

Is a drain tip culture required after spinal surgery?

Kazuyoshi Kobayashi M.D, Shiro Imagama M.D, Zenya Ito M.D, Kei Ando M.D,
Hideki Yagi M.D, Tetsuro Hida M.D, Kenyu Ito M.D, Yoshimoto IshikawaM.D, Mikito
Tsushima M.D, Naoki Ishiguro M.D.

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine

Corresponding Author: Shiro Imagama, MD

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine,
65, Tsurumai-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya, 466-8560, Japan

Tel: +81-52-741-2111

Fax: +81-52-744-2260

Email address: imagama@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Conflict of Interest and Sources of Funding: None of the authors have a conflict of interest regarding the work in the manuscript. Funding was from institutional sources only.

Introduction

Surgical site infection (SSI) after spinal surgery is a complication that is often difficult to treat and has high associated morbidity and mortality. Early identification of pathogenic bacteria and initiation of antibiotics are desirable for SSI. Most of these infections are thought to originate from bacterial wound contamination during surgery, with an incidence as high as 58% [1]. Most bacteria are removed by local wound defense mechanisms and prophylactic antibiotics may also have a role in bacterial clearance [2,3]. The presence of bacteria in the wound in the early hours following surgery may be due to incomplete elimination and may be a high risk factor for subsequent wound infection [4].

Closed suction drainage of wounds is established as a principle of management following orthopaedic surgery [1]. In spinal surgery, postoperative wound drains are commonly used to decrease the incidence of epidural hematoma, and the wound drain tip culture has been used as a method for early detection of SSIs [5-7]. Drain tubes kept in close proximity to bone or implants may be ideal “swabs” for early detection of bacterial organisms.

To our knowledge, the relationship of SSI and wound drain tip cultures after spinal surgery has not been reported previously in the English-language literature. We performed this study to clarify this relationship and to examine the efficacy of use of a wound drain tip culture for early detection of SSI in spinal surgery.

Materials and Methods

The subjects were patients who underwent spinal surgery at our institution between January 2010 and March 2013. These patients were identified retrospectively using inclusion criteria of no history of spinal infection; spinal surgery

1 performed for diseases other than infection; administration of antimicrobial
2 prophylaxis in accordance with evidence-based standards and guidelines, such as
3 injection of a first-generation cephalosporin within 1 h before the incision or
4 discontinuation of prophylaxis within 48 h after surgery; cases with a culture study
5 using the distal tip of the wound drain; and follow-up for >6 months after surgery. Of
6 the 340 patients who underwent spinal surgery during the study period, 5 had a
7 history of surgical procedures for spinal infection and 6 underwent surgery for spinal
8 infection. Exclusion of these patients left a total of 329 subjects who were enrolled in
9 the study (Table 1).

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 A closed suction drainage system was applied in all operations. A 10 Fr drain
23 (J-Vac 10Fr; Ethicon®) tube was placed subfascially and brought out through a
24 separate skin incision. The drain was removed under sterile conditions when the
25 drainage was <100 ml in the previous 24 h or the drain had become serous and not
26 bloody. After removal, 3 cm of the inner part of the tube was cut off and put into a
27 sterile transport tube, and drain tips were inoculated in 0.3% sodium thiogluconate. In
28 a case with SSI, wound discharges were spread on 10% aerobic and anaerobic blood
29 agar plates. These plates were incubated at 37°C aerobically and anaerobically, and
30 examined after 24 and 48 h in our microbiology laboratory. A minimum of 20 growth
31 colonies was considered significant. SSIs were classified as superficial SSIs limited to
32 subcutaneous wounds with disunion of scars; or deep SSIs, such as abscesses
33 below muscle layers or around the implanted material.

34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51 Statistical analysis was performed using a Fisher exact test and a chi-square test.
52
53 Continuous variables are shown as means with standard deviations and categorical
54 variables as counts and percentages.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Results

Drains were removed on postoperative day 1 in 9 cases, day 2 in 288 cases, day 3 in 20 cases, day 4 in 9 cases, and day 5 in 3 cases (Table 2). Suction tip cultures were positive in 34 cases and there were 19 SSIs (Table 3). The positive rate of 33% on day 5 was higher than that on each of the previous 4 days (Table 2). Prediction of SSIs was possible based on a positive drain culture (Table 4) and 10 of the 34 tip culture-positive wounds developed SSIs. Suction tip cultures had a sensitivity of 52%, specificity of 92%, positive predictive value (PPV) of 29%, and negative predictive value (NPV) of 97% for detecting a wound infection. The suction tip culture-positive rate and the incidence of wound infection showed a significant association ($P < 0.05$).

