- 1 A cross-sectional study on tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship (TAPS) and
- 2 violations of tobacco sale regulations in Myanmar: Do these factors affect current
- 3 tobacco use among Myanmar high school students?
- 4
- 5 Su Myat Cho¹, Yu Mon Saw^{1,2}, NyiNyi Latt³, Thu Nandar Saw⁴, Hein Htet⁵, Moe Khaing^{1,6},
- 6 Thet Mon Than⁶, Ei Mon Win⁷, ZawZaw Aung⁷, Tetsuyoshi Kariya^{1,2}, Eiko Yamamoto¹,
- 7 Nobuyuki Hamajima¹
- 8
- 9 ¹Department of Healthcare Administration, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine,
- 10 Nagoya, Japan
- 11 ²Nagoya University Asian Satellite Campuses Institute, Nagoya, Japan
- 12 ³AungMyinMyint Mo Hospital, Gyobingauk, Myanmar
- 13 ⁴Department of Community and Global Health, Graduate School of Medicine, The University
- 14 of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- ⁵Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, University of Medicine, Mandalay, Myanmar
- ⁶Department of Medical Services, Ministry of Health and Sports, NayPyi Taw, Myanmar
- 17 ⁷Department of Public Health, Ministry of Health and Sports, NayPyi Taw, Myanmar
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 Corresponding author:
- 22 Yu Mon Saw
- 23 Department of Healthcare Administration, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine,
- 24 65 Tsurumai-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8550, Japan
- 25 E-mail address:<u>sawyumon@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp</u>
- 26
- 27 Word count- 4111

28 ABSTRACT

- 29 **Objectives:** To examine the associations of current tobacco use with tobacco advertising,
- 30 promotion and sponsorship (TAPS), and illicit tobacco sales exposures among Myanmar high
- 31 school students.
- 32 **Design:** A quantitative, cross-sectional study.
- 33 Setting: Seven high schools from both urban and rural areas of four states and regions in
- 34 Myanmar.
- 35 **Participants:** In total, 1,174 high school students (482 males and 692 females) were
- 36 interviewed using a self-administered questionnaire.
- 37 Main outcome measure: Current tobacco use of participants, defined as using any kind of
- 38 smoked or smokeless tobacco product at least one occasion within the past 30 days.
- 39 **Results:** The prevalence of TAPS exposure was 90.9% among high school students in
- 40 Myanmar. Current tobacco use was positively associated with being over 14 years old (AOR
- 41 9.81; 95% CI 4.54–21.19), being male (AOR 28.06; 95% CI 13.29–59.25), exposure to any
- 42 kind of TAPS (AOR 6.59; 95% CI 2.33–18.64), having seen any smoked tobacco product for
- 43 sale inside or within 100 feet of the school premises (AOR 4.17; 95%CI 1.65–10.58), having
- 44 seen the sale or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to minors (AOR 6.40; 95% CI 2.18–
- 45 19.12), and having seen the sale or distribution of any smoked tobacco product by minors
- 46 (AOR 2.42; 95% CI 1.42–4.10). Having ever received health education about tobacco use
- 47 (AOR 0.45; 95% CI 0.27–0.78), or having a higher perception score of tobacco use (AOR
- 48 0.17; 95% CI 0.10–0.30) were negatively associated with current tobacco use.
- 49 **Conclusions:** There was an alarming prevalence of TAPS exposure among Myanmar high
- 50 school students. TAPS exposure and violations of tobacco sale regulations were strong risk
- 51 factors for current tobacco use among Myanmar high school students, while health education
- 52 about tobacco products was reported as an effective protective factor. Specific smokeless
- 53 tobacco sale regulations for minors are needed immediately in Myanmar.
- 54 Key words: Tobacco advertising, tobacco sale, current tobacco use, high school students,
- 55 Myanmar

56 STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

57	•	This study is the first in Myanmar to report the associations of current tobacco use with
58		TAPS, and illicit tobacco sales exposures among high school students.
59	•	The findings of this study cannot be generalized for the whole nation. It was conducted
60		among 1,174 students from two states and two regions out of a total of seven states, seven
61		regions, and one territory in Myanmar.
62	•	This study could not confirm the causal relationships of current tobacco use among high
63		school students because of the cross-sectional nature of our study.
64	•	Due to the limitations of the cross-sectional study design, this study calls for further
65		TAPS-related interventional and longitudinal studies to explore Myanmar adolescents'
66		tobacco use behaviours.

67 INTRODUCTION

"Tobacco use," defined as the use of any type of smoked or smokeless tobacco,¹⁾ is regarded 68 as an important public health concern worldwide. Globally, it was estimated that 24 million 69 70 (7.0%) adolescents aged between 13 and 15 years had smoked cigarettes in the past 30 days and 13.4 million (3.6%) had used smokeless tobacco products in the past 30 days during 71 2017.¹⁾ In the United States, it was reported that 4 million high school students were current 72 tobacco users in 2018.²⁾ In Southeast Asia, the prevalence of adolescents' tobacco use in the 73 74 past 30 days was 5.7% and that of smokeless tobacco use was 7.2% over the period of 2007 to 2017.¹⁾ A recent multi-national study reported that, between 2009 and 2013, adolescent 75 smokeless tobacco use in the World Health Organization (WHO) South-East Asia Region 76 (SEAR) was at its highest in Bhutan (23.2%), followed by Nepal (16.2%), Timor-Leste 77 (14.2%), Myanmar (9.8%), India (9.0%), Sri Lanka (8.5%), the Maldives (6.2%), Bangladesh 78 (5.9%), and Thailand (5.7%).³⁾ Thus, Myanmar ranked the fourth-highest for adolescent 79 smokeless tobacco use among these nine countries. Myanmar is one of the countries with high 80 prevalence of tobacco use among young population in the WHO SEAR countries.⁴⁾ Cigarette 81 smoking among schoolchildren is much higher in Myanmar compared to other SEAR 82 countries, i.e., Bangladesh, India, Maldives, Nepal, and Sri Lanka.⁵⁾ The use of smokeless 83 tobacco product is also highly prevalent in Myanmar as compared to other countries.⁶⁾ 84

