

# A REMARK ON ORBITAL FREE ENTROPY

YOSHIMICHI UEDA

ABSTRACT. A lower estimate of the orbital free entropy  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  under unitary conjugation is proved, and it together with Voiculescu’s observation shows that the conjectural exact formula relating  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  to the free entropy  $\chi$  breaks in general in contrast to the case when given random multi-variables are all hyperfinite.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Voiculescu’s theory of free entropy (see [10]) has two alternative approaches; the microstate free entropy  $\chi$  and the microstate-free free entropy  $\chi^*$ , both of which are believed to define the *same* free entropy (at least under the  $R^\omega$ -embeddability assumption). Similarly to the microstate free entropy  $\chi$ , the orbital free entropy  $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$  of given random self-adjoint multi-variables  $\mathbf{X}_i$  was also constructed based on an appropriate notion of microstates (called ‘orbital microstates’, i.e., the ‘unitary orbit part’ of the usual matricial microstates appearing in the definition of  $\chi$ ) in [3],[5]. The free entropy should be understood, in some senses, as the ‘size’ of a given set of non-commutative random variables, while  $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$  *precisely* measures how far the positional relation among the  $W^*(\mathbf{X}_i)$  are from the freely independent positional relation in the ambient tracial  $W^*$ -probability space. In fact, we have known that  $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$  is non-positive and equals zero if and only if the  $W^*(\mathbf{X}_i)$  are freely independent (modulo the  $R^\omega$ -embeddability assumption). This fact and the other general properties of  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  suggest that the minus orbital free entropy  $-\chi_{\text{orb}}$  is a microstate variant of Voiculescu’s free mutual information  $i^*$  whose definition is indeed ‘microstate-free’. Hence it is natural to expect that those two quantities have the same properties.

In [9] Voiculescu (implicitly) proved that

$$i^*(v_1 A_1 v_1^*; \dots; v_n A_n v_n^* : B) \leq -(\Sigma(v_1) + \dots + \Sigma(v_n))$$

holds for unital  $*$ -subalgebras  $A_1, \dots, A_n, B$  of a tracial  $W^*$ -probability space and a freely independent family of unitaries  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  in the same  $W^*$ -probability space such that the family is freely independent of  $A_1 \vee \dots \vee A_n \vee B$ . Here, we set  $\Sigma(v_i) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| \mu_{v_i}(d\zeta_1) \mu_{v_i}(d\zeta_2)$  with the spectral distribution measure  $\mu_{v_i}$  of  $v_i$  with respect to  $\tau$ . In fact, this inequality immediately follows from [9, Proposition 9.4] (see Proposition 10.11 in the same paper). Its natural ‘orbital counterpart’ should be

$$\chi_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X}_1 v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X}_n v_n^*) \geq \Sigma(v_1) + \dots + \Sigma(v_n)$$

with regarding  $A_i = W^*(\mathbf{X}_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $B = \mathbb{C}$ . We will prove a slightly improved inequality (Theorem 3.1). The inequality is nothing but a further evidence about the unification conjecture between  $i^*$  and  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$ . However, more importantly, the inequality together with Voiculescu’s discussion [9, §§14.1] answers, in the negative, the question on the expected relation

---

*Date:* Feb. 20th, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L54, 52C17, 28A78, 94A17.

*Key words and phrases.* Free independence; Free entropy; Free mutual information; Orbital free entropy.

Supported by Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research 16K13762.

between  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  and  $\chi$ . Namely, the main formula in [3] (see (7) below), which we call the exact formula relating  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  to  $\chi$ , does not hold without any additional assumptions.

In the final part of this short note we also give an observation about the question of whether or not there is a variant of  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  satisfying both the ‘ $W^*$ -invariance’ for each given random self-adjoint multi-variable and the exact formula relating  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  to  $\chi$  in general. Here it is fair to mention two other attempts due to Biane–Dabrowski [1] and Dabrowski [2], but this question is not yet resolved at the moment of this writing.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this note,  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  denotes a tracial  $W^*$ -probability space, that is,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a finite von Neumann algebra and  $\tau$  a faithful normal tracial state on  $\mathcal{M}$ . We denote the  $N \times N$  self-adjoint matrices by  $M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}}$  and the Haar probability measure on the  $N \times N$  unitary group  $U(N)$  by  $\gamma_{U(N)}$ .