Methicillin-resistant bacteria (Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA), Methicillin Resistant Coagulase Negative Staphylococcus (MRCNS), Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Epidermidis (MRSE)) were detected in the drain tip culture in 10 cases, and 6 of these cases had SSIs (Table 5). Of the 19 cases with SSIs, methicillin-resistant bacteria were detected in 13 cases. The PPV for prediction of SSIs was 60% in cases in which methicillin-resistant bacteria were detected in the drain tip. In contrast, in 24 cases in which non-methicillin-resistant bacteria were detected in the drain tip culture, only 4 had SSIs, and the PPV for prediction of SSIs was only 17% (Table 6). There was a significant difference in SSIs between cases in which methicillin-resistant and non-methicillin-resistant bacteria were detected in the drain tip culture ($P = 0.01$).

In cases with instrumentation use, the positive rate for the drain tip culture was 11.8% and the SSI rate was 5.6% (Table 7). Instrumentation use showed no significant relationship with a positive drain tip culture or SSI.

In the 19 cases with SSIs, 9 had superficial SSIs and 10 had deep SSIs. For

1 superficial SSIs, the surgical wound was healed by systemic antibiotics. For deep
2
3 SSIs, surgical debridement was performed, along with use of antibiotics. All SSIs
4
5 finally resolved in all patients.
6
7
8
9

10 **Discussion**

11
12 Closed suction drainage is an established method that has the aim of preventing
13
14 wound hematoma. A drain tip culture is a convenient and non-invasive method [3,4],
15
16 but may not be appropriate as a routine test because the evaluation of infection may
17
18 be unreliable [6]. It has also been suggested that bacteria identified in the SSI and in
19
20 drain tip culture results may not necessarily match [7]. In addition, routine culture of
21
22 all suction drain tips is expensive and hence may not be cost effective [8]. Thus, the
23
24 appropriate strategy for use of drain tip cultures is unclear.
25
26
27

28
29 Bacterial detection rates of 0-10.8% have been reported in drain tip cultures in
30
31 orthopaedic surgery [4,7,9,10]. Previously, Sørensen reported that early removal of
32
33 the drain decreases the risk of retrograde migration of bacteria from the skin, and the
34
35 frequency of positive drain tip cultures and the risk of infection are substantially
36
37 increased if the drainage time is more than 6 days; thus, early removal of drains
38
39 seems to be appropriate [4]. Weinrauch suggested that the low rate of culture-positive
40
41 drain tips may partly be due to early removal, as well as to postoperative antibiotic
42
43 prophylaxis while drains remain in place [7]. In our study, the positive rate for drains
44
45 removed on day 5 was 33%, which was higher than that on earlier days. The patients
46
47 in the study had various diseases, as expected for an intradural operation for a spinal
48
49 cord tumor, and there were some cases in which early drain removal was difficult
50
51 because of CSF leakage prevention. We are unable to draw a definite conclusion
52
53 regarding the timing of drain removal and we found no significant correlation between
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 infection and duration of drainage due to the small sample size. However, we suggest
2 that long-term placement of the drain is undesirable in terms of wound infection.
3

4
5 In orthopaedic surgery, Sankar et al. found that suction tip cultures had a sensitivity
6 of 75%, specificity of 97%, PPV of 50%, and NPV of 99% for prediction of SSIs [11].
7

8
9 In spinal surgery with instrumentation, Nakayama found a sensitivity of 60%,
10 specificity of 98%, PPV of 60%, and NPV of 98% for prediction of deep SSIs using
11 suction tip cultures [12]. These data differ from our results because all operations
12 were performed with instrumentation and the results are for deep SSIs only. In
13 contrast, our results gave a lower sensitivity of 52%, specificity of 92%, PPV of 29%,
14 and NPV of 97% for prediction of SSIs using a positive drain culture. Since
15 instrumentation was used in about half of our procedures and we included deep and
16 superficial SSIs, the strength of the association between the drain tip culture and SSIs
17 was relatively low.
18
19