85	Tobacco use is responsible for five million deaths every year globally, a figure that is
86	expected to rise to 10 million per year by 2030. ⁷⁾ In 2004, it was estimated that 600,000
87	people had died from the effects of second-hand smoke, accounting for roughly 1% of global
88	mortality. ⁸⁾ Adolescents are highly vulnerable to addiction to the nicotine in tobacco. A recent
89	study has shown that the earlier people become dependent on nicotine, the more likely they
90	are to become addicted to smoking in adulthood. ⁹⁾ Moreover, nicotine consumption may
91	negatively impact brain development during adolescence. ¹⁰⁾ Studies have shown that
92	adolescent smoking is associated with poor academic performance ¹¹⁾ and attention and
93	cognitive deficits. ¹²⁾ Smokeless tobacco use is as dangerous as smoked forms of tobacco
94	because it contains nicotine, carcinogens and other toxic chemicals. ¹³⁾ Smokeless tobacco use
95	has deleterious effects to oral health including the staining and discolouration of teeth,
96	leukoplakia, erythroplakia and oral cancer. ¹⁴⁾ A recent systematic review from India reported a
97	positive association between smokeless tobacco use and various cancers (oral, oesophageal,
98	pancreatic) in the South-East Asian Region and Eastern Mediterranean Region. ¹⁵⁾ Moreover,
99	another recent systematic review in the United States found an increased risk of heart disease
100	and stroke among smokeless tobacco users. ¹⁶⁾
101	Studies have shown that tobacco and smokeless tobacco use is highly prevalent

among Myanmar high school students. Since 2001, the Global Youth Tobacco Survey

103	(GYTS) has been conducted every 3 to 5 years in Myanmar to monitor tobacco use among
104	high school students .The findings from the 2016 GYTS conducted among high school
105	students pointed out that the prevalence of the current use of smoked tobacco products and the
106	prevalence of the current use of smokeless tobacco products was 10.6% and 5.7
107	respectively. ¹⁷⁾ In parallel with the GYTS, Myanmar has been conducting the nationwide
108	Global School-based Student Health Survey (GSHS) to monitor the understanding of health
109	risk behaviours among high school students. The 2016 survey also reported that the
110	prevalence of current tobacco smoking and current smokeless tobacco use among high school
111	students was 7.2% and 8.5%, respectively. ¹⁸⁾ Another study conducted among high school
112	students in 2015 in Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar, reported that 34.7% were smokers and 28.3%
113	were smokeless tobacco users. ¹⁹⁾ Therefore, the use of smoked and smokeless tobacco among
114	high school students in Myanmar is an important public health issue as well as a social one.
115	Moreover, all these studies also pointed out that most high school students began using
116	tobacco before the age of 14. However, in Myanmar, parents tend to show less concern about
117	their children becoming smokeless tobacco users (especially chewing betel quid with tobacco)
118	because there is a widespread misconception that the use of smokeless tobacco is not as
119	harmful as the use of cigarettes. ¹³⁾ It is important to monitor the initiation and pattern of
120	tobacco use among adolescents and youths, especially among high school students.

121	More than a decade ago, Myanmar signed the WHO Framework Convention on
122	Tobacco Control (FCTC) and enacted the first Tobacco Control Law in 2006, regulating
123	tobacco advertising, promotion, and sponsorship (TAPS) and tobacco sales to minors. ¹⁷⁾
124	Subsequently, the restrictions on smoking in all indoor public places, the introduction of
125	graphic health warnings on tobacco product packaging, and the raising of tobacco product
126	taxes were all promulgated. Despite this, the prevalence of current tobacco use among
127	Myanmar high school students has not changed significantly over the past 15 years. ¹⁷⁾
128	A comparison of the 2007 and the 2016 GSHS also revealed that the prevalence of
129	cigarette smoking among high school students had increased significantly, from 2.0% to
130	6.7%. ¹⁸⁾ Although the Tobacco Control Law has banned tobacco sales to minors, adolescent
131	smokers can still buy cigarettes from large stores, retail shops, or street vendors very easily. ¹⁷⁾
132	These alarming findings indicate the failure of efforts to control tobacco consumption among
133	young people in Myanmar.
134	Although the Tobacco Control Law in Myanmar prohibits TAPS activities by the
135	tobacco industry, TAPS activities are still common. Most tobacco companies distribute
136	tobacco products and personal goods with tobacco product labels either free of charge or as
137	gifts. According to the 2016 Myanmar GYTS conducted among high school students, 8.7% of
138	boys and 3.6% of girls reported that tobacco companies had offered free tobacco products,

139	and 7.3% of boys and 4.2% of girls reported owning something with tobacco branding or a
140	tobacco logo. ¹⁷⁾ Furthermore, 83.4% of the students reported noticing someone using tobacco
141	products on television or in videos and movies. ¹⁷⁾
142	Researchers from other parts of the world have reported that TAPS exposure can
143	affect adolescent smoking behaviours. ²⁰⁻²³⁾ A positive association between exposure to
144	cigarette advertisements and initiating smoking has been reported among Indonesian
145	students. ²⁰⁾ A longitudinal study conducted in Germany also pointed out that, with every
146	additional 10 tobacco advertisements, the adjusted relative risk for established smoking and
147	daily smoking was raised by 38% and 30%, respectively. ²⁴⁾ Adolescent students, in a phase of
148	life where curiosity is at its peak, are vulnerable to adopting smoked or smokeless tobacco
149	use. ^{13, 25)}
150	At present, research in Myanmar is limited where concerns the patterns of high
151	school students' tobacco use and their connection to TAPS exposure. Our previous study, ²⁶⁾
152	conducted among the same participants, revealed the low awareness of the Tobacco Control
153	Law among Myanmar high school students, but we did not examine how TAPS exposure and
154	violations of tobacco sales regulations might affect Myanmar high school students' tobacco
155	use. The present study aims to investigate (1) the prevalence of TAPS and illicit tobacco sale

156 exposures and (2) their associations with current tobacco use among Myanmar high school157 students.

158 MATERIALS AND METHODS

159 *Study population*

160	A cross-sectional study was conducted among grade 10 and 11 high school students from
161	seven high schools in Shan State, Mon State, Bago region, and Magway region in Myanmar.
162	A simple random sampling technique using a drawing method was applied to select the study
163	areas and schools. The details of the sampling procedure have been described elsewhere. ²⁶⁾ In
164	total, 1,339 high school students answered a self-administrated questionnaire. Of these, 165
165	were excluded due to missing or incomplete responses to TAPS exposure questions. In sum,
166	the total number of participants was 1,174 (482 males and 692 females) and the response rate
167	was 87.7%.

168 Data collection

169 Data were collected by using a pre-tested, anonymous, paper and pencil self-administered

170 questionnaire. The questionnaire in the Myanmar language contained 40 questions, covering

- 171 nine components:1) background information, 2) experience with tobacco products, 3)
- 172 exposure to second-hand smoking, 4) perception of smoked and smokeless tobacco products,

173 5) sale of tobacco, 6) health warnings and information, 7) tobacco advertisement, promotion,

and sponsorship, 8) smoke-free areas, and 9) the Tobacco Control Law and its enforcement.

175 *Study measures*

176 Dependent variable

The outcome variable was "current tobacco use" among high school students. It was defined
as the use of any kind of smoked or smokeless tobacco product on at least one occasion
within the 30 days preceding the survey.