**2.1. Orbital free entropy.** ([3],[5].) Let  $\mathbf{X}_i = (X_{i1}, \dots, X_{ir(i)})$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , be arbitrary random self-adjoint multi-variables in  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . We recall an expression of  $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$  that we will use in this note. Let  $R > 0$  be given possibly with  $R = \infty$ , and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  be arbitrarily given. For given multi-matrices  $\mathbf{A}_i = (A_{ij})_{j=1}^{r(i)} \in (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})^{r(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the set of orbital microstates  $\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n ; N, m, \delta)$  is defined to be all  $(U_i)_{i=1}^n \in U(N)^n$  such that

$$|\text{tr}_N(h((U_i \mathbf{A}_i U_i^*)_{i=1}^n)) - \tau(h((\mathbf{X}_i)_{i=1}^n))| < \delta$$

holds whenever  $h$  is a  $*$ -monomial in  $(r(1) + \dots + r(n))$  indeterminates of degree not greater than  $m$ . Similarly,  $\Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}_i ; N, m, \delta)$  denotes the set of all  $\mathbf{A} \in ((M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})_R)^{r(i)}$  such that

$$|\text{tr}_N(h(\mathbf{A})) - \tau(h(\mathbf{X}_i))| < \delta$$

holds whenever  $h$  is a  $*$ -monomial in  $r(i)$  indeterminates of degree not greater than  $m$ . It is rather trivial that if some  $\mathbf{A}_i$  sits in  $((M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})_R)^{r(i)} \setminus \Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}_i ; N, m, \delta)$ , then  $\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n ; N, m, \delta)$  must be the empty set. Hence we define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\chi}_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n ; N, m, \delta) &:= \sup_{\mathbf{A}_i \in (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})_R^{r(i)}} \log \left( \gamma_{U(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n ; N, m, \delta)) \right) \\ &= \sup_{\mathbf{A}_i \in \Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}_i ; N, m, \delta)} \log \left( \gamma_{U(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n ; N, m, \delta)) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

(defined to be  $-\infty$  if some  $\Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}_i ; N, m, \delta) = \emptyset$ ), and we define

$$\chi_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n) := \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ \delta \searrow 0}} \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \bar{\chi}_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n ; N, m, \delta). \quad (2)$$

It is known, see [5, Corollary 2.7], that  $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n) := \sup_{R > 0} \chi_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n) = \chi_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$  holds whenever  $R \geq \max\{\|X_{ij}\|_\infty \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r(i)\}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_s)$  be an  $s$ -tuple of unitaries in  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . For given multi-matrices  $\mathbf{A}_i = (A_{ij})_{j=1}^{r(i)} \in (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})^{r(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n ; \mathbf{v} ; N, m, \delta)$  in presence of  $\mathbf{v}$  is defined to be all  $(U_i)_{i=1}^n \in U(N)^n$  such that there exists  $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, \dots, V_s) \in U(N)^s$  so that

$$|\text{tr}_N(h((U_i \mathbf{A}_i U_i^*)_{i=1}^n, \mathbf{V})) - \tau(h((\mathbf{X}_i)_{i=1}^n, \mathbf{v}))| < \delta$$

holds whenever  $h$  is a  $*$ -monomial in  $(r(1) + \dots + r(n) + s)$  indeterminates of degree not greater than  $m$ . Then  $\chi_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : \mathbf{v})$  can be obtained in the same way as above with  $\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n ; \mathbf{v} ; N, m, \delta)$  in place of  $\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n ; N, m, \delta)$ .

Remark that  $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : \mathbf{v}) := \sup_{R>0} \chi_{\text{orb},R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : \mathbf{v}) = \chi_{\text{orb},R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : \mathbf{v})$  also holds if  $R \geq \max\{\|X_{ij}\|_\infty \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r(i)\}$ . Moreover,  $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : \mathbf{v}) \leq \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$  trivially holds.

