, m}(z), F(x)]_{q^{\ell-2m}},$$

where  $[A, B]_q := AB - qBA$ . For  $f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} f_n x^n$ , the integral means  $\oint \frac{dx}{x} f(x) = f_0$ , i.e., the constant part of  $f(x)$  in  $x$ . We will denote  $\phi_{\ell}(z) := \phi_{\ell, 0}(z)$ .

Then the type I vertex operator  $\Phi_{\lambda}^{\nu, \ell}(z) : V_{\lambda} \rightarrow V_{\nu} \otimes V_{\ell}(z)$  is realized as follows:

$$\Phi_{\lambda}^{\nu, \ell}(z) = \int_0^{s\infty} d_p \mathbf{t} S(t_1) \cdots S(t_r) \sum_{m \geq 0} \phi_{\ell, m}(z) \otimes v_{\ell, m},$$

where  $\nu = \lambda + \ell - 2r$  and  $d_p \mathbf{t} = d_p t_1 \cdots d_p t_r$ .

Therefore, the correlation functions are realized by the free fields as follows:

$$\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n \geq 0} \int_0^{s\infty} d_p \mathbf{t} \langle \lambda_{\infty} | S(t_1) \cdots S(t_m) \phi_{\ell_1, m_1}(z_1) \cdots \phi_{\ell_n, m_n}(z_n) | \lambda_0 \rangle \otimes_{i=1}^n v_{\ell_i, m_i},$$

where  $\lambda_{\infty} := \lambda_0 + \sum_i \ell_i - 2m$  with  $m := \sum_a m_a$ . Here we consider only the case that  $\lambda_0 = 0$ .

## 4. Factorization to one-point functions

**4.1.** Let us consider the integrand of our correlation function:

$$\Psi := \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^m S(t_i) \prod_{r=1}^n \phi_{\ell_r, m_r}(z_r) \right\rangle := \langle \lambda_\infty | S(t_1) \cdots S(t_m) \phi_{\ell_1, m_1}(z_1) \cdots \phi_{\ell_n, m_n}(z_n) | 0 \rangle.$$

Here and after, we omit the highest weight  $\lambda_\infty$  and  $\lambda_0 = 0$ , and the product of the operators means the following order:

$$\prod_{i=a}^b \mathcal{O}(x_i) := \mathcal{O}(x_a) \mathcal{O}(x_{a+1}) \cdots \mathcal{O}(x_b).$$

Let  $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \cdots, x_m) := (\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_r := (x_{r,1}, \cdots, x_{r,m_r})$  and  $d\mathbf{x} := \prod_{i=1}^m dx_i$ , then

$$\Psi = \oint d\mathbf{x} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^m S(t_i) \prod_{r=1}^n \phi_{\ell_r, m_r}(z_r, \mathbf{x}_r) \right\rangle,$$

$$\phi_{\ell, m}(z, \mathbf{x}) := [\cdots [\phi_\ell(z), F(x_1)]_{q^\ell} \cdots, F(x_m)]_{q^{\ell-2(m-1)}}.$$

Every rational function in this paper is a formal power series. But by the residue theorem, we can realize  $\oint dx$  as a contour integral around the origin (counter clock wise) or the infinity (clock wise).

First, since  $S_\pm(t)\{xF(x)|_{x=0}\} = 0$ ,  $\phi_\ell(z)\{xF(x)|_{x=0}\} = \{xF(x)|_{x=0}\}\phi_\ell(z) = 0$  and  $F_\pm(y)\{xF(x)|_{x=0}\} = \{xF(x)|_{x=0}\}F_\pm(y) = 0$ , the residue at  $x = 0$  cancels between  $F_+(x)$  and  $F_-(x)$ , i.e.,  $\text{res}_{x=0}\langle \cdots F(x) \cdots \rangle = 0$ . Next, since  $\langle S_+(t)S(u) \rangle = \langle S_-(t)S(u) \rangle$  and  $S(t)\{xF_\pm(x)|_{x=\infty}\} = 0$ , the residue at  $x = \infty$  cancels between  $S_+(t)$  and  $S_-(t)$ , i.e.,  $\text{res}_{x=\infty}\langle \cdots S(t) \cdots \rangle = 0$ . Thus we have,

**Proposition.** *The integrand of  $\Psi$  does not have residue at  $x_i = 0$  nor  $\infty$  ( $i = 1, \cdots, m$ ).*

**4.2.** As we prove in appendix B, one can show that: At first, by calculating the integral for the variables  $x$ 's of the current  $F(x)$ 's, we find that the  $F_-(x)$ 's do not contribute to the results. Second, by the residue theorem, we can estimate the integral for  $x$  at the polls  $y$  such that  $|y| > |x|$ . Then we find that the  $S_-(t)$ 's contribute only for the residue canceling at  $x = \infty$ .