180 Independent variables

After controlling socio-demographic characteristics, smoking exposure at home and school, 181 receiving health education about tobacco use, and the perception of tobacco use as covariates, 182 183 the independent variables in this study were participants' exposure to any kind of TAPS and illicit tobacco sale exposures. "Exposure to any kind of TAPS" was defined using the 184 following variables: 1) having seen or heard tobacco advertisements or sponsorship in any 185 form, 2) having seen any goods displaying the label of any tobacco product being used in 186 promotion, and 3) having seen any toy, comestible, or wares made in the form of any tobacco 187 188 product. If a student had had at least one TAPS exposure, the response was counted as a "Yes," and if they had never experienced exposure, their response was counted as a "No." 189

190	For illicit tobacco sale exposures, we measured four different types of tobacco sales
191	to students contravening several of the tobacco sale regulations prohibited by Myanmar
192	Tobacco Control Law. These four variables were: 1) having seen any smoked tobacco product
193	for sale inside or within 100 feet of the school premises within the last 12 months, 2) having
194	seen the sale or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to minors within the last 12 months, 3)
195	having seen the sale or distribution of any smoked tobacco product by minors within the last
196	12 months, and 4) having seen the sale of cigarettes singly or in packs containing less than 20
197	within the last 12 months.
198	Study analysis
199	The data were coded, entered, and analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Science
200	(SPSS) software program version 24.0 (IBM SPSS Inc.). Categorical data were analysed by
201	chi-square tests for hypothesis testing. For multivariable logistic regression, all the variables
202	were re-coded on a dichotomous scale. All analyses were two-tailed, setting p<0.05 as the
203	significance value.
204	RESULTS
205	Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study participants by gender. Most of the participants
206	(84.7%) were younger than or equal to 15 years of age. More than two-thirds of the students

207	(68.5% of males and 67.1% of females) were grade 10 students. Of those who took part,
208	25.3% of male and 1.3% of female students were current users of smoked or smokeless
209	tobacco at the time of the survey. Male students had more exposure to parental smoking
210	(6.0%), sibling smoking (11.4%), and peer smoking (9.3%) than did female students. Nearly
211	two-thirds of females (66.0%) reported receiving health education about tobacco use,
212	compared to 52.7% of males. Regarding the perception of tobacco use, out of eight items, we
213	set the mean score of seven as the cut-off point. Overall, 68.7% of males and 83.2% of
214	females scored more than seven.
215	Table 2 presents different kinds of TAPS exposures and its associations with current
216	tobacco use among the study participants. In total, 90.9% had TAPS exposure in any form.
217	The findings indicate that 71.7% had seen or heard of tobacco advertisement and sponsorship
218	in any form, and 68.1% had seen goods displaying the label of any tobacco product being
219	used in promotions. One out of three students had seen toys, comestibles or wares made in the
220	form of any tobacco product. More than one-third of the participants (35.3%) had seen or
221	heard tobacco advertisements in sponsorship or support of sports, funfairs, exhibitions, or
222	other social activities.
223	Table 3 describes illicit tobacco sale exposures and their associations with current

tobacco use among the study participants. Within the last 12 months, more than 80.0% had

225	been exposed to the sale of any smoked tobacco product inside or within 100 feet of the
226	school premises, the sale or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to minors, or the sale of
227	cigarettes singly or in packs of less than 20. Nearly 56.0% had seen the sale or distribution of
228	any smoked tobacco product by minors.
229	Table 4 presents the unadjusted odds ratio (UOR), adjusted odds ratio (AOR), and
230	95% confidence intervals (CI) of current tobacco use among Myanmar high school students.
231	In the binary logistic regression, being a grade 11 student (UOR 3.24; 95% CI 2.24-4.70) and
232	having seen the sale of cigarettes, either singly or in packs of less than 20 (UOR 7.45; 95%CI
233	2.34–23.70) were associated with current tobacco use.
234	From the multiple logistic regression, current tobacco use was found to be positively
234 235	From the multiple logistic regression, current tobacco use was found to be positively associated with being over 14 years old (AOR 9.81; 95%CI 4.54–21.19); being male (AOR
234 235 236	From the multiple logistic regression, current tobacco use was found to be positively associated with being over 14 years old (AOR 9.81; 95%CI 4.54–21.19); being male (AOR 28.06; 95%CI 13.29–59.25); being exposed to any kind of TAPS (AOR 6.59; 95%CI 2.33–
234 235 236 237	From the multiple logistic regression, current tobacco use was found to be positively associated with being over 14 years old (AOR 9.81; 95%CI 4.54–21.19); being male (AOR 28.06; 95%CI 13.29–59.25); being exposed to any kind of TAPS (AOR 6.59; 95%CI 2.33– 18.64); having seen any smoked tobacco product for sale inside or within 100 feet of the
 234 235 236 237 238 	From the multiple logistic regression, current tobacco use was found to be positively associated with being over 14 years old (AOR 9.81; 95% CI 4.54–21.19); being male (AOR 28.06; 95% CI 13.29–59.25); being exposed to any kind of TAPS (AOR 6.59; 95% CI 2.33– 18.64); having seen any smoked tobacco product for sale inside or within 100 feet of the school premises within the last 12 months (AOR 4.17; 95% CI 1.65–10.58); having seen the
 234 235 236 237 238 239 	From the multiple logistic regression, current tobacco use was found to be positively associated with being over 14 years old (AOR 9.81; 95%CI 4.54–21.19); being male (AOR 28.06; 95%CI 13.29–59.25); being exposed to any kind of TAPS (AOR 6.59; 95%CI 2.33– 18.64); having seen any smoked tobacco product for sale inside or within 100 feet of the school premises within the last 12 months (AOR 4.17; 95%CI 1.65–10.58); having seen the sale or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to minors within the last 12 months (AOR 6.40;
 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 	From the multiple logistic regression, current tobacco use was found to be positively associated with being over 14 years old (AOR 9.81; 95%CI 4.54–21.19); being male (AOR 28.06; 95%CI 13.29–59.25); being exposed to any kind of TAPS (AOR 6.59; 95%CI 2.33– 18.64); having seen any smoked tobacco product for sale inside or within 100 feet of the school premises within the last 12 months (AOR 4.17; 95%CI 1.65–10.58); having seen the sale or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to minors within the last 12 months (AOR 6.40; 95%CI 2.18–19.12); and having seen the sale or distribution of any smoked tobacco product
 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 	From the multiple logistic regression, current tobacco use was found to be positively associated with being over 14 years old (AOR 9.81; 95%CI 4.54–21.19); being male (AOR 28.06; 95%CI 13.29–59.25); being exposed to any kind of TAPS (AOR 6.59; 95%CI 2.33– 18.64); having seen any smoked tobacco product for sale inside or within 100 feet of the school premises within the last 12 months (AOR 4.17; 95%CI 1.65–10.58); having seen the sale or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to minors within the last 12 months (AOR 6.40; 95%CI 2.18–19.12); and having seen the sale or distribution of any smoked tobacco product by minors within the last 12 months (AOR 2.42; 95% CI 1.42–4.10). Having ever received

perception score with regards to tobacco use (AOR 0.17; 95% CI 0.10–0.30) were negatively
associated with current tobacco use (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