**2.2. Microstate free entropy for unitaries.** (See [4, §6.5].) Let  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of unitaries in  $\mathcal{M}$ . We recall the microstate free entropy  $\chi_u(\mathbf{v})$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  be arbitrarily given. For every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)$  to be the set of all  $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, \dots, V_n) \in \text{U}(N)^n$  such that  $|\text{tr}_N(h(\mathbf{V})) - \tau(h(\mathbf{v}))| < \delta$  holds whenever  $h$  is a  $*$ -monomial in  $n$  indeterminates of degree not greater than  $m$ . Then

$$\chi_u(\mathbf{v}) := \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ \delta \searrow 0}} \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \gamma_{\text{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)). \quad (3)$$

Note that  $\chi_u(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_u(v_i)$  holds when  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  are freely independent and that  $\chi_u(\mathbf{v}) = 0$  if  $\mathbf{v}$  is a freely independent family of Haar unitaries. Moreover, when  $n = 1$ ,  $\chi_u(v_1) = \Sigma(v_1)$  holds.

**2.3. Voiculescu's measure concentration result.** ([8]) Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$  be a non-commutative probability space, and  $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of subsets of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Denote by  $(\mathfrak{A}^{*I}, \phi^{*I})$  the reduced free product of copies of  $(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$  indexed by  $I$ , and by  $\lambda_i$  the canonical map of  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto the  $i$ -th copy of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $\mathfrak{A}^{*I}$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we say that  $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$  are  $(m, \varepsilon)$ -free (in  $(\mathfrak{A}, \phi)$ ) if

$$|\phi(a_1 \cdots a_k) - \phi^{*I}(\lambda_{i_1}(a_1) \cdots \lambda_{i_k}(a_k))| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $a_j \in \Omega_{i_j}$ ,  $i_j \in I$  with  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and  $1 \leq k \leq m$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** (Voiculescu [8, Corollary 2.13]) *Let  $R > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\theta > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be given. Then there exists  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\text{U}(N)}^{\otimes p}(\{ \{ (U_1, \dots, U_p) \in \text{U}(N)^p : \{ T_1^{(0)}, \dots, T_{q_0}^{(0)} \}, \{ U_1 T_1^{(1)} U_1^*, \dots, U_1 T_{q_1}^{(1)} U_1^* \}, \\ \dots, \{ U_p T_1^{(p)} U_p^*, \dots, U_p T_{q_p}^{(p)} U_p^* \} \text{ are } (m, \varepsilon)\text{-free} \}) > 1 - \theta \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $N \geq N_0$  and  $T_j^{(i)} \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\|T_j^{(i)}\|_\infty \leq R$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq q_i \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q_i$ .  $0 \leq i \leq p$ .

### 3. LOWER ESTIMATE OF $\chi_{\text{orb}}$ UNDER UNITARY CONJUGATION

This section is devoted to proving the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{n+1}$  be random self-adjoint multi-variables in  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of unitaries in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{X} := \mathbf{X}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_{n+1}$  has f.d.a. in the sense of Voiculescu [8, Definition 3.1] (or equivalently,  $W^*(\mathbf{X})$  is  $R^\omega$ -embeddable) and that  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are freely independent. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X}_1 v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X}_n v_n^*, \mathbf{X}_{n+1}) &\geq \chi_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X}_1 v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X}_n v_n^*, \mathbf{X}_{n+1} : \mathbf{v}) \\ &\geq \chi_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X}_1 v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X}_n v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : \mathbf{v}) \\ &\geq \chi_u(\mathbf{v}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* The first inequality in (4) is trivial, and the second follows from (the conditional variant of) [5, Theorem 2.6(6)]. Hence it suffices only to prove the third inequality in (4). We may and do also assume that  $\chi_u(\mathbf{v}) > -\infty$ ; otherwise the desired inequality trivially holds.