20
21 For cases in which methicillin-resistant bacteria were detected on the drain tip, we
22 found a PPV of 60% for prediction of SSI, which was significantly higher than that in
23 cases with non-methicillin resistant bacteria. This may be because antimicrobial
24 prophylaxis within 48 h after surgery is effective against non-methicillin resistant
25 bacteria; thus, even if these bacteria are present in the wound at the time of drain
26 removal, SSI was avoided by antimicrobial prophylaxis. Also, detection of indigenous
27 skin flora such as Staph epidermidis and Propionibacterium acnes on the drain tip
28 may have been due to contamination during drain removal, although this procedure
29 was performed as carefully as possible.
30

31
32 Infections after spinal surgery are most commonly caused by Gram-positive
33 organisms found on skin flora, most notably Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) and
34 Staphylococcus epidermidis (MSSE) [13]. However, the incidence of infection with
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

1 methicillin-resistant bacteria (including MRSA) is increasing [14], and MRSA has also
2 increased in postsurgical infection [15]. In postoperative spinal deformity, Ho et al.
3 found that almost 50% of postsurgical infections were caused by MSSE, but the
4 tendency of this organism to form a biofilm makes it resistant to many conventional
5 prophylactic antibiotics [16]. In contrast, lipopeptide antibiotics such as daptomycin
6 have become available for use against MRSA, in addition to vancomycin, and
7 teicoplanin is a bactericidal agent with the potential to treat infections caused by
8 multidrug-resistant Gram-positive organisms [17]. Early diagnosis and treatment of
9 methicillin-resistant bacteria using these drugs are desirable.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 There are several limitations in this study, including the retrospective design,
23 modest sample size, and different types of surgery included. We also only used a
24 cultured drain tip, and the drain fluid was not cultured. In addition, it was difficult to
25 determine if contamination had occurred in a case with a positive drain culture but no
26 SSI. Regardless, if bacteria are detected on the drain tip, infection should be
27 suspected and wound puncture and a bacterial culture test should be performed.
28
29 Cases with detection of the same bacteria in the drain tip and bacterial culture test
30 have a higher possibility of SSI and contamination of the drain tip is unlikely.
31
32 We were unable to conclude that the result of a drain tip culture always indicates the
33 presence or absence of an SSI. However, a possible SSI should be considered in a
34 case with methicillin-resistant bacteria in the drain tip culture, and close monitoring of
35 the wound behavior and early intervention is necessary in such cases.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

1. Drinkwater CJ, Neil MJ. Optimal timing of wound drain removal following total joint arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 1995;10:185-189.
2. Lidwell OM, Elson RA, Lowbury EJ, et al. Ultraclean air and antibiotics for prevention of postoperative infection. A multicenter study of 8,052 joint replacement operations. *Acta Orthop Scand*. 1987;58:4-13.
3. Lindgren U, Elmros T, Holm SE. Bacteria in hip surgery. A study of routine aerobic and anaerobic cultivation from skin and closed suction wound drains. *Acta Orthop Scand*. 1976;47:320-323.
4. Sørensen AI, Sørensen TS. Bacterial growth on suction drain tips. Prospective study of 489 clean orthopedic operations. *Acta Orthop Scand*. 1991;62:451-454.
5. Hetem DJ, Woerdeman PA, Bonten MJ, et al. Relationship between bacterial colonization of external cerebrospinal fluid drains and secondary meningitis: a retrospective analysis of an 8-year period. *J Neurosurg*. 2010;113:1309-1313.
6. Petsatodis G, Parziali M, Christodoulou AG, et al. Prognostic value of suction drain tip culture in determining joint infection in primary and non-infected revision total hip arthroplasty: a prospective comparative study and review of the literature. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2009;129:1645-1649.
7. Weinrauch P. Diagnostic value of routine drain tip culture in primary joint arthroplasty. *ANZ J Surg*. 2005;75:887-888.
8. Bernard L, Pron B, Vuagnat A, et al.; Groupe d'Etude sur l'Ostéite. The value of suction drainage fluid culture during aseptic and septic orthopedic surgery: a prospective study of 901 patients. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2002;34:46-49.
9. Overgaard S, Thomsen NO, Kulinski B, et al. Closed suction drainage after hip arthroplasty. Prospective study of bacterial contamination in 81 cases. *Acta*

1 *Orthop Scand.* 1993;64:417-420.