246	To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report the associations of current
247	tobacco use with TAPS and illicit tobacco sale exposures among high school students in
248	Myanmar. The majority of the study participants reported having been exposed to TAPS and
249	illicit tobacco sales. TAPS exposure and illicit tobacco sale exposures increase the odds of
250	current tobacco use among high school students.
251	In this study, a high prevalence of TAPS exposure (91%) was reported among high school
252	students in Myanmar, a country that has completely banned all forms of direct or indirect
253	TAPS, including Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities by the tobacco industry. ²⁷⁾
254	Myanmar has a well-established Tobacco Control Law named the "Control of Smoking and
255	Consumption of Tobacco Product Law," which has been enacted since 2006. ²⁷⁾ However, the
256	monitoring, reporting and punishment of TAPS activities prohibited by the law are not
257	common in Myanmar. Other studies conducted in Myanmar also pointed out that the
258	awareness of the tobacco control law among high school students was low and that the lack of
259	tobacco control law enforcement was in a critical state. ^{19,26)} It is not rare to see sponsored
260	events and CSR activities executed by tobacco companies, violating the TAPS regulations

261	and reframing tobacco products' image among Myanmar youths. ^{19,26)} The global tobacco
262	industry has been focusing on expanding its market in developing countries that have low
263	tobacco taxes, partial TAPS bans, and weak law enforcement of TAPS regulations, rather than
264	in developed countries with high tobacco taxes, comprehensive and complete TAPS bans, and
265	the strict implementation of TAPS regulations. ²⁸⁻³¹⁾
266	The weakness of tobacco control law enforcement in Myanmar creates opportunities
267	for tobacco companies and retailers to violate it. Nigerian researchers have reported that
268	exposure to events sponsored by the tobacco industry was associated with current cigarette
269	use and demonstrated the importance of the tobacco control law and its enforcement in
270	reducing tobacco use. Our findings highlighted the urgent need to enforce this law in
271	Myanmar to reduce TAPS exposure among adolescents in order to decrease tobacco use. It
272	also encourages Myanmar policymakers to formulate specific TAPS regulations addressing
273	newly developed smoking and smokeless tobacco products and complete comprehensive
274	TAPS bans, including cross-border TAPS. Local authorities need to monitor TAPS among
275	Myanmar youths strictly and to punish the tobacco companies, stores, and retailers violating
276	TAPS regulations.
277	High school students exposed to TAPS in any form were six times more likely to be

278 current tobacco users than those without any TAPS exposure. A recent study from Myanmar

has reported that only half of the high school students had heard about the Tobacco Control
Law, and none of the study participants had ever heard of any reporting of or punishment for
any violation of the Tobacco Control Law that bans TAPS activities and tobacco sales among
minors.²⁶⁾ Our study suggests that Myanmar high school students should be properly informed
not only about the dangers of TAPS exposure but also about the country's Tobacco Control
Law and its punishments.

285 In this study, the prevalence of current tobacco use among Myanmar high school students was 11.2%, which is higher than the national figure of 9.8% reported in the 2016 286 WHO GSHS for students between 13 and 17 years old in Myanmar.¹⁸⁾ Our study also reported 287 that male high school students were more likely to be current tobacco users than their female 288 peers were. A sub-national-level study also reported a considerably high prevalence of 289 smoked (34.7%) and smokeless tobacco use (28.3%) among high school students.¹⁹⁾ The 290 291 findings suggest Myanmar policymakers to consider both smoked and smokeless tobacco use among adolescents as a public health priority and to put more effort into implementing control 292 293 measures.

In Myanmar, it has been reported that there were only three full-time staff assigned to national tobacco control, meaning they were each responsible for 2,080,000 smokers.³²⁾ The country also has an insufficient government budget for tobacco control and there is no

297	health promotion funding mechanism in place for the use of tobacco taxes. ³²⁾ To tackle the
298	current situation in Myanmar, the effective implementation of tobacco control measures is
299	needed to reduce current tobacco use, and human and financial resources for national tobacco
300	control should be improved.
301	Increasing tobacco tax, as recommended for implementation of Article 6 of the WHO
302	FCTC, functions as a cost-effective demand-reducing measure in global tobacco control. ³²⁾
303	However, cigarettes in Myanmar are relatively cheap, indicating that the country's tobacco
304	tax policies need to be re-evaluated. Among the Association of Southeast Asian Nations
305	(ASEAN), the price of the most popular local cigarette brand in Myanmar is the second
306	lowest (0.6 USD/20-stick pack), and that of the most popular foreign brand in Myanmar is the
307	fourth highest (2.11 USD/20-stick pack). ³²⁾ This indicates a gap in tax differences between
308	local and foreign brands of cigarettes. The price at which youths in Myanmar are deterred
309	from smoking is reportedly 11 USD/20-stick pack, the lowest among the ASEAN. ³²⁾
310	Therefore, the Myanmar government should revaluate tobacco tax levels and adjust tax
311	policies to decrease tobacco use and TAPS exposures.
312	In this study, students who had seen any smoked tobacco product for sale inside or
313	within 100 feet of the school premises during the last 12 months were four times more likely
314	to be current tobacco users than their peers were. It is illegal to sell any kind of smoked

315	tobacco products inside or within 100 feet of school premises in Myanmar. ²⁷⁾ This finding
316	implies that the lack of monitoring and reporting of illicit tobacco sales inside or near school
317	premises exerts an influence on current tobacco use among Myanmar high school students. In
318	addition, these illicit tobacco sales provide easy access to tobacco for students as well as for
319	school personnel, triggering second-hand smoking exposure and student curiosity about
320	tobacco use. Therefore, school personnel, students, and parents should monitor and report any
321	illicit tobacco sales to local authorities and actions should be taken according to the rules and
322	regulations.
323	However, there is no specific regulation on smokeless tobacco sales and distributions
324	to and by minors in Myanmar, the sale or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to minors
325	and the sale or distribution of any smoked tobacco product by minors are illegal. ²⁷⁾
326	Nonetheless, 82.5% of participants in this study reported that they had seen someone selling
327	or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to a minor within the last 12 months, and 55.6% of
328	the participants reported having witnessed the sale or distribution of any smoked tobacco
329	product by minors within the last 12 months. The study also revealed that having seen these
330	two illicit tobacco activities within the last 12 months was significantly associated with
331	current tobacco use among the study participants. A study of adolescents in the United States
332	has also reported an association between smokeless tobacco use and smoking. ³³⁾ In order to