Write  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_r)$  for simplicity. Set  $R := \max\{\|X_j\|_\infty \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ , and let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  be arbitrarily given. We can choose  $\delta' > 0$  in such a way that  $\delta' \leq \delta$  and that, for

every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $\mathbf{A} \in \Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}; N, m, \delta')$  and  $\mathbf{V} = (V_1, \dots, V_n) \in \Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta')$  are  $(3m, \delta')$ -free, then

$$|\mathrm{tr}_N(h(V_1 \mathbf{A} V_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup V_n \mathbf{A} V_n^* \sqcup \mathbf{A} \sqcup \mathbf{V})) - \tau(h(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^* \sqcup \dots \sqcup v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^* \sqcup \mathbf{v}))| < \delta$$

whenever  $h$  is a  $*$ -monomial of  $(n+1)r + n$  indeterminates of degree not greater than  $m$ . For such a  $\delta' > 0$  the assumptions here ensure that there exists  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}; N, m, \delta') \neq \emptyset$  and the probability measure

$$\nu_N := \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta'))} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n} \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta')}$$

is well-defined whenever  $N \geq N_0$ . Let  $\Xi(N) \in \Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}; N, m, \delta')$  be arbitrarily chosen for each  $N \geq N_0$ . Note that  $\Xi(N)$  also falls in  $\Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}; N, m, \delta) = \Gamma(v_i \mathbf{X} v_i^*; N, m, \delta)$  since  $\delta' < \delta$ . Then we define

$$\Theta(N, 3m, \delta') := \{(V_1, \dots, V_n, U) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^{n+1} \mid \{V_1, \dots, V_n\} \text{ and } U\Xi(N)U^* \text{ are } (3m, \delta')\text{-free}\}.$$

By what we have remarked at the beginning of this paragraph, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (V_1, \dots, V_n, U) &\in \Theta(N, 3m, \delta') \cap (\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 3m, \delta') \times \mathbf{U}(N)) \\ \implies (V_1 U, \dots, V_n U, U) &\in \Gamma_{\mathrm{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi(N), \dots, \Xi(N)) : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By Lemma 2.1 there exists  $N_1 \geq N_0$  so that

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}(\{U \in \mathbf{U}(N) \mid (V_1, \dots, V_n, U) \in \Theta(N, 3m, \delta')\}) > \frac{1}{2}$$

for every  $N \geq N_1$  and every  $(V_1, \dots, V_n) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n$ . Consequently, we have

$$(\nu_N \otimes \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)})(\Theta(N, 3m, \delta')) > \frac{1}{2}$$

whenever  $N \geq N_1$ . Therefore, for every  $N \geq N_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta')) \\ &< \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta')) \times (\nu_N \otimes \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)})(\Theta(N, 3m, \delta')) \\ &= \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes(n+1)}(\Theta(N, 3m, \delta') \cap (\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 3m, \delta') \times \mathbf{U}(N))) \\ &\leq \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes(n+1)}(\{(V_1, \dots, V_n, U) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^{n+1} \mid \\ &\quad (V_1 U, \dots, V_n U, U) \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi(N), \dots, \Xi(N)) : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)\}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}(dU) \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\{(V_1, \dots, V_n) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \mid \\ &\quad (V_1 U, \dots, V_n U, U) \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi(N), \dots, \Xi(N)) : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)\}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}(dU) \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\{(U_1, \dots, U_n) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \mid \\ &\quad (U_1, \dots, U_n, U) \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi(N), \dots, \Xi(N)) : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)\}) \\ &= \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes(n+1)}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi(N), \dots, \Xi(N)) : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the fourth line is obtained by (5) and the sixth due to the right-invariance of the Haar probability measure  $\gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}$ . Hence

$$\chi_u(\mathbf{v}) \leq \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \log \left( \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta')) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \log \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes(n+1)} (\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi(N), \dots, \Xi(N)) : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)) \\
&\leq \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \bar{\chi}_{\text{orb}, R}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta),
\end{aligned}$$

implying the desired inequality since  $m, \delta$  are arbitrary.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** Inequality (4) is not optimal as follows. Assume that  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = (L(\mathbb{F}_r), \tau_{\mathbb{F}_r}) \star (L(\mathbb{Z}_m), \tau_{\mathbb{Z}_m})$  and that  $\mathbf{X}$  is the canonical free semicircular generators of  $L(\mathbb{F}_r)$  and  $v$  is a canonical generator of  $L(\mathbb{Z}_m)$ . Since  $\tau(v) = 0$ , one easily confirms that  $v \mathbf{X} v^*$  and  $\mathbf{X}$  are freely independent so that  $\chi_{\text{orb}}(v \mathbf{X} v^*, \mathbf{X}) = 0$ . On the other hand, we know that  $\chi_u(v) = -\infty$ , since the spectral measure of  $v$  has an atom.