Therefore, for our correlation functions  $\Psi$ , only the  $+$  parts of  $F(x)$  and  $S(z)$  are non-vanishing.

**Proposition.**

$$\Psi = \oint d\mathbf{x} \langle \prod_{i=1}^m S_+(t_i) \prod_{r=1}^n \phi_{\ell_r, m_r}^+(z_r, \mathbf{x}_r) \rangle - \text{res}_\infty,$$

$$\phi_{\ell, m}^+(z, \mathbf{x}) := [\cdots [\phi_\ell(z), F_+(x_1)]_{q^\ell} \cdots, F_+(x_m)]_{q^{\ell-2(m-1)}}.$$

where  $\text{res}_\infty$  means the residue at some of  $x$ 's are  $\infty$ .

**4.3.** Now we denote

$$S_+(t) = s(t)\beta(t), \quad F_+(x) = f(x)\gamma(x),$$

with

$$\beta(t) := \frac{1}{(q - q^{-1})t} \circ \exp \left\{ -b_+(t) - (b + c)(tq^{-1}; 0) \right\} \circ,$$

$$\gamma(x) := \frac{1}{(q - q^{-1})x} \circ \exp \left\{ b_+(xq^{k+2}) + (b + c)(xq^{k+1}; 0) \right\} \circ,$$

$$f(x) := \exp \left\{ a_+(xq^{\frac{k+2}{2}}) \right\}.$$

The correlation function decouple to the  $a$ -part  $\Psi_a$  and  $bc$ -part  $\Psi_{bc}$

$$\Psi = \oint d\mathbf{x} \Psi_a \Psi_{bc} - \text{res}_\infty,$$

where

$$\Psi_a := \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^m s(t_i) \prod_{r=1}^n \phi_{\ell_r}^{(m_r)}(z_r, \mathbf{x}_r) \right\rangle, \quad \Psi_{bc} := \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^m \beta(t_i) \prod_{r=1}^n P_{\ell_r}^{(m_r)}(\mathbf{x}_r) \right\rangle,$$

and

$$\phi_\ell^{(m)}(z, \mathbf{x}) := [\cdots [\phi_\ell(z), f(x_1)]_{q^\ell}, \cdots, f(x_m)]_{q^{\ell-2(m-1)}},$$

$$P_\ell^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) := [\cdots [\gamma(x_1), \gamma(x_2)]_{q^{\ell-2}}, \cdots, \gamma(x_m)]_{q^{\ell-2(m-1)}}.$$

**4.4.** Here we analyze a term of  $\Psi$  such that

$$\Psi' := \oint d\mathbf{x} \Psi_a \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^m \beta(t_i) \prod_{r=1}^m \gamma(x_r) \right\rangle - \text{res}_\infty.$$

Since  $\Psi_a$  has no polls about  $x$ , we have the following inductive formula:

$$\Psi' = \oint d\mathbf{x} \Psi_a \sum_j \left\langle \prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^m \beta(t_i) \prod_{r=2}^m \gamma(x_r) \right\rangle \frac{1}{t_j q^{-k-2}} \delta \left( \frac{x_1}{t_j q^{-k-2}} \right) \prod_{k>j} C_{jk} - \text{res}_\infty,$$

here the symmetric factor  $C_{ij}$  is

$$C_{ij} := \frac{t_i - t_j q^2}{t_i q^2 - t_j}.$$

So we get

$$\Psi' = \oint d\mathbf{x} \Psi_a \sum_{\sigma \in S} \prod_{i=1}^m t_{\sigma(i)} q^{-k-2} \delta \left( \frac{x_i}{t_{\sigma(i)} q^{-k-2}} \right) \prod_{i>j, \sigma(i)<\sigma(j)} C_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}.$$

**4.5.** Similarly, we have other inductive formula as follows:

$$\Psi' = \oint d\mathbf{x} \Psi_a \sum_s \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \beta(t_i) \prod_{r=1, r \neq s}^m \gamma(x_r) \right\rangle \frac{1}{t_m q^{-k-2}} \delta \left( \frac{x_s}{t_m q^{-k-2}} \right) \prod_{k < s} G_{ks} - \text{res}_\infty,$$