333	reduce current tobacco use among Myanmar high school students, this study recommends the
334	urgent need of specific smokeless tobacco regulations concerning sales made to and by
335	minors in Myanmar, and strict law enforcement on sales and distributions all smoked and
336	smokeless tobacco to and by minors in the country.
337	Another common violation of the Tobacco Control Law in Myanmar is the sale of
338	cigarettes singly or in packs of less than 20. Despite the significant price difference ³²⁾ both
339	foreign and local brands become affordable for smokers when they are sold singly or in packs
340	of less than 20. Such sales are contrary to the demand-reducing tobacco control measures of
341	the national policy and negatively affect the tobacco use of all age groups, especially
342	adolescents.
343	In addition, other smoked and smokeless tobacco products, such as cheroots, cigars,
344	pipes, betel quids, etc., can be purchased singly or in small quantities in Myanmar. To reduce
345	tobacco use in all age groups, our study recommends that the 2006 Tobacco Control Law be
346	updated to address the sale and purchase of all forms of smoked and smokeless tobacco
347	products in small quantities, along with strict law enforcement, especially among minors.
348	The findings of this study imply that effective health education and high perception
349	of tobacco use can be effective factors in combating the current tobacco use among Myanmar
350	high school students. In this study, students who had received health education about tobacco

351	use and those with a higher perception score of tobacco use were less likely to be current
352	tobacco users than their counterparts. Nearly two-thirds of the participants had received health
353	education about tobacco use, and nearly 80% had a higher perception score. Health education
354	programs and tobacco control measures targeting the young should address the newly
355	developed and popular tobacco products like electronic cigarettes, shisha, pipes, menthol and
356	fruit-flavoured cigarettes etc. Youths' awareness of not only the harms of tobacco products but
357	also the country's Tobacco Control Law should be promoted.
358	The study findings also revealed that 10.7% of current tobacco users were 14 years
359	old or less. Another Myanmar researcher has reported that the average age for first tobacco
360	use is 14 years. ¹⁹⁾ Therefore, tobacco control intervention measures should be introduced to
361	Myanmar high school students before the age of 14. Awareness of the Tobacco Control Law
362	remains quite low in Myanmar. ^{19,26)} Furthermore, the provision of health education via youth-
363	friendly media, such as the internet, mobile applications, and social networking services, may
364	attract more attention from high school students.
365	In contrast to other studies, ^{19,26)} parental smoking, sibling smoking, and peer smoking
366	were not found to be associated with current tobacco use in this study. This may be because

368 study and most of the study participants did not have exposure to parents, siblings, or peers

367

only the smoking status of parents, siblings and peers of the participants was assessed in this

who smoked. Having high knowledge and perception about tobacco use may also have
prevented them from using tobacco.¹⁹⁾

371	Despite being the very first study in Myanmar to report the associations between
372	current tobacco use, TAPS and illicit tobacco sale exposure among Myanmar high school
373	students, the present study did not explore the associations of first-time or daily tobacco use,
374	and TAPS or illicit tobacco sales among Myanmar high school students. This study was
375	conducted among 1,174 high school students from two states and two regions out of a total of
376	seven states, seven regions and one territory of Myanmar, and its findings cannot be
377	generalized for the whole nation. Due to the limitations of the cross-sectional nature of our
378	study, we recommend further interventional or longitudinal studies of TAPS and sales
379	exposure for a better understanding of adolescent tobacco use in Myanmar.
380	CONCLUSIONS
381	This study reported high prevalences of TAPS and illicit tobacco sales exposures among
382	Myanmar high school students. Current tobacco use among Myanmar high school students
383	was statistically associated with overall TAPS exposure. Violations of tobacco sales
384	regulations were reported to be strong risk factors for current smoked and smokeless tobacco
385	use among Myanmar high school students. Our findings highlight that Myanmar's Tobacco
386	Control Law enforcement is in an alarming state and requires urgent improvement. Sales and

387	purchase of not only cigarettes but also all forms of smoked and smokeless tobacco products
388	in small quantities should be regulated. Specific smokeless tobacco sale regulations for
389	minors are urgently needed in the country.

390 ABBREVIATIONS

391	TAPS	Tobacco Advertising, Promotion, and Sponsorship
392	AOR	Adjusted Odds Ratios
393	UOR	Unadjusted Odds Ratios
394	CI	Confidence Intervals
395	WHO	World Health Organization
396	GYTS	Global Youth Tobacco Survey
397	GSHS	Global School-based Student Health Survey
398	FCTC	Framework Convention on Tobacco Control
399	SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
400	IBM	International Business Machines
401	CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility
402	ASEAN	Association of South-East Asian Nations
403	USD	The United States Dollar
40.4		

405 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

406 The authors would like to thank Ministry of Health and Sports and Ministry of Education in

407 Myanmar for granting a permission to collect the data at high schools. We also would like to

thank the local authorities in the survey areas, the staff from participating schools, last but not

409 least, all the high school students those who participated voluntarily in this study.

410 **Funding statement**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial ornot-for-profit sectors.

413 **Data sharing statement**

414 No additional data are available.

415 Author contributions

416 YMS and NNL conceptualised the study and designed the study. NNL, and TNS contributed

417 to data collection and data acquisition. YMS, TNS, HH, and SMC performed data analyses

418 and data interpretations. YMS, HH, and SMC wrote the first draft of the manuscript. MK,

- 419 TMT, EMW, TK, EY, and ZZA contributed to data acquisition. NH contributed to the study
- 420 design, data interpretation, and revising the manuscript. YMS, TNS, SMC, and NH revised
- 421 and edited the manuscript. All authors had full access to the data, and take responsibility to
- 422 the accuracy of data analysis. All authors approved the final manuscript and agreed to submit
- 423 it for publication and take accountability.

424 Ethical approval and consent to participate

425 This study was ethically approved by the Department of Medical Services, Ministry of Health 426 and Sports, Myanmar (Letter No. 617 of Planning/Research issued on August 26, 2015), and 427 the Ministry of Education, Myanmar (Letter No. 12125 of Information/Research issued on 428 October 19, 2015), as well as the ethical review committee of Nagoya University School of Medicine (No. 6518 issued on August 31, 2015). To conduct this school- based survey, 429 permissions from Ministry of Education, Regional Offices of Basic Education, Ministry of 430 Health and Sports, local educational steering committees and authorities, the schools' 431 432 authorities, the headmasters of participated schools and local Parents-Teacher Associations 433 were obtained. The survey procedure was approved by Ministry of Education and Ministry of Health and Sports. After thoroughly explaining the study's objectives, contents of the survey 434 435 questionnaire, and rights of the study participants, the written-informed consents from local educational steering committees and authorities, the schools' authorities, the headmasters of 436 437 participated schools, local Parents-Teacher Associations, and parents were obtained. One week prior to the survey, the information sheet and the written-informed consents that stating 438 the study's objectives, the survey's procedure and the contents of the questionnaires, and the 439 rights of the study participants were sent to parents. Researchers also explained the study's 440 441 objectives, contents of the survey questionnaire, the voluntary nature and procedure of the 442 survey, and the rights of the participants to collaborators, students and teachers before 443 conducting the survey. All data collection and analytical processes remain anonymous for privacy and confidentiality. The locations, names, and numbers of the eligible participants of 444 445 the schools involved were not documented.