**Remark 3.3.** The proof of Theorem 3.1 (actually, the idea of obtaining the second equality in (6)) gives an alternative representation of  $\bar{\chi}_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n; N, m, \delta)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\chi}_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n; N, m, \delta) \\
&= \sup_{\mathbf{A}_i \in (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})^{r(i)}} \log \left( \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n-1} (\{(U_i)_{i=1}^{n-1} \in \mathbf{U}(N)^{n-1} \mid \right. \\
&\quad \left. (U_1, \dots, U_{n-1}, I_N) \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n; N, m, \delta)\}) \right) \\
&= \sup_{\mathbf{A}_i \in \Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}_i; N, m, \delta)} \log \left( \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n-1} (\{(U_i)_{i=1}^{n-1} \in \mathbf{U}(N)^{n-1} \mid \right. \\
&\quad \left. (U_1, \dots, U_{n-1}, I_N) \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\mathbf{A}_i)_{i=1}^n; N, m, \delta)\}) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

when  $n \geq 2$ . This corresponds to [9, Remarks 10.2(c)].

#### 4. DISCUSSIONS

**4.1. Negative observation.** In [3, Theorem 2.6] the following formula was shown when all  $\mathbf{X}_i$  are singletons:

$$\chi(\mathbf{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_n) = \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(\mathbf{X}_i). \quad (7)$$

Note that the same formula trivially holds true (as  $-\infty = -\infty$ ) even when one replaces each singleton  $\mathbf{X}_i$  with a hyperfinite non-singleton  $\mathbf{X}_i$ , that is,  $W^*(\mathbf{X}_i)$  is hyperfinite and  $\mathbf{X}_i$  consists of at least two elements. Beyond the hyperfiniteness situation, inequality ( $\leq$ ) in (7) still holds (see [5, Proposition 2.8]), but equality unfortunately does not in general as follows. The following argument is attributed to Voiculescu [9, §§14.1]. Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2)$  be a semicircular system in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $v \in \mathcal{M}$  be a unitary such that  $\tau(v) \neq 0$ ,  $\chi_u(v) > -\infty$ , and that  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $v$  are  $*$ -freely independent. Set  $Y_i := v X_i v^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $\mathbf{Y} := (Y_1, Y_2)$ . By [9, Proposition 2.5]  $W^*(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2) = W^*(X_1, X_2, Y_1) = W^*(X_1, X_2, v)$ , and hence by [7, Proposition 3.8]

$$\chi(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2) = \chi(X_1, X_2, Y_1, I) \leq \chi(X_1, X_2, Y_1) + \chi(I) = -\infty,$$

where  $I$  denotes the unit of  $\mathcal{M}$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 3.1  $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \geq \chi_u(v) > -\infty$ , implying that

$$\chi(\mathbf{X} \sqcup \mathbf{Y}) = -\infty < \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + \chi(\mathbf{X}) + \chi(\mathbf{Y}).$$

In particular, the quantity “ $C^\omega$ ” (or probably “ $C$ ” too) in [5, Remark 2.9] does not coincide with  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  in general. An interesting question is whether or not  $\chi(\mathbf{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_n) > -\infty$  is enough to make the exact formula relating  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  to  $\chi$  hold. Note that  $\chi_{\text{orb}} = \tilde{\chi}_{\text{orb}}$  (Biane–Dabrowski’s variant [1]) holds under the assumption. Moreover, the orbital free entropy dimension  $\delta_{0, \text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$

must be zero in this case thanks to [5, Proposition 4.3(5)], since  $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) > -\infty$ . Also  $\delta_0(\mathbf{X}) = \delta_0(\mathbf{Y}) = 2$  is trivial. Note that  $\chi_u(v) > -\infty$  forces that the probability distribution of  $v$  has no atom. Thus, it is likely (if one believes that  $\delta_0$  gives a  $W^*$ -invariant) that

$$\delta_0(\mathbf{X} \sqcup \mathbf{Y}) \stackrel{?}{=} 3 < 4 = \delta_{0, \text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + \delta_0(\mathbf{X}) + \delta_0(\mathbf{Y})$$

is expected. This means that if  $\delta_0(\mathbf{X}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_n) = \delta_{0, \text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_0(\mathbf{X}_i)$  held in general, then the  $W^*$ -invariance problem of  $\delta_0$  would be resolved negatively. Hence it seems still interesting only to ask whether  $\delta_0(\mathbf{X} \sqcup \mathbf{Y}) \leq 4$  or not.