here the symmetric factor  $G_{rs}$  is

$$G_{rs} := \frac{x_r - x_s q^2}{x_r q^2 - x_s}.$$

From this property, we obtain the “screening current Ward identity” [ATY]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \oint d\mathbf{x} \Psi_a \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^m \beta(t_i) \prod_{r=1}^n P_{\ell_r}^{(m_r)}(\mathbf{x}_r) \right\rangle - \text{res}_\infty \\ &= \oint d\mathbf{x} \Psi_a \sum_s \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \beta(t_i) P_{\ell_1}^{(m_1)}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots (b(t_m) P_{\ell_s}^{(m_s)}(\mathbf{x}_s)) \cdots P_{\ell_n}^{(m_n)}(\mathbf{x}_n) \right\rangle \prod_{r < s} G_{rs}^{(m)} - \text{res}_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$G_{rs}^{(m)} := \prod_i \frac{x_{r_i} - t_m q^{-k}}{x_{r_i} q^2 - t_m q^{-k-2}}.$$

Here  $(b(t_m) P_{\ell_s}^{(m_s)}(\mathbf{x}_s))$  means that  $b(t_m)$  contract with some of  $\gamma(x_{s_i})$  in  $P_{\ell_s}^{(m_s)}(\mathbf{x}_s)$ .

From this identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \oint d\mathbf{x} \Psi_a \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^m \beta(t_i) \prod_{r=1}^n P_{\ell_r}^{(m_r)}(\mathbf{x}_r) \right\rangle - \text{res}_\infty \\ &= \oint d\mathbf{x} \Psi_a \sum_{\text{Part}} \prod_{r=1}^n \left\langle \prod_{i \in P_r} \beta(t_i) P_{\ell_r}^{(m_r)}(\mathbf{x}_r) \right\rangle \prod_{s < r} \prod_{j \in P_s} C_{ji}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\sum_{\text{Part}}$  stands for the summation over all the partition of  $P = 1, 2, \dots, m$  into  $n$  disjoint union  $P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \dots \cup P_n$ .

**4.6.** Form the fact that  $\langle \phi_\ell(z)f(x) \rangle = 1$ ,  $a$ -part decouples to three parts as follows:

$$\Psi_a = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^m s(t_i) \prod_{r=1}^n \phi_{\ell_r}(z_r) \right\rangle \prod_{r=1}^n \left\langle \phi_{\ell_r}^{(m_r)}(z_r, \mathbf{x}_r) \right\rangle \prod_{s < r} \left\langle \prod_{x \in \mathbf{x}_s} f(x) \phi_{\ell_r}(z_r) \right\rangle.$$

From this and

$$\left\langle \prod_i \beta(t_i) P_\ell^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle \langle \phi_\ell^{(m)}(z, \mathbf{x}) \rangle = \frac{\langle \prod_{i \in P} S_+(t_i) \phi_{\ell, m}^+(z, \mathbf{x}) \rangle}{\langle \prod_{i \in P} s(t_i) \phi_\ell(z) \rangle} = \frac{\langle \prod_{i \in P} S(t_i) \phi_{\ell, m}(z, \mathbf{x}) \rangle}{\langle \prod_{i \in P} s(t_i) \phi_\ell(z) \rangle},$$

we obtain

**Theorem.**

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^m S(t_i) \prod_{r=1}^n \phi_{\ell_r, m_r}(z_r, \mathbf{x}_r) \right\rangle &= \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^m s(t_i) \prod_{r=1}^n \phi_{\ell_r}(z_r) \right\rangle \\ &\times \sum_{\text{Part } r=1}^n \prod_{i \in P_r} \frac{\langle \prod_{i \in P_r} S(t_i) \phi_{\ell_r, m_r}(z_r, \mathbf{x}_r) \rangle}{\langle \prod_{i \in P_r} s(t_i) \phi_{\ell_r}(z_r) \rangle} \prod_{i \in P_r} \left\{ \prod_{s < r} \prod_{j \in P_s} C_{ji} \prod_{s > r} \langle f(t_i q^{-k-2}) \phi_{\ell_r}(z_r) \rangle \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^m s(t_i) \prod_{r=1}^n \phi_{\ell_r}(z_r) \right\rangle = \prod_{i < j} \langle s(t_i) s(t_j) \rangle \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{r=1}^n \langle s(t_i) \phi_{\ell_r}(z_r) \rangle \prod_{r < s} \langle \phi_{\ell_r}(z_r) \phi_{\ell_s}(z_s) \rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle s(t_i) s(t_j) \rangle &= t_i^{\frac{2}{k+2}} \frac{(\frac{t_j}{t_i} q^{-2}; p)_\infty}{(\frac{t_j}{t_i} q^{-2}; p)_\infty}, & \langle s(t_i) \phi_{\ell_r}(z_r) \rangle &= t_i^{-\frac{\ell_r}{k+2}} \frac{(\frac{z_r}{t_i} q^{\ell_r} p; p)_\infty}{(\frac{z_r}{t_i} q^{-\ell_r} p; p)_\infty}, \\ \langle \phi_{\ell_r}(z_r) \phi_{\ell_s}(z_s) \rangle &= q^{k+2} z_r^{\frac{\ell_r \ell_s}{2(k+2)}} \frac{(\frac{z_r}{z_s} q^{\ell_r + \ell_s + 2} p; p, q^4)_\infty (\frac{z_r}{z_s} q^{-\ell_r - \ell_s + 2} p; p, q^4)_\infty}{(\frac{z_r}{z_s} q^{\ell_r - \ell_s + 2} p; p, q^4)_\infty (\frac{z_r}{z_s} q^{-\ell_r + \ell_s + 2} p; p, q^4)_\infty}, \\ \langle f(t_i q^{-k-2}) \phi_{\ell_r}(z_r) \rangle &= T_{ir} := \frac{t_i q^{\ell_r} - z_r p}{t_i - z_r q^{\ell_r} p}, & C_{ij} &= \frac{t_i - t_j q^2}{t_i q^2 - t_j}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $p = q^{2(k+2)}$  and