- 446 Competing interests
- 447 All authors declared no conflicts of interest for this study.

448 Additional file

449 There is no additional files.

451 **REFERENCES**

452 1. World Health Organization. WHO global report on trends in prevalence of tobacco smoking 2000–2025, second edition. 453 https://www.who.int/tobacco/publications/surveillance/trends-tobacco-smoking-454 455 second-edition/en/. Accessed May 5, 2019. 2. Gentzke AS, Creamer M, Cullen KA, et al. Vital Signs: Tobacco Product Use among 456 457 Middle and High School Students - United States, 2011-2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2019;68(6):157–164. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6806e1. 458 3. Sinha D N, Palipudi K M, Jones C K, et al. Levels and trends of smokeless tobacco 459 use among youth in countries of the World Health Organization South-East Asia 460 461 Region. Indian J Cancer. 2014;51(5):50-53. doi:10.4103/0019-509X.147472. 4. World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia. (2015(. Monitoring 462 463 tobacco control among youthin countries of the South-East Asia Region: 2014. World Health Organization. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/155159. Accessed Nov 464 465 24, 2019. 5. Tun NA, Chittin T, Agarwal N, et al. Tobacco use among young adolescents in 466 Myanmar: Findings from global youth tobacco survey. Indian J Public Health 2017; 467 61(Suppl 1):S54-S59. doi: 10.4103/ijph.IJPH_236_17. 468 469 6. World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia. (2012(. Expert 470 group meeting on smokeless tobacco control and cessation: New Delhi, India, 16-17 August 2011. WHO Regional Office for South-East Asia. 471 https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/205054. Accessed Nov 24, 2019. 472 7. World Health Organization. WHO global report: mortality attributable to tobacco. 473 https://www.who.int/tobacco/publications/surveillance/rep_mortality_attributable/en/. 474 Accessed April 27, 2019. 475 476 8. Oberg M, Jaakkola MS, Woodward A, Peruga A, Prüss-Ustün A. Worldwide burden 477 of disease from exposure to second-hand smoke: a retrospective analysis of data from 192 countries. Lancet. 2011;377(9760):139-146. doi:10.1016/S01406736(10)61388-8. 478 479 9. Selya AS, Dierker L, Rose JS, Hedeker D, Mermelstein RJ. Early-emerging nicotine 480 dependence has lasting and time-varying effects on adolescent smoking behavior. 481 Prev Sci. 2016;17(6):743-750. doi:10.1007/s11121-016-0673-0. 482 10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The health consequences of smoking- 50 years of progress: A report of the Surgeon General. 483 https://www.surgeongeneral.gov/library/reports/50-years-of-progress/full-report.pdf. 484 485 Accessed April 29, 2019.

486	11. Robert PO, Kuipers MAG, Rathmann K, et al. Academic performance and adolescent
487	smoking in 6 European cities: the role of friendship ties. Int J Adolesc Youth.
488	2019;24(1):125-135. doi:10.1080/02673843.2018.1475288.
489	12. Treur JL, Willemsen G, Bartels M, et al. Smoking during adolescence as a risk factor
490	for attention problems. Biol Psychiatry. 2015;78(9):656-63.
491	doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.06.019.
492	13. Kyaing NN, Sein T, Sein AA, Htike MMT, Tun A, Shein NN. Smokeless tobaccouse
493	in Myanmar. Indian J Cancer. 2012; 49(4): 347-351. doi:10.4103/0019-509X.107727.
494	14. Muthukrishnan A, Warnakulasuriya S. Oral health consequences of smokeless tobacco
495	use. Indian J Med Res. 2018;148(1):35-40. doi:10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_1793_17.
496	15. Gupta S, Gupta R, Sinha DN, Mehrotra R. Relationship between type of smokeless
497	tobacco & risk of cancer: A systematic review. Indian J Med Res. 2018;148(1):56-76.
498	doi:10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_2023_17.
499	16. Rostron BL, Chang JT, Anic GM, Tanwar M, Chang CM, Corey CG. Smokeless
500	tobacco use and circulatory disease risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Open
501	Heart. 2018;5(2):e000846. doi:10.1136/openhrt-2018-000846.
502	17. World Health Organization. Report of fifth Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS)
503	Myanmar,2016. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/274351. Accessed May 2,
504	2019.
505	18. World Health Organization. Report of second Global School-based Student Health
506	Survey in Myanmar 2016. http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/274350. Accessed
507	May 2, 2019.
508	19. Myint MNT, Yamamoto E, Ko MH, Khaing M, Reyer JA, Hamajima N. Knowledge,
509	attitude, and usage pattern of tobacco among high school students in Nay Pyi Taw,
510	Myanmar. Nagoya J Med Sci. 2019;81(1):65–79. doi:10.18999/nagjms.81.1.65.
511	20. Prabandari YS, Dewi A. How do Indonesian youth perceive cigarette advertising? A
512	cross-sectional study among Indonesian high school students. Glob Health Action.
513	2016;9:30914. doi:10.3402/gha.v9.30914.
514	21. Chido-Amajuoyi OG, Mantey DS, Clendennen SL, Pérez A. Association of tobacco
515	advertising, promotion and sponsorship (TAPS) exposure and cigarette use among
516	Nigerian adolescents: implications for current practices, products and policies. BMJ
517	Glob Health. 2017;2(3):e000357. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2017-000357.
518	22. Braverman MT, Aaro LE. Adolescent smoking and exposure to tobacco marketing
519	under a tobacco advertising ban: findings from 2 Norwegian national samples. AmJ
520	Public Health. 2004;94(7):1230–1238.