**4.2. Other possible variants of  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$ .** The above discussion tells us that if a variant of  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  satisfies Theorem 3.1, then the variant does not satisfy the exact formula relating  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  to  $\chi$  in general. Following our previous work [3] with Hiai and Miyamoto one may consider the following variant of  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$ : For each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we select an (operator norm-)bounded sequence  $\{\Xi_i(N)\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\Xi_i(N) \in (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})^{r(i)}$  such that the joint distribution of  $\Xi_i(N)$  under  $\text{tr}_N$  converges to that of  $\mathbf{X}_i$  under  $\tau$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Then we replace  $\bar{\chi}_{\text{orb}, R}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n; N, m, \delta)$  in the definition of  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^n ; N, m, \delta) \\ & := \log \left( \gamma_{\mathbb{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^n ; N, m, \delta)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^n) \\ & := \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ \delta \searrow 0}} \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^n ; N, m, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

The conditional variant  $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^n : \mathbf{v})$  is defined exactly in the same fashion as  $\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n : \mathbf{v})$ . Then we may consider their supremum all over the possible choices of  $(\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^n$  under some suitable constraint as a variant of  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$ .

Even if the constraint of selecting sequences of multi-matrices is chosen to be the way of approximating to the freely independent copies of given random self-adjoint multi-variables, then the resulting variant of  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  still satisfies Theorem 3.1, and in turn does not satisfy the exact formula relating  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  to  $\chi$  in general. More precisely we can prove the following:

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_j)_{j=1}^r$  be a random self-adjoint multi-variables in  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of unitaries in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{X}$  has f.d.a. (see Theorem 3.1) and that  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are freely independent. Then there exists a bounded sequence  $\{(\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1}\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\Xi_i(N) \in (M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})^r$  such that the joint distribution of  $\Xi_1(N) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Xi_{n+1}(N)$  under  $\text{tr}_N$  converges to the freely independent  $n+1$  copies  $\mathbf{X}_1^f \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_{n+1}^f$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  (n.b., the joint distribution of  $\mathbf{X}$  is identical to that of every  $v_i \mathbf{X} v_i^*$ ) under  $\tau$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , and moreover that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1}) \\ & \geq \chi_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}) \geq \chi_u(\mathbf{v}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $R > 0$  be sufficiently large. Since  $\mathbf{X}$  has f.d.a., Lemma 2.1 shows that for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  one has  $\{((U_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}, \mathbf{A}) \in \mathbb{U}(N)^{n+1} \times ((M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})_R)^r \mid (U_i \mathbf{A} U_i^*)_{i=1}^{n+1} \in \Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}_1^f \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_{n+1}^f ; N, m, \delta)\} \neq \emptyset$  for all sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . By using this fact, it is easy to choose a bounded sequence  $\Xi(N) \in ((M_N(\mathbb{C})^{\text{sa}})_R)^r$  and a sequence  $(W_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} \in \mathbb{U}(N)^{n+1}$  in such a way that both the joint distributions of  $\Xi(N)$  and of  $W_1(N) \Xi(N) W_1(N)^* \sqcup \cdots \sqcup W_{n+1}(N) \Xi(N) W_{n+1}(N)^*$  under  $\text{tr}_N$  converge to those of  $\mathbf{X}$  and of  $\mathbf{X}_1^f \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_{n+1}^f$ , respectively, under  $\tau$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Set  $\Xi_i(N) := W_i(N) \Xi(N) W_i(N)^*$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , and we will prove that  $(\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1}$  is a desired sequence.