$$(x; p)_\infty = \prod_{i \geq 0} (1 - x p^i), \quad (x; p, q)_\infty = \prod_{i \geq 0, j \geq 0} (1 - x p^i q^j).$$

By this theorem, the  $n$ -point function in  $\phi_{\ell_r, m_r}(z_r, \mathbf{x}_r)$  is factored to the one-point functions.

## 5. Jackson integral formulae from the free field realization

**5.1.** From the commutation relation for  $F(x)$  and  $S(t)$ , we have following “current Ward identity”:

$$\begin{aligned} \oint dx \langle S(t_1) \cdots S(t_m) [\phi_{\ell,m}(z, \mathbf{x}), F(x)]_{q^{\lambda-2m}} \rangle \\ = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k+2} \partial_{t_i} \langle S(t_1) \cdots \bar{s}(t_i) \cdots S(t_m) \phi_{\ell,m}(z, \mathbf{x}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain

**Theorem.**

$$\oint dx \frac{\langle S(t_1) \cdots S(t_m) \phi_{\ell,m}(z, \mathbf{x}) \rangle}{\langle s(t_1) \cdots s(t_m) \phi_{\ell}(z) \rangle} = \sum_{\text{Sym } i=1}^m \prod_{k+2} \frac{\partial_{t_i} \langle \bar{s}(t_i) s(t_{i+1}) \cdots s(t_m) \phi_{\ell}(z) \rangle}{\langle s(t_i) \cdots s(t_m) \phi_{\ell}(z) \rangle}.$$

By this theorem and that in sect. 4.6, we obtain the formula for  $\Psi$ .

**5.2.** There is another solution. If we symmetries for the integrable variable  $x$  of  $F(x)$  then

$$\phi_{\ell,m}(z) = \oint d\mathbf{x} \circ \phi_{\ell}(z) \prod_r^m F^+(x_r) \circ \prod_r^m \frac{q^{\lambda-r+1}[-\lambda+r+1]}{x_r - zq^{\lambda+k+2}} \prod_{r<s} \frac{x_r - x_s}{x_r q - x_s q^{-1}}.$$

Hence we obtain

**Theorem.**

$$\langle \prod_{i=1}^m S(t_i) \phi_{\ell,m}(z) \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{[i]q^{\lambda-i+1}[-\lambda+i+1]}{t_i - zq^{\lambda p}} \prod_{i<j} \frac{t_i - t_j}{t_i q - t_j q^{-1}}.$$

Here we use the identity

$$\prod_{i<j}^m T_{ij}^{-1} \sum_{\text{Sym } i<j} \prod_{i<j}^m T_{ij} = [m]! \prod_{i<j}^m T_{ji}.$$

By this theorem and that in sect. 4.6, we obtain another formula for  $\Psi$ .

**Acknowledgments.**

The authors would like to thank T. Inami, M. Jimbo, K. Kimura, A. Matsuo, T. Miwa, A. Tsuchiya and Y. Yamada for valuable discussions. H.A is supported by Soryushi-syougakkai.

## Appendix A. Double loop algebra

**A.1.** We here show OPE relations used in this paper. For  $F_{\pm}(x)$  and  $S_{\pm}(t)$ ,

$$F_{\pm}(x_1)F_{\pm}(x_2) = \circ F_{\pm}(x_1)F_{\pm}(x_2) \circ \frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_1 - x_2 q^{-2}} q^{\mp 1},$$

$$F_{\pm}(x_1)F_{\mp}(x_2) = \circ F_{\pm}(x_1)F_{\mp}(x_2) \circ \frac{x_1 - x_2 q^{\pm 2}}{x_1 - x_2 q^{-2}} q^{\mp 1},$$

$$S_{\pm}(t)F_{\pm}(x) = \circ S_{\pm}(t)F_{\pm}(x) \circ \frac{t - x q^{\pm k}}{t - x q^{\pm k \pm 2}} q^{\pm 1},$$

$$S_{\pm}(t)F_{\mp}(x) = \circ S_{\pm}(t)F_{\mp}(x) \circ q^{\pm 1},$$

$$S_{\pm}(t_1)S_{\pm}(t_2) = \circ S_{\pm}(t_1)S_{\pm}(t_2) \circ \langle s(t_1)s(t_2) \rangle \frac{t_1 - t_2}{t_1 - t_2 q^{-2}} q^{\mp 1},$$

$$S_{\pm}(t_1)S_{\mp}(t_2) = \circ S_{\pm}(t_1)S_{\mp}(t_2) \circ \langle s(t_1)s(t_2) \rangle \frac{t_1 - t_2 q^{\pm 2}}{t_1 - t_2 q^{-2}} q^{\mp 1}.$$

For  $F_{\pm}(x)$  and  $\phi_{\ell}(z)$ ,

$$F_{\pm}(x)\phi_{\ell}(z) = \circ F_{\pm}(x)\phi_{\ell}(z) \circ \frac{x - z q^{k+2\mp\ell}}{x - z q^{k+2+\ell}} q^{\pm\ell},$$

$$\phi_{\ell}(z)F_{\pm}(x) = \circ \phi_{\ell}(z)F_{\pm}(x) \circ \frac{z - x q^{-k-2\pm\ell}}{z - x q^{-k-2+\ell}}.$$

For  $\phi_{\ell}(z)$ ,  $s(t)$  and  $\bar{s}(t)$ ,

$$\phi_{\ell_r}(z_r)\phi_{\ell_s}(z_s) = \circ \phi_{\ell_r}(z_r)\phi_{\ell_s}(z_s) \circ q^{k+2} z_r^{\frac{\ell_r \ell_s}{2(k+2)}} \times \frac{(\frac{z_r}{z_s} q^{\ell_r + \ell_s + 2}; p; p, q^4)_{\infty} (\frac{z_r}{z_s} q^{-\ell_r - \ell_s + 2}; p; p, q^4)_{\infty}}{(\frac{z_r}{z_s} q^{\ell_r - \ell_s + 2}; p; p, q^4)_{\infty} (\frac{z_r}{z_s} q^{-\ell_r + \ell_s + 2}; p; p, q^4)_{\infty}},$$

$$s(t_i)s(t_j) = \circ s(t_i)s(t_j) \circ t_i^{\frac{2}{k+2}} \frac{(\frac{t_j}{t_i} q^{-2}; p)_{\infty}}{(\frac{t_j}{t_i} q^{-2}; p)_{\infty}},$$

$$s(t_i)\phi_{\ell_r}(z_r) = \circ s(t_i)\phi_{\ell_r}(z_r) \circ t_i^{-\frac{\ell_r}{k+2}} \frac{(\frac{z_r}{t_i} q^{\ell_r}; p; p)_{\infty}}{(\frac{z_r}{t_i} q^{-\ell_r}; p; p)_{\infty}},$$

$$\bar{s}(t)\phi_{\ell}(z) = \circ \bar{s}(t)\phi_{\ell}(z) \circ t^{-\frac{\ell}{k+2}} \frac{(\frac{z}{t} q^{\ell+k+2}; p; p)_{\infty}}{(\frac{z}{t} q^{-\ell+k+2}; p; p)_{\infty}}.$$

For  $\beta(t)$ ,  $\gamma(x)$  and  $f(x)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(t_1)\beta(t_2) &= \circ \beta(t_1)\beta(t_2) \circ \frac{t_1 - t_2}{t_1 - t_2 q^{-2}} q^{-1}, \\ \beta(t)\gamma(x) &= \circ \beta(t)\gamma(x) \circ \frac{t - xq^k}{t - xq^{k+2}} q, \\ \gamma(x_1)\gamma(x_2) &= \circ \gamma(x_1)\gamma(x_2) \circ \frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_1 - x_2 q^{-2}} q^{-1}, \\ f(x)\phi_\ell(z) &= \circ f(x)\phi_\ell(z) \circ \frac{x - zq^{k+2-\ell}}{x - zq^{k+2+\ell}} q^\ell, \\ \phi_\ell(z)f(x) &= \circ \phi_\ell(z)f(x) \circ.\end{aligned}$$

**A.2.** We here show the OPE relations of  $E_\pm(z)$ ,  $F_\pm(z)$  and  $\psi_\pm(z)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_\pm(z)\psi_\pm(w) &= \circ \psi_\pm(z)\psi_\pm(w) \circ, \\ \psi_\pm(z)\psi_\mp(w) &= \circ \psi_\pm(z)\psi_\mp(w) \circ \frac{(z - wq^{k\pm 2})(z - wq^{-k\mp 2})}{(z - wq^{k-2})(z - wq^{-k+2})}, \\ \psi_\pm(z)E_\alpha(w) &= \circ \psi_\pm(z)E_\alpha(w) \circ \frac{z - wq^{-\frac{k}{2}\mp 2}}{z - wq^{-\frac{k}{2}+2}} q^{\pm 2}, \\ E_\alpha(z)\psi_\pm(w) &= \circ E_\alpha(z)\psi_\pm(w) \circ \frac{z - wq^{-\frac{k}{2}\pm 2}}{z - wq^{-\frac{k}{2}+2}}, \\ \psi_\pm(z)F_\alpha(w) &= \circ \psi_\pm(z)F_\alpha(w) \circ \frac{z - wq^{\frac{k}{2}\pm 2}}{z - wq^{\frac{k}{2}-2}} q^{\mp 2}, \\ F_\alpha(z)\psi_\pm(w) &= \circ F_\alpha(z)\psi_\pm(w) \circ \frac{z - wq^{\frac{k}{2}\mp 2}}{z - wq^{\frac{k}{2}-2}}, \\ E_\pm(z)E_\pm(w) &= \circ E_\pm(z)E_\pm(w) \circ \frac{z - w}{z - wq^2} q^{\pm 1}, \\ E_\pm(z)E_\mp(w) &= \circ E_\pm(z)E_\mp(w) \circ \frac{z - wq^{\mp 2}}{z - wq^2} q^{\pm 1}, \\ E_\pm(z)F_\pm(w) &= \circ E_\pm(z)F_\pm(w) \circ \frac{z - wq^{\pm k\pm 2}}{z - wq^{\pm k}} q^{\mp 1}, \\ E_\pm(z)F_\mp(w) &= \circ E_\pm(z)F_\mp(w) \circ q^{\mp 1}, \\ F_\pm(z)E_\pm(w) &= \circ F_\pm(z)E_\pm(w) \circ \frac{z - wq^{\mp k\mp 2}}{z - wq^{\mp k}} q^{\pm 1}, \\ F_\pm(z)E_\mp(w) &= \circ F_\pm(z)E_\mp(w) \circ q^{\pm 1}, \\ F_\pm(z)F_\pm(w) &= \circ F_\pm(z)F_\pm(w) \circ \frac{z - w}{z - wq^{-2}} q^{\mp 1}, \\ F_\pm(z)F_\mp(w) &= \circ F_\pm(z)F_\mp(w) \circ \frac{z - wq^{\pm 2}}{z - wq^{-2}} q^{\mp 1}.\end{aligned}$$

**A.3.** We here show the algebra of  $E_{\pm}(z)$ ,  $F_{\pm}(z)$  and  $\psi_{\pm}(z)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\pm}(z)\psi_{\pm}(w) &= \psi_{\pm}(w)\psi_{\pm}(z), \\ \psi_{+}(z)\psi_{-}(w) &= \psi_{-}(w)\psi_{+}(z) \frac{(z-wq^{k+2})(z-wq^{-k-2})}{(z-wq^{k-2})(z-wq^{-k+2})}, \\ \psi_{\pm}^{\pm 1}(z)E_{\alpha}(w)\psi_{\pm}^{\mp 1}(z) &= E_{\alpha}(w) \frac{z-wq^{-\frac{k}{2}-2}}{z-wq^{-\frac{k}{2}+2}} q^2, \\ \psi_{\pm}^{\pm 1}(z)F_{\alpha}(w)\psi_{\pm}^{\mp 1}(z) &= F_{\alpha}(w) \frac{z-wq^{\frac{k}{2}+2}}{z-wq^{\frac{k}{2}-2}} q^{-2}, \\ (z-wq^2)E_{\pm}(z)E_{\pm}(w) + (w-zq^2)E_{\pm}(w)E_{\pm}(z) &= 0, \\ (z-wq^{-2})F_{\pm}(z)F_{\pm}(w) + (w-zq^{-2})F_{\pm}(w)F_{\pm}(z) &= 0, \\ E_{+}(z)E_{-}(w) &= E_{-}(w)E_{+}(z) \frac{z-wq^{-2}}{z-wq^2} q^2, \\ F_{+}(z)F_{-}(w) &= F_{-}(w)F_{+}(z) \frac{z-wq^2}{z-wq^{-2}} q^{-2}, \\ [E_{\pm}(z)F_{\pm}(w)] &= \frac{\pm 1}{(q-q^{-1})zw} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}q^{\pm k}\right) \psi_{\pm}(wq^{\pm \frac{k}{2}}), \\ E_{\pm}(z)F_{\mp}(w) &= F_{\mp}(w)E_{\pm}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \circ E_{\pm}(wq^{\pm k})F_{\pm}(w) \circ &= \frac{1}{(q-q^{-1})^2 w^2 q^{\pm k}} \psi_{\pm}(wq^{\pm \frac{k}{2}}), \\ \frac{1}{z-w} + \frac{1}{w-z} &= \frac{1}{z} \delta\left(\frac{w}{z}\right). \end{aligned}$$

## Appendix B. Proof of proposition in sect. 4.2

**B.1.** Let  $\mathcal{O}\{A, B, \dots, C\}$  be an any ordered product of  $A, B, \dots, C$ , e.g.,  $AB \dots C$ ,  $BA \dots C$ ,  $CA \dots B$ , etc. Then our  $\Psi$  is a linear combination of

$$\oint dx dy \langle \prod_{i=1}^m S(t_i) \mathcal{O}\{F_+(x_1), \dots, F_+(x_r), F_-(y_1), \dots, F_-(y_s), \phi_{\ell_1}(z_1), \dots, \phi_{\ell_n}(z_n)\} \rangle$$

with  $r + s = m$ .

At first, let us calculate the integral for the variables  $y$ 's of the current  $F_-(y)$ 's, which can be realized as a counter clock wise contour integral around the origin. Since  $F_-(y)F_+(x)$  and  $F_-(y)\phi_\ell(z)$  do not have pole except for  $y = 0$ , we have

**Lemma.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}\{F_+(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{z})\}$  be an arbitrary ordered product of  $F_+(x_1), \dots, F_+(x_r)$ ,  $\phi(z_1), \dots, \phi(z_n)$ . Then*

$$\oint dy F_-(y) \mathcal{O}\{F_+(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{z})\} |\lambda_0\rangle = \text{res}_{y=0} F_-(y) \mathcal{O}\{F_+(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{z})\} |\lambda_0\rangle.$$

Therefore, the most right  $\oint dy F_-(y)$  in  $\Psi$  can be replaced with  $yF_-(y)|_{y=0}$ . Furthermore, since  $F_-(y_1)\{yF_-(y)|_{y=0}\}$  also do not have pole except for  $y = 0$ , every  $\oint dy F_-(y)$  in  $\Psi$  can be replaced with  $yF_-(y)|_{y=0}$ .

Since  $[\phi_\ell(z), \{yF_-(y)|_{y=0}\}]_{q^\ell} = 0$  and  $[F_+(x), \{yF_-(y)|_{y=0}\}]_{q^{-2}} = 0$  we have

**Lemma.**

$$[\phi_{\ell, m}^+(z), \{yF_-(y)|_{y=0}\}]_{q^{\ell-2m}} = 0.$$

Therefore, the nearest  $yF_-(y)|_{y=0}$  to  $\phi_\ell(z)$  vanishes. Thus

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \phi_{\ell_i, m_i}(z_i) |0\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^r \phi_{\ell_i, m_i}^+(z_i) |0\rangle.$$

This means that the  $F_-(y)$ 's do not contribute to the results, thus our  $\Psi$  is a linear combination of

$$\oint dx \langle \prod_{i=1}^m S(t_i) \mathcal{O}\{F_+(x_1), \dots, F_+(x_m), \phi_{\ell_1}(z_1), \dots, \phi_{\ell_n}(z_n)\} \rangle.$$

**B.2.** Second, let us calculate the integral for the variables  $x$ 's of the current  $F_+(x)$ 's, which can be realized as a clock wise counture integral around the infinity, by the residue theorem. Since

$$S_+(t)F_+(x) \prod_{i=1}^r F_+(x_i) = \circ S_+(t)F_+(x) \prod_{i=1}^r F_+(x_i) \circ q \frac{t - xq^k}{t - xq^{k+2}} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{t - x_i q^k}{t - x_i q^{k+2}} \frac{x - x_i}{x - x_i q^{-2}},$$

we have

$$\text{res}_{x=tq^{-k-2}} \langle \lambda_\infty | S_+(t)F_+(x) \prod_{i=1}^r F_+(x_i) = \langle \lambda_\infty | \circ S_+(t)F_+(q^{-k-2}) \prod_{i=1}^r F_+(x_i) \circ t(q - q^{-1}).$$

Thus this residue does not have extra poles at  $x_i = tq^{**}$ . Similarly

$$\text{res}_{x=tq^{-k-2}} \langle \lambda_\infty | S_+(t) \mathcal{O} \{ \phi(\mathbf{z}) \} F_+(x) \mathcal{O} \{ F_+(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{w}) \}$$

is also the same. Therefore, the residue at  $x = tq^{-k-2}$  of the most left  $\oint dx F_+(x)$  in  $\Psi$  does not produce extra poles.