521	23. Soneji S, Ambrose BK, Lee W, Sargent J, Tanski S. Direct-to-consumer tobacco
522	marketing and its association with tobacco use among adolescents and young adults. J
523	Adolesc Health. 2014;55(2):209-215. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2014.01.019.
524	24. Morgenstern M, Sargent JD, Isensee B, Hanewinkel R. From never to daily smoking
525	in 30 months: the predictive value of tobacco and nontobacco advertising exposure.
526	BMJ Open.2013;3(6): e002907. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002907.
527	25. Akl EA, Jawad M, Lam WY, Co CN, Obeid R, Irani J. Motives, beliefs and attitudes
528	towards waterpipe tobacco smoking: a systematic review. Harm Reduct J. 2013;10:12.
529	doi:10.1186/1477-7517-10-12.
530	26. LattNN, Saw YM, Myat Cho S, Kariya T, Yamamoto E, Hamajima N. Tobacco
531	Control Law awareness, enforcement, and compliance among high school students in
532	Myanmar. Nagoya J Med Sci. 2018;80(3):379–389. doi:10.18999/nagjms.80.3.379.
533	27. Ministry of Health. The control of smoking and consumption of Tobacco Product Law.
534	https://seatca.org/dmdocuments/Myanmar%20-%20TC%20Law.pdf. Accessed May
535	17, 2019.
536	28. Gilmore AB, Fooks G, DropeJ, Bialous SA, Jackson RR. Exposing and addressing
537	tobacco industry conduct in low-income and middle-income countries. Lancet
538	2015;385(9972):1029–1043. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60312-9.
539	29. Gostin LO. FDA regulation of tobacco: politics, law, and the public's health. JAMA.
540	2009;302(13):1459-1460. doi:10.1001/jama.2009.1421.
541	30. Jamison N, Tynan M, MacNeil A, et al. Federal and state cigarette excise taxes -
542	United States, 1995-2009. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2009;58(19):524-527.
543	31. Chaloupka FJ, Yurekli A, Fong GT. Tobacco Taxes as a tobacco control strategy. Tob
544	Control 2012;21(2):172-180. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050417.
545	32. Southeast Asia Tobacco Control Alliance. The Tobacco Control Atlas: ASEAN
546	Region, Fourth Edition.
547	https://seatca.org/dmdocuments/Tobacco%20Control%20Atlas%20ASEAN%20Regio
548	n%204th%20Ed%20Feb%202019.pdf. Accessed May 19,2019.
549	33. Wiener RC. Association of smokeless tobacco use and smoking in adolescents in the
550	United States: an analysis of data from the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System
551	survey, 2011. J Am Dent Assoc. 2013;144(8):930-938.
552	

553 Table List

- **Table 1** Characteristics of study participants
- **Table 2** Different kinds of TAPS ^{a)} exposures among study participants
- **Table 3** Illicit tobacco sale exposures among study participants
- **Table 4** Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of current tobacco use among
- 558 study participants.

TABLES

	Ma	le	Fema	ale	Tot	al
Characteristics	(N=4	82)	(N=6	92)	(N=1,	174)
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
Age						
≤ 14 years	195	40.5	307	44.4	502	42.8
15 years	199	41.3	293	42.3	492	41.9
16 years	61	12.7	91	13.2	152	12.9
17 years	21	4.4	1	0.1	22	1.9
≥ 18 years	6	1.2	0	0.0	6	0.5
Grade						
10	330	68.5	464	67.1	794	67.6
11	152	31.5	228	32.9	380	32.4
Current tobacco use						
No	360	74.7	683	98.7	1,043	88.8
Yes	122	25.3	9	1.3	131	11.2
Parent smoking						
No	453	94.0	662	95.7	1,115	95.0
Yes	29	6.0	30	4.3	59	5.0
Sibling smoking						
No	427	88.6	652	94.2	1,079	91.9
Yes	55	11.4	40	5.8	95	8.1
Peer smoking						
No	437	90.7	641	92.6	1,078	91.8
Yes	45	9.3	51	7.4	96	8.2
Ever received health edu	cation abo	ut tobacco	use			
No	228	47.3	235	34.0	463	39.4
Yes	254	52.7	457	66.0	711	60.6
Perception of tobacco us	e					
≤ 7	151	31.3	116	16.8	267	22.7
>7	331	68.7	576	83.2	907	77.3

 Table 1 Characteristics of study participants (N=1,174)

559

	Use	b)	No use	c)	Total	
TAPS ^{a)}	TAPS ^{a)} (N=1		(N=1,04	3)	(N=1,17	4)
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
Ever seen or hear	rd tobacco a	advertising a	and sponsorsh	ip in any fo	rm **	
No	25	19.1	307	29.4	332	28.3
Yes	106	80.9	736	70.6	842	71.7
Ever seen tobacc	o advertisin	ig signboard	ls (vinyl, LED	, stickers, et	c.)	
No	128	97.7	994	95.3	1,122	52.0
Yes	3	2.3	49	4.7	52	4.4
Ever seen tobacc	o advertisin	g drawing o	or painting (or	n vehicles, o	n walls,	
on boards, etc.)						
No	118	90.1	925	88.7	1,043	88.8
Yes	13	9.9	118	11.3	131	11.2
Ever seen tobacc	o advertisin	ig in journal	ls, magazines,	newspapers	s, and pamphl	ets
No	125	95.4	998	95.7	1,123	95.7
Yes	6	4.6	45	4.3	51	4.3
Ever seen broado	casting of to	bacco adver	tisements (TV	, radio, into	ernet, social	
network like Fac	ebook, etc.)					
No	120	91.6	982	94.2	1,102	93.9
Yes	11	8.4	61	5.8	72	6.1
Ever seen or heat	rd about the	e distributio	n of tobacco p	oroducts fre	e of charge	
or as gifts						
No	124	94.7	953	91.4	1,077	91.7
Yes	7	5.3	90	8.6	97	8.3
Ever seen or heat	rd distribut	ions of perso	onal goods wit	th tobacco p	roduct labels	
free of charge or	as gifts ***					
No	64	48.9	702	67.3	766	65.2
Yes	67	51.1	341	32.7	408	34.8
Ever seen or hear	rd about tol	bacco adver	tising with luc	ky draw, ex	change of old	
cigarette pack wi	th new ones	s, bonus to s	ellers, car stic	kers, etc. *		
No	125	95.4	1,025	98.3	1,150	98.0
Yes	6	4.6	18	1.7	24	2.0
Ever seen any go	ods with the	e label of an	y tobacco pro	duct being ı	ised in	
promotions (cloth	hes, hats, lig	ghters, key c	hains, tissue b	oxes, statio	neries, kitchei	n
utensils, etc.)		-				
No	34	26.0	340	32.6	703	67.4

Table 2 Different kinds of TAPS ^{a)} exposures among study participants (N=1,174)

Yes	374	31.9	97	74.0	800	68.1		
Ever seen any toy	, comestible	e or wares 1	made in the f	orm of any	tobacco produ	ıct (toys,		
chewing gums, sweet sticks, key chains, lighters, balloons, etc.)								
No	88	67.2	702	67.3	790	67.3		
Yes	43	32.8	341	32.7	384	32.7		
Ever heard about	the annour	ncements of	f tobacco adv	ertisement	at fairs and fe	stivals *		
No	128	97.7	1,040	99.7	1,168	99.5		
Yes	3	2.3	3	0.3	6	0.5		
Ever seen or hear	d about the	tobacco ad	lvertising as	sponsorshi	p or support			
to sports, funfairs	, exhibition	s, or any so	ocial activities	5 **				
No	70	53.4	689	66.1	759	64.7		
Yes	61	46.6	354	33.9	415	35.3		
Exposure to any kind of TAPS ^{a)}								
No	8	6.1	99	9.5	107	9.1		
Yes	123	93.9	944	90.5	1,067	90.9		

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; a)Tobacco advertisement, promotion, and sponsorship, b)Current tobacco use, ^{c)}No current tobacco use

561

Illicit	Use	b)	No us	e ^{c)}		
tobacco	(N=13	31)	(N=1,0	43)	(N	
sale	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	
Had seen any smoked to	bacco product for sa	de inside or with	in 100 feet of t	the school premis	es within t	
No	92	19.1	93	13.4		
Yes	390	80.9	599	86.6		
Had seen the sale or gifti	ng of any smoked to	bacco product t	o minors ^{a)} wit	hin the last 12 m	onths ***	
No	86	17.8	120	17.3		
Yes	396	82.2	572	82.7		
Had seen the sale or dist	ribution of any smo	ked tobacco proc	luct by minors	s ^{a)} within the las	t 12 months	
No	209	43.4	312	45.1		
Yes	273	56.6	380	54.9		
Had seen the sale of cigar	rettes singly or in pa	acks less than 20	cigarettes wit	hin the last 12 m	onths ***	
No	50	104	108	15.6		
Yes	432	89.6	584	84.4		

Table 3 Illicit tobacco sale exposures among study participants	(N=1,174)
---	-----------

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; a)Under 18 years old, b)Current tobacco use, c)No current tobacco use

 Table 4 Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of current tobacco use among study

 participants (N=1,174)

Characteristics	Use ^{a)}	No use ^{b)}	Unadiusted		Adjusted ^{c)}		
Characteristics	(N=131)	(N=131) (N=1043)		Unadjusted		Aujusicu	
	N (%)	N (%)	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	
Age							
\leq 14 years	14 (10.7)	488 (46.8)	1	Reference	1	Reference	
> 14 years	117 (89.3)	555 (53.2)	7.35	(4.17-12.96)***	9.81	(4.54-21.19)***	
Gender							
Female	9 (6.9)	683 (65.5)	1	Reference	1	Reference	
Male	122 (93.1)	360 (34.5)	25.72	(12.91-51.22)***	28.06	(13.29-59.25)***	
Grade							
10	56 (42.7)	738 (70.8)	1	Reference	1	Reference	
11	75 (57.3)	305 (29.2)	3.24	(2.24-4.70)***	1.52	(0.85-2.73)	
Parent smoking							
No	128 (97.7)	987 (94.6)	1	Reference	1	Reference	
Yes	3 (2.3)	56 (5.4)	0.41	(0.13-1.34)	0.31	(0.08-1.29)	
Sibling smoking							
No	118 (90.1)	961 (92.1)	1	Reference	1	Reference	
Yes	13 (9.9)	82 (7.9)	1.29	(0.70-2.39)	1.06	(0.47-2.37)	
Peer smoking							
No	119 (90.8)	959 (91.9)	1	Reference	1	Reference	
Yes	12 (9.2)	84 (8.1)	1.15	(0.61-2.17)	0.41	(0.17-0.95)	

Exposure to any kind of TAPS ^{a)}

	No	89 (6.1)	99 (9.5)	1	Reference	1	Reference	
	Yes	123 (93.9)	944 (90.5)	1.61	(0.77-3.40)	6.59	(2.33-18.64)***	
Ha	d seen any smok	ed tobacco pro	oduct for sale	inside	or within 100 feet of	the sch	nool premises	
wit	hin the last 12 m	onths						
	No	9 (6.9)	176 (16.9)	1	Reference	1	Reference	
	Yes	122 (93.1)	867 (83.1)	2.75	(1.37-5.52)**	4.17	(1.65-10.58)**	
Ha	d seen the sale or	gifting of any	y smoked toba	acco pr	oduct to minors ^{a)} w	ithin th	e last 12 months	
	No	4 (3.1)	202 (19.4)	1	Reference	1	Reference	
	Yes	127 (96.9)	841 (80.6)	7.63	(2.79-20.88)***	6.46	(2.18-19.12)***	
Ha mo	Had seen the sale or distribution of any smoked tobacco product by minors ^{a)} within the last 12 months							
	No	44 (33.6)	477 (45.7)	1	Reference	1	Reference	
	Yes	87 (66.4)	566 (54.3)	1.67	(1.14-2.44)**	2.42	(1.42-4.10)***	
Ha	d seen the sale of	cigarettes sin	gly or in pack	ks less t	than 20 cigarettes wi	thin the	e last 12 months	
	No	3 (2.3)	155 (14.9)	1	Reference	1	Reference	
	Yes	128 (97.7)	888 (85.1)	7.45	(2.34-23.70)***	3.32	(0.93-11.85)	
Eve	er received healtl	h education al	oout tobacco ı	ise				
	No	70 (53.4)	393 (37.7)	1	Reference	1	Reference	
	Yes	61 (46.6)	650 (62.3)	0.53	(0.37-0.76)***	0.45	(0.27-0.78)**	
Per	cception of tobac	co use						
	≤7	80 (61.1)	187 (17.9)	1	Reference	1	Reference	
	>7	51 (38.9)	856 (82.1)	0.14	(0.10-0.21)***	0.17	(0.10-0.30)***	

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; ^a)Current tobacco use, ^b)No current tobacco use, ^c)Adjusted for age, gender, grade, parent smoking, sibling smoking, peer smoking, ever received health education about tobacco use, and perception of tobacco use, ^d)Under 18 years old

566 STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of

cross-sectional studies

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly	1-2
		used term in the title or the abstract	
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and	2-3
		balanced summary of what was done and what	
		was found	
Introduction	1		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale	5-9
		for the investigation being reported	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any	8-9
		prespecified hypotheses	
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in	9
		the paper	
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant	9-10
		dates, including periods of recruitment,	
		exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(<i>a</i>) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources	9
		and methods of selection of participants	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures,	10-11
		predictors, potential confounders, and effect	
		modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	

Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of	9-11
measurement		data and details of methods of assessment	
		(measurement). Describe comparability of	
		assessment methods if there is more than one	
		group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources	21
		of bias	
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	9
Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were	10-11
variables		handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe	
		which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including	11
		those used to control for confounding	
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine	-
		subgroups and interactions	
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	9
		(<i>d</i>) If applicable, describe analytical methods	-
		taking account of sampling strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	-
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage	9,11-12
		of study—eg numbers potentially eligible,	
		examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible,	
		included in the study, completing follow-up,	
		and analysed	
	1		

		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	-
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	-
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	11-13 Table 1 to 3
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	9
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	11-14 Table 1 Table 2 Table 3
Main results	16	(<i>a</i>) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	13-14 Table 4
		(<i>b</i>) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	-
		(<i>c</i>) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	-
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	-

Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	14
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	21
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	14-21
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	21
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	23

569

570 *Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable,

571 for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

572

573 **Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives

574 methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE

575 checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of

576 PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at

577 http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the

578 STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

579