- 2
- 3 10. Girvent R, Marti D, Muñoz JM. The clinical significance of suction drainage
- 4 cultures. *Acta Orthop Belg.* 1994;60:290-292.
- 5
- 6
- 7 11. Sankar B, Ray P, Rai J. Suction drain tip culture in orthopaedic surgery: a
- 8 prospective study of 214 clean operations. *Int Orthop.* 2004;28:311-314.
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12 12. Nakayama Y, Tsuji T, Asazuma T, et al. Evaluation of the usefulness of bacterial
- 13 culture of drainage tube tip in spinal instrumentation surgery. *National Defense*
- 14 *Medical Journal.* 2005;52:79-82.
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 13. Nagashima H, Yamane K, Nishi T, et al. Recent trends in spinal infections:
- 20 retrospective analysis of patients treated during the past 50 years. *Int Orthop.*
- 21 2010;34:395-399.
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26 14. Chen AF, Chivukula S, Jacobs LJ, et al. What is the prevalence of MRSA
- 27 colonization in elective spine cases? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.*
- 28 2012;470:2684-2689.
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34 15. Humphreys H. Staphylococcus aureus: the enduring pathogen in surgery.
- 35 *Surgeon.* 2012;10:357-360.
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39 16. Ho C, Skaggs DL, Weiss JM, et al. Management of infection after instrumented
- 40 posterior spine fusion in pediatric scoliosis. *Spine.* 2007;32:2739-2744.
- 41
- 42
- 43 17. Parlato C, di Nuzzo G, Luongo M, et al. Daptomycin in treatment of
- 44 methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus diskitis. *Neurol Sci.* 2011;32:747-748.
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

Table 1. Characteristics of the patients

Item	Number or mean \pm SD (n=329)
Background	
Age (years)	52.4 \pm 21.6
Female	179
Region of spine *	
Cervical	97
Thoracic	133
Lumbar	161
Sacrum	9
Diagnosis	
Adult deformity	14
Pediatric deformity	17
Degenerative disease	176
Cervical myelopathy	40
Tumor	67
Other	15
Type of procedure	
Fusion	172
Decompression	40
Tumor excision	67
Laminoplasty	37
Other	13
Approach	
Anterior	4
Posterior	319
Anterior/posterior	6
Surgery	
Primary	307
Revision	22
Instrumentation	160

* There is some overlapping.

Table 2. Culture results and period until drain removal

Period (days)	Total	Positive drain tip culture (%)
1	9	1 (11%)
2	288	29 (10%)
3	20	2 (10%)
4	9	1 (11%)
5	3	1 (33%)
Total	329	34

Mean \pm SD = 2.0 \pm 0.3 days

Table 3. Results for drain tip cultures and SSIs

Drain tip culture	Total n=329	SSI	
		+	-
Positive	34	10	24
Negative	295	9	286

Data are significantly different ($P < 0.05$)

Table 4. Prediction of SSIs using a positive drain culture

Prediction of SSIs	Percentile
Sensitivity	52%
Specificity	92%
PPV*	29%
NPV**	97%

*positive predictive value **negative predictive value

Table 5. Bacteria in positive drain tip cultures and postoperative SSIs

Bacteria	Positive drain tip cultures	SSI -	SSI +		
			Concordant	Discordant	Total
Staph epidermidis	7	6	1	0	1
MRSE*	7	4	3	0	3
Propionibacterium acnes	6	5	1	0	1
Anaerobic gram-positive bacilli	4	3	1	0	1
Klebsiella pneumoniae	3	3	0	0	0
MRCNS*	2	0	2	0	2
Enterococcus faecalis	2	1	1	0	1
MRSA*	1	0	1	9	10
Candida albicans	1	1	0	0	0
Enterobacter cloacae	1	1	0	0	0
Total	34	24	10	9	19

* Methicillin-resistant bacteria

Table 6. Methicillin-resistant bacteria detected in drain tip cultures and SSIs

Bacteria in drain tip cultures	Methicillin-resistant bacteria		P value
	+	-	
	n=10	n=24	
SSI	6 (60%)	4 (17%)	0.01

Table 7. Positive drain tip cultures and SSIs by instrumentation use

	Instrumentation use		P value
	+	-	
	n=160	n=169	
Positive drain tip cultures	19 (11.8%)	15 (8.9%)	0.37
SSI	9 (5.6%)	4 (2.4%)	0.13