Let  $d\mathbf{x} := \prod_{i=1}^m dx_i$  and  $F_+(\mathbf{x}) := \{F_+(x_1), \dots, F_+(x_m)\}$ , and let us consider the following  $m$ -integral, which contain at least one  $S_-(t)$ :

$$\oint d\mathbf{x} \langle \lambda_\infty | \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} S(t_i) \cdot S_-(t_k) \prod_{j=k+1}^m S(t_j) \cdot \mathcal{O} \{ F_+(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{z}) \}.$$

Since  $S_-(t)F_+(x)$  do not have pole except for  $x = \infty$ , some variable  $x_i$  of our  $m$ -integral should localize to  $\infty$ . Therefore, we have

**Lemma.**

$$\oint d\mathbf{x} \langle \lambda_\infty | \prod_{i=1}^m S(t_i) \mathcal{O} \{ F_+(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{z}) \} = \oint d\mathbf{x} \langle \lambda_\infty | \prod_{i=1}^m S_+(t_i) \mathcal{O} \{ F_+(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{z}) \} + \dots,$$

here  $\dots$  means residues at some  $x_i$ 's are  $\infty$ .

But  $\Psi$  has no contribution from the residue at some  $x_i$ 's are  $\infty$ . This means that the  $S_-(t)$ 's contribute only for the residue canceling at  $x = \infty$ . Therefore, for our correlation functions, it is enough to consider only the  $+$  parts of  $F(x)$  and  $S(z)$ .

## References

- [ABG] A. Abada, A. Bougourzi and M. El Gradechi, *Deformation of the Wakimoto construction*, (hep-th/9209009) preprint CRM-1829 (1992).
- [ATY] H. Awata, A. Tsuchiya and Y. Yamada, *Integral formulas for the WZNW correlation functions*, Nucl. Phys. **B365** (1991) 680-696.  
H. Awata, *Screening current Ward identity and integral formulas for the WZNW correlation functions*, Prog. Theor. Phys. Supplement **110** (1992) 303-319.
- [DFJMN] B. Davies, O. Foda, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa and A. Nakayashiki, *Diagonalization of the XXZ Hamiltonian by vertex operators*, Commun. Math. Phys. **151** (1993) 89-154.
- [FR] I. Frenkel and N. Reshetikhin, *Quantum affine algebras and holonomic difference equations*, Commun. Math. Phys. **146** (1992) 1-60.
- [JMMN] M. Jimbo, K. Miki, T. Miwa and A. Nakayashiki, *Correlation functions of the XXZ model for  $\Delta < -1$* , Phys. Lett. **A168** (1992) 256-263.
- [KQS] A. Kato, Y. Quano and J. Shiraishi, *Free Boson Representation of  $q$ -Vertex Operators and their Correlation Functions*, Tokyo Univ. preprint UT-618 (1992).
- [M1] A. Matsuo, *Jackson integrals of Jordan-Pochhammer type and quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations*, Nagoya Univ. preprint (1992); *Quantum algebra structure of certain Jackson integrals*, Nagoya Univ. preprint (1992).
- [M2] A. Matsuo, *Free field representation of quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$* , (hep-th/9208079) Nagoya Univ. preprint (1992).
- [M3] A. Matsuo, *Free field representation of  $q$ -deformed primary fields for  $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$* , (hep-th/9212040) Nagoya Univ. preprint (1992).
- [R] N. Reshetikhin, *Jackson-type integrals, Bethe vectors, and solutions to a difference analog of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov system*, Lett. Math. Phys. **26** (1992) 153-165.
- [Sh] J. Shiraishi, *Free boson representation of  $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$* , Phys. Lett. **A171** (1992) 243-248.
- [Sm] F. Smirnov, *Dynamical symmetries of massive integrable models*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7** Supplement 1 (1992) 813-837; 839-858.
- [SV] V. Schechtman and A. Varchenko, *Arrangements of hyperplanes and Lie algebra homology*, Invent. Math. **106** (1991) 139-194.