For given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$ , we choose  $0 < \delta' < \delta$  as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let  $\nu_N$  and  $\Theta(N, 3m, \delta')$  be also chosen exactly in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. We can choose  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  in such a way that  $\Xi(N) \in \Gamma_R(\mathbf{X}; N, m, \delta')$  and  $\nu_N$  is well-defined as long as  $N \geq N_0$ . By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (V_1, \dots, V_n, U) \in \Theta(N, 3m, \delta') \cap (\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 3m, \delta') \times \mathbf{U}(N)) \\
& \implies (V_1 U, \dots, V_n U, U) \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi(N), \dots, \Xi(N)) : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta) \\
& \iff (V_1 U W_1(N)^*, \dots, V_n U W_n(N)^*, U W_{n+1}(N)^*) \\
& \quad \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1 again, Lemma 2.1 shows that there exists  $N_1 \geq N_0$  so that

$$(\nu_N \otimes \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)})(\Theta(N, 3m, \delta')) > \frac{1}{2}$$

whenever  $N \geq N_1$ . Therefore, for every  $N \geq N_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta')) \\
& < \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 2m, \delta')) \times (\nu_N \otimes \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)})(\Theta(N, 3m, \delta')) \\
& = \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes(n+1)}(\Theta(N, 3m, \delta') \cap (\Gamma_u(\mathbf{v}; N, 3m, \delta') \times \mathbf{U}(N))) \\
& \leq \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes(n+1)}(\{(V_1, \dots, V_n, U) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^{n+1} \mid \\
& \quad (V_1 U W_1(N)^*, \dots, V_n U W_n(N)^*, U W_{n+1}(N)^*) \\
& \quad \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)\}) \\
& = \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}(dU) \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\{(V_1, \dots, V_n) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \mid \\
& \quad (V_1 U W_1(N)^*, \dots, V_n U W_n(N)^*, U W_{n+1}(N)^*) \\
& \quad \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)\}) \\
& = \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}(dU) \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\{(V_1, \dots, V_n) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \mid \\
& \quad (V_1 U W_{n+1}(N) W_1(N)^*, \dots, V_n U W_{n+1}(N) W_n(N)^*, U) \\
& \quad \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)\}) \\
& = \int_{\mathbf{U}(N)} \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}(dU) \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes n}(\{(U_1, \dots, U_n) \in \mathbf{U}(N)^n \mid \\
& \quad (U_1, \dots, U_n, U) \in \Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)\}) \\
& = \gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}^{\otimes(n+1)}(\Gamma_{\text{orb}}(v_1 \mathbf{X} v_1^*, \dots, v_n \mathbf{X} v_n^*, \mathbf{X} : (\Xi_i(N))_{i=1}^{n+1} : \mathbf{v}; N, m, \delta)),
\end{aligned}$$

where the fourth line is obtained by (8) and both the sixth and the seventh due to the right-invariance of the Haar probability measure  $\gamma_{\mathbf{U}(N)}$ . Hence the desired inequality follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

In view of our work [6] and Voiculescu's liberation theory [9], a candidate constraint of selecting sequences of multi-matrices may be the way of approximating to  $\mathbf{X}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_n$  globally, though it probably does not satisfy the exact formula relating  $\chi_{\text{orb}}$  to  $\chi$  in general.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the referee for his or her careful reading and pointing out several typos.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ph. Biane and Y. Dabrowski, Concavification of free entropy. *Adv. Math.*, **234** (2013), 667–696.
- [2] Y. Dabrowski, A Laplace principle for hermitian Brownian motion and free entropy. arXiv:1604.06420.
- [3] F. Hiai, T. Miyamoto and Y. Ueda, Orbital approach to microstate free entropy. *Internat. J. Math.*, **20** (2009), 227–273.
- [4] F. Hiai and D. Petz, *The Semicircle Law, Free Random Variables and Entropy*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 77, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2000.
- [5] Y. Ueda, Orbital free entropy, revisited. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **63** (2014), 551–577.
- [6] Y. Ueda, Matrix liberation process I: Large deviation upper bound and almost sure convergence. arXiv:1610.04101.
- [7] D. Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory II. *Invent. Math.*, **118** (1994), 411–440.
- [8] D. Voiculescu, A strengthened asymptotic freeness result for random matrices with applications to free entropy. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, **1998** (1998), 41–63.
- [9] D. Voiculescu, The analogue of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory VI: Liberation and mutual free information. *Adv. Math.*, **146** (1999), 101–166.
- [10] D. Voiculescu, Free entropy. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **34** (2002), 257–278.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA, 810-8560, JAPAN  
E-mail address: ueda